<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TAPSELSON</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Blackstrip</th>
<th>Sequential April</th>
<th>EDDOHINSO</th>
<th>ALDOINSO DISTRICT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>87452</td>
<td>-3,705</td>
<td>2.65%</td>
<td>84.26%</td>
<td>35.12%</td>
<td>59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69070</td>
<td>3,361</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
<td>13.58%</td>
<td>10.82%</td>
<td>52.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53790</td>
<td>1,371</td>
<td>1.09%</td>
<td>3.26%</td>
<td>20.47%</td>
<td>59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60550</td>
<td>1,207</td>
<td>1.23%</td>
<td>4.68%</td>
<td>35.42%</td>
<td>59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53795</td>
<td>-1,371</td>
<td>-1.09%</td>
<td>-3.26%</td>
<td>-20.47%</td>
<td>-59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59650</td>
<td>1,207</td>
<td>1.23%</td>
<td>4.68%</td>
<td>35.42%</td>
<td>59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52050</td>
<td>3,005</td>
<td>4.88%</td>
<td>46.23%</td>
<td>53.77%</td>
<td>59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59655</td>
<td>-1,207</td>
<td>-1.23%</td>
<td>-4.68%</td>
<td>-35.42%</td>
<td>-59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55795</td>
<td>-1,371</td>
<td>-1.09%</td>
<td>-3.26%</td>
<td>-20.47%</td>
<td>-59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60555</td>
<td>1,207</td>
<td>1.23%</td>
<td>4.68%</td>
<td>35.42%</td>
<td>59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53795</td>
<td>1,371</td>
<td>1.09%</td>
<td>3.26%</td>
<td>20.47%</td>
<td>59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69070</td>
<td>-3,361</td>
<td>-0.45%</td>
<td>-13.58%</td>
<td>-10.82%</td>
<td>-52.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87452</td>
<td>-8705</td>
<td>-0.22%</td>
<td>-84.26%</td>
<td>-35.12%</td>
<td>-59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55795</td>
<td>-1,371</td>
<td>-1.09%</td>
<td>-3.26%</td>
<td>-20.47%</td>
<td>-59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53790</td>
<td>1,371</td>
<td>1.09%</td>
<td>3.26%</td>
<td>20.47%</td>
<td>59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60550</td>
<td>-1,207</td>
<td>-1.23%</td>
<td>-4.68%</td>
<td>-35.42%</td>
<td>-59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59650</td>
<td>1,207</td>
<td>1.23%</td>
<td>4.68%</td>
<td>35.42%</td>
<td>59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55795</td>
<td>1,371</td>
<td>1.09%</td>
<td>3.26%</td>
<td>20.47%</td>
<td>59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60555</td>
<td>-1,207</td>
<td>-1.23%</td>
<td>-4.68%</td>
<td>-35.42%</td>
<td>-59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87452</td>
<td>-8705</td>
<td>-0.22%</td>
<td>-84.26%</td>
<td>-35.12%</td>
<td>-59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53790</td>
<td>1,371</td>
<td>1.09%</td>
<td>3.26%</td>
<td>20.47%</td>
<td>59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60550</td>
<td>-1,207</td>
<td>-1.23%</td>
<td>-4.68%</td>
<td>-35.42%</td>
<td>-59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59650</td>
<td>1,207</td>
<td>1.23%</td>
<td>4.68%</td>
<td>35.42%</td>
<td>59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55795</td>
<td>1,371</td>
<td>1.09%</td>
<td>3.26%</td>
<td>20.47%</td>
<td>59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60555</td>
<td>-1,207</td>
<td>-1.23%</td>
<td>-4.68%</td>
<td>-35.42%</td>
<td>-59.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87452</td>
<td>-8705</td>
<td>-0.22%</td>
<td>-84.26%</td>
<td>-35.12%</td>
<td>-59.52%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Elections data

8 messages

trottman <trottman@gmail.com> Tue, Apr 5, 2011 at 2:57 PM
To: "Speth, Andy" <Andy.Speth@mail.house.gov>
Bcc: "McLeod, Eric M (22257)" <EMcLeod@michaelbest.com>, Jim Troupis <jtroupie@troupislawoffice.com>

Andy,

Here is the link to the elections info on the GAB website

http://elections.state.wi.us/section.asp?linkid=155&locid=47

I've also attached a spreadsheet we received from LTSB with election data for the decade. This data was compiled prior to the date census data was released to Wisconsin, so it may not match up exactly to the census blocks but it will be close. If we get an updated spreadsheet before tomorrow, I'll forward that to you.

Tad

[Attached file: All_Election_Data_Demographics_012711 (1).zip]

Speth, Andy <Andy.Speth@mail.house.gov> Tue, Apr 5, 2011 at 3:42 PM
To: trottman <trottman@gmail.com>

Again excuse my ignorance if I am asking the wrong questions and please set me straight if I am. Which set of data and what races should I be using to create our political baseline numbers? I want to make sure we are using the exact same data and races to draw our districts that you are.

From: trottman [mailto:trottman@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 3:58 PM
To: Speth, Andy
Subject: Elections data

[Quoted text hidden]

trottman <trottman@gmail.com> Tue, Apr 5, 2011 at 3:45 PM
To: "Speth, Andy" <Andy.Speth@mail.house.gov>

Not a problem. We are using a shorthand that appears to work, with the caveat that we are scheduling our political expert to come in and see if he agrees or would recommend different races. For now, we are using a 3-race composite of GOP Presidential in 2008 and 2004 plus Attorney General for 2010.

I'll let you know if that changes for any reason.
Speth, Andy <Andy.Speth@mail.house.gov>  Fri, Apr 8, 2011 at 11:13 AM
To: totman <totman@gmail.com>

Finally got the software loaded. I'm going to load the census and political data today. I have a couple questions. Is there a good time for me to call you today?

From: totman [mailto:totman@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 3:46 PM
To: Speth, Andy
Subject: Re: Elections data

The only thing I have to do between now and 3:00 is run out and get some lunch, so anytime in the next few hours is good.

Speth, Andy <Andy.Speth@mail.house.gov>  Mon, Apr 11, 2011 at 7:08 AM
To: totman <totman@gmail.com>

Any word on whether your IT guys can help me out? I'd be happy to work out an arrangement to compensate them for assisting me during their "free time." Even if they can just talk me through the process over the phone sometime this week I'd be grateful and willing to pay them for their services. Can you tell I'm getting desperate?

From: totman [mailto:totman@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 2:56 PM
To: Speth, Andy
Subject: Elections data

Andy,

[Quoted text hidden]

totman <totman@gmail.com>  Mon, Apr 11, 2011 at 9:39 AM
To: "Speth, Andy" <Andy.Speth@mail.house.gov>

I know, it's a hassle, even when you have on-call tech support. It doesn't look like our tech guys can support you, but I think what we can do is if we can come up with an external hard drive, we can copy the data onto that and then you should be able to upload it directly to your computer. We are presently trying to figure out what files we need to give you so it all works, but I'm hopeful that this solution will work.
Speth, Andy <Andy.Speth@mail.house.gov>
To: tottman <tottman@gmail.com>

Any final decision on what races will be used?

From: tottman [mailto:tottman@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 2:58 PM
To: Speth, Andy
Subject: Elections data

Andy,

[Quoted text hidden]
Redistricting is not something that we have discretion on. The Constitution requires the legislature to do this every 10 years. Only when the legislature is unable to agree do the courts step in. When we pass these maps, it will be the first time in nearly 30 years that the Legislature has met its obligation.

Many of you weren't here 10 years ago and most of the Assembly was not here 10 years ago. But because the courts drew a fair map after the last census, we're here today in the majority in both houses. The maps we pass will determine who's here 10 years from now.

Today we're going to walk through the proposed maps and talk about how we got there. We have an opportunity and an obligation to draw these maps that Republicans haven't had in decades.

There are 3 primary principles that go into the drawing of every map.

- Equal population
- Compact and contiguous districts
- Sensitivity to minority concerns.

The process is never pain-free, no matter who draws the maps. There are always tradeoffs as you make decisions when drawing a map. The constitution and the statutes lay out the principals we have to follow. What we've come up with is a fair map that meets all these criteria and which we are confident will stand up to any legal scrutiny.
Three principles
- Disenfranchisement
- Over/Under population map
- Start in Milwaukee
- Hispanic districts

Notes
59 split municipalities

Overall deviation of 0.76 (0.37 to -0.39) in Asm. 0.62 (0.27 to -0.35) in Sen
Currently, the urban areas of Racine and Kenosha are paired in 2 senate districts with the more rural parts of each county. This maps pairs the two urban areas in one senate district, and the more rural parts of each county together in another senate district. This results in 2 districts which each share more in common throughout the senate seat.