### Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 1</td>
<td>172,313</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>4,148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 1</td>
<td>57,220</td>
<td>-224</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>1,239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 2</td>
<td>57,649</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1,084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 3</td>
<td>57,444</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1,825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 2</td>
<td>172,461</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>4,341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 4</td>
<td>57,486</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1,675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 5</td>
<td>57,470</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1,247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 6</td>
<td>57,505</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1,419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 3</td>
<td>171,977</td>
<td>-356</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>81,772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 7</td>
<td>57,498</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>9,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 8</td>
<td>57,246</td>
<td>-198</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>35,971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 9</td>
<td>57,233</td>
<td>-211</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>36,426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 4</td>
<td>172,425</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>7,421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 10</td>
<td>57,428</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>2,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 11</td>
<td>57,503</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>2,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 12</td>
<td>57,494</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 5</td>
<td>172,421</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>7,268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 13</td>
<td>57,452</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2,623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 14</td>
<td>57,597</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 15</td>
<td>57,372</td>
<td>-72</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>3,197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 6</td>
<td>172,292</td>
<td>-41</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>8,496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 16</td>
<td>57,458</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2,818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 17</td>
<td>57,354</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>2,323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 18</td>
<td>57,480</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>3,355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 7</td>
<td>172,423</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>14,136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 19</td>
<td>57,546</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>3,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 20</td>
<td>57,428</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>6,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 21</td>
<td>57,449</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4,365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 8</td>
<td>172,356</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 22</td>
<td>57,495</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1,215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 23</td>
<td>57,579</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1,348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 24</td>
<td>57,282</td>
<td>-162</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>1,584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 9</td>
<td>172,439</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>8,745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 25</td>
<td>57,322</td>
<td>-122</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>2,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 26</td>
<td>57,581</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>4,187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 27</td>
<td>57,536</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2,238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 10</td>
<td>172,245</td>
<td>-88</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>3,017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 28</td>
<td>57,467</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 29</td>
<td>57,537</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 30</td>
<td>57,241</td>
<td>-203</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>1,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Deviation</td>
<td>Pct. Dev.</td>
<td>Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 11</td>
<td>172,329</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>14,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 31</td>
<td>57,240</td>
<td>-204</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>4,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 32</td>
<td>57,524</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>6,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 33</td>
<td>57,565</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>3,141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 12</td>
<td>172,381</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2,395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 34</td>
<td>57,387</td>
<td>-57</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 35</td>
<td>57,562</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 36</td>
<td>57,432</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 13</td>
<td>172,387</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>7,575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 37</td>
<td>57,507</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>2,928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 38</td>
<td>57,493</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2,103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 39</td>
<td>57,387</td>
<td>-57</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>2,544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 14</td>
<td>171,988</td>
<td>-345</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>5,590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 40</td>
<td>57,366</td>
<td>-78</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>1,489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 41</td>
<td>57,337</td>
<td>-107</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>2,772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 42</td>
<td>57,285</td>
<td>-159</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>1,329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 15</td>
<td>172,496</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>12,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 43</td>
<td>57,443</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 44</td>
<td>57,395</td>
<td>-49</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>3,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 45</td>
<td>57,658</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>6,394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 16</td>
<td>172,429</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>13,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 46</td>
<td>57,458</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1,866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 47</td>
<td>57,465</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>7,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 48</td>
<td>57,506</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>4,596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 17</td>
<td>172,550</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>3,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 49</td>
<td>57,346</td>
<td>-98</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 50</td>
<td>57,624</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1,453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 51</td>
<td>57,580</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 18</td>
<td>171,722</td>
<td>-611</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>5,802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 52</td>
<td>57,232</td>
<td>-212</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>3,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 53</td>
<td>57,240</td>
<td>-204</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>1,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 54</td>
<td>57,250</td>
<td>-194</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>1,605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 19</td>
<td>172,576</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>7,745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 55</td>
<td>57,493</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 56</td>
<td>57,582</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1,574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 57</td>
<td>57,501</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>3,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 20</td>
<td>172,003</td>
<td>-330</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>4,391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 58</td>
<td>57,227</td>
<td>-217</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>1,657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 59</td>
<td>57,391</td>
<td>-53</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>1,492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 60</td>
<td>57,385</td>
<td>-59</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>1,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Deviation</td>
<td>Pct. Dev.</td>
<td>Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 21</td>
<td>172,324</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>10,039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 61</td>
<td>57,614</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>2,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 62</td>
<td>57,345</td>
<td>-99</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>3,765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 63</td>
<td>57,365</td>
<td>-79</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>3,309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 22</td>
<td>172,270</td>
<td>-63</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>31,642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 64</td>
<td>57,270</td>
<td>-174</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>6,430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 65</td>
<td>57,455</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>11,277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 66</td>
<td>57,545</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>13,935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 23</td>
<td>172,149</td>
<td>-184</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>3,721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 67</td>
<td>57,239</td>
<td>-205</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 68</td>
<td>57,261</td>
<td>-183</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 69</td>
<td>57,649</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>2,187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 24</td>
<td>172,520</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>5,337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 70</td>
<td>57,552</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 71</td>
<td>57,519</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1,489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 72</td>
<td>57,449</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 25</td>
<td>172,409</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2,432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 73</td>
<td>57,453</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 74</td>
<td>57,494</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 75</td>
<td>57,462</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 26</td>
<td>172,596</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>10,919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 76</td>
<td>57,617</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>2,656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 77</td>
<td>57,433</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>4,884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 78</td>
<td>57,546</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>3,379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 27</td>
<td>172,449</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>5,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 79</td>
<td>57,461</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 80</td>
<td>57,585</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1,228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 81</td>
<td>57,403</td>
<td>-41</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>2,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 28</td>
<td>172,218</td>
<td>-115</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>8,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 82</td>
<td>57,430</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>2,988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 83</td>
<td>57,423</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>1,484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 84</td>
<td>57,365</td>
<td>-79</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>3,973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 29</td>
<td>172,292</td>
<td>-41</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>3,243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 85</td>
<td>57,480</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 86</td>
<td>57,454</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 87</td>
<td>57,358</td>
<td>-86</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 30</td>
<td>172,798</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>15,722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 88</td>
<td>57,556</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>4,733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 89</td>
<td>57,634</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 90</td>
<td>57,608</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>10,090</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table: Minority Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Deviation</th>
<th>Pet. Dev.</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 31</td>
<td>172,338</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4,326</td>
<td>8,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 91</td>
<td>57,359</td>
<td>-85</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>1,132</td>
<td>4,283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 92</td>
<td>57,431</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>2,354</td>
<td>2,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 93</td>
<td>57,548</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>1,563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 32</td>
<td>172,122</td>
<td>-211</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>2,755</td>
<td>9,686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 94</td>
<td>57,266</td>
<td>-178</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>3,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 95</td>
<td>57,372</td>
<td>-72</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>1,071</td>
<td>5,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 96</td>
<td>57,484</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1,014</td>
<td>1,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sen. Dist. 33</td>
<td>172,288</td>
<td>-45</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>11,017</td>
<td>9,139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 97</td>
<td>57,279</td>
<td>-165</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>6,522</td>
<td>3,373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 98</td>
<td>57,513</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>3,230</td>
<td>3,929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asm. Dist. 99</td>
<td>57,496</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1,265</td>
<td>1,837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>5,686,986</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>336,056</td>
<td>612,519</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ASSEMBLY

- Mean Deviation: 93 persons, 0.16%
- Largest Positive Deviation: 214 persons, 0.37%
- Largest Negative Deviation: -224 persons, -0.39%
- Overall Range in Deviation: ±438 persons, ±0.76%

### SENATE

- Mean Deviation: 149 persons, 0.09%
- Largest Positive Deviation: 466 persons, 0.27%
- Largest Negative Deviation: -610 persons, -0.35%
- Overall Range in Deviation: ±1,076 persons, ±0.62%
Memorandum

Date: 7/13/2011
Re: SB 148 - Memorandum

Municipal Splits

Senate Bill 148: Senate
MCD's: 38

Senate Bill 148: Assembly
MCD's: 62

Court Map 2002: Assembly
MCD's: 50
Memorandum

Date: 7/13/2011
Re: SB 148 - Memorandum

MEMO 3

**Milwaukee County Population Trends and Racial Composition**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Growth</th>
<th>% Growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Milwaukee County Growth</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td>940,164</td>
<td>947,735</td>
<td>7,571</td>
<td>0.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>233,013</td>
<td>257,579</td>
<td>24,566</td>
<td>10.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>82,406</td>
<td>126,039</td>
<td>43,633</td>
<td>52.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting Age Population</td>
<td>692,339</td>
<td>711,358</td>
<td>19,019</td>
<td>2.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American VAP</td>
<td>140,790</td>
<td>168,280</td>
<td>27,490</td>
<td>19.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic VAP</td>
<td>49,981</td>
<td>77,116</td>
<td>27,135</td>
<td>54.29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**City of Milwaukee Growth**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Growth</th>
<th>% Growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td>596,974</td>
<td>594,833</td>
<td>(2,141)</td>
<td>-0.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>224,050</td>
<td>239,920</td>
<td>15,870</td>
<td>7.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>71,646</td>
<td>103,007</td>
<td>31,361</td>
<td>43.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting Age Population</td>
<td>425,990</td>
<td>433,486</td>
<td>7,496</td>
<td>1.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American VAP</td>
<td>134,563</td>
<td>156,151</td>
<td>21,588</td>
<td>16.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic VAP</td>
<td>43,473</td>
<td>63,206</td>
<td>19,733</td>
<td>45.39%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Memorandum

**Date:** 7/13/2011  
**Re:** SB 148 - Memorandum

## Majority-Minority District Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>African American Assembly Districts</th>
<th>2002 Court Map - BVAP</th>
<th>Census Day 2010 - BVAP</th>
<th>SB 148 - BVAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>67.08%</td>
<td>67.43%</td>
<td>61.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>62.85%</td>
<td>75.84%</td>
<td>61.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>32.77%</td>
<td>48.99%</td>
<td>51.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>60.45%</td>
<td>55.87%</td>
<td>61.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>61.88%</td>
<td>74.11%</td>
<td>61.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>56.70%</td>
<td>58.85%</td>
<td>60.43%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hispanic Assembly Districts</th>
<th>2002 Court Map - HVAP</th>
<th>Census Day 2010 - HVAP</th>
<th>SB 148 - HVAP</th>
<th>Amendment 1 - HVAP</th>
<th>Amendment 2 - HVAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>58.34%</td>
<td>65.50%</td>
<td>57.24%</td>
<td>64.00%</td>
<td>60.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>22.94%</td>
<td>46.18%</td>
<td>57.25%</td>
<td>50.60%</td>
<td>54.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SB 148

MEMO 4
**Memorandum**

Date: 7/13/2011  
Re: SB 148 - Memorandum

### Voting Age Populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SB 148: Assembly District</th>
<th>black 18pct</th>
<th>hispanic 18pct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.78%</td>
<td>57.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.91%</td>
<td>57.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>61.79%</td>
<td>3.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>61.94%</td>
<td>3.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>51.48%</td>
<td>4.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>61.34%</td>
<td>4.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>61.33%</td>
<td>3.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>60.43%</td>
<td>5.36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Senate District</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>58.4%</td>
<td>61.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendment 1 (a1388/1):</td>
<td></td>
<td>64.0%</td>
<td>50.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD 8:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD 9:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendment 2 (a1394/1):</td>
<td></td>
<td>60.5%</td>
<td>54.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD 8:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD 9:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SB 148**  
**MEMO 5**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Senate District (approximate)</th>
<th>BVAP</th>
<th>HVAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>54.2%</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>59.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Population

Milwaukee Population shifts (comparison to ideal population)
AD 10:  -6,025
AD 11:  -5,266
AD 12:  -2,196
AD 16:  -4,934
AD 17:  -5,583
AD 18:  -9,057

AD 7:  -1,619
AD 8:  -2,828
AD 9:  3,436

SD 4:  -13,481
SD 6:  -19,575
SD 3:  -1,012
Date: 7/13/2011
Re: SB 148 - Memorandum

Pairings Summary

AD 7  Krusick/Zepnick
AD 92 Danou/Radcliffe
AD 22 Ott/Pasch
AD 60 Pridemore/Kessler
AD 61 Kerkman/Steinbrink
AD 14 Kooyenga/Cullen
AD 33 Nass/Jorgenson

AD 31 August/Loudenbeck
AD 88 Klenke/Jacque
AD 89 Van Roy/Nygren

SD 21 Wanggaard/Wirch

* 10 Dems, 12 GOP Paired
Good Morning,

My name is Zeus Rodriguez, I live in Milwaukee County. I am here as a liaison for a coalition of individual Hispanic business owners, educators and community advocates. We do not speak on behalf of the entire Hispanic community, but our group of Wisconsin residents are both politically active and concerned with the governing process.

I personally wish that there was more time given to this process. In my efforts to engage the Hispanic Community, it was difficult to educate so many people in such a short period of time. As a result, we are only going to speak and endorse the new state map as it pertains to the 3rd Senate district and only the 3rd Senate district. As you know that this district is at the heart of the Latino community in Wisconsin and it is imperative that proper political representation is achieved there.

That being said, despite the quick nature of this process, the lines that were drawn in the 3rd district seems to have been done with the careful intention of giving the Hispanic community of Milwaukee full political representation and we support the 2nd Amendment to the plan. 60% HVAP in District 8 and a 54% HVAP in District 9, as well as the original proposal of a 40% HVAP for the 3rd Senate District.

UNLESS THE LATTER STATISTIC CAN BE IMPROVED. — A HIGHER HVAP IN THE 3RD SENATE DISTRICT.
The following is a bipartisan list of individual Hispanic Business owners, Educators and Community Advocates who are in support of a 60% HVAP 8th District and 54% HVAP 9th District as well as the 40% HVAP currently proposed for the 3rd Senate District.

Teresa C. Mercado, Executive Director, Mexican Fiesta
Daisy Cubias, City of Milwaukee - Retired
Ernesto Villareal, El Rey Food Stores- Founder/Owner
Ramon Cruz, St. Anthony School - Principal
Victor Huyke, El Conquistador - Owner/Publisher
Raul Huertas, Hispanic Entrepreneurs of Wisconsin - President
Julio Maldonado, Hispanic Entrepreneurs of Wisconsin - Vice President
Gregorio Montoto, Mexican Fiesta - Vice President
Martha Manske, Hispanic Entrepreneurs of Wisconsin
Anselmo Villarreal, La Casa de Esperanza - President/CEO
Zeus Rodriguez, Hispanics for School Choice - President
Juan M. Carrasquillo, Director - Administrative Services We Energies
Jose Delgado, American Transmission Company, LLC Chairman - President/CEO - Retired
Aaron Rodriguez, El Conquistador - Columnist
Ivan Gamboa, Tri-City National Bank - Vice President
Karla Huerta, representing LULAC Council 322, Milwaukee
Jose Zarate, Owner of La Fuente Restaurant
Bill Sandoval, Vice President of the Wisconsin Soccer Association
Luis Barboza, Architect, Milwaukee
Ernesto Baca, U莫斯
Abel Ortiz, SER (Service employment redevelopment)
Ruben Burgos, Lieutenant, MPD and president of LPOA (Latino Police Officers Association)
Valdemar Escobar, Owner of Fiesta Garibaldi restaurants
Dr. Artuto Martinez, Associate Dean MATC representing LULAC Council 319 Milwaukee

Other Wisconsin residents who have supported our efforts but have not had a chance to consider the new 3rd Senate District and haven't endorsed it at this time.

Agustin A. Ramirez, HUSCO International - Chairman/CEO
Dagoberto Ibarra, Latinos United for Political Action - President
Francisco Sanchez, Hispanic Entrepreneurs of Wisconsin
Philipe Castro, Hispanic Entrepreneurs of Wisconsin
Professor Javier Tapia, UW-Madison Professor - Hispanic Studies
Robert Serrano, Martial Arts America - President
Dr. Gerardo Caballero MD, General Surgeon - Milwaukee
Rev. Javier Bustos, Sacred Heart School of Theology, MA Program, Director
Ricardo Trinidad, Telecom & Data Inc. - CEO
TO: Wisconsin State Lawmakers  
FROM: Mayor Shawn Pfaff  
DATE: July 13, 2011  
SUBJECT: LRB – 2296 Amendment

The City of Fitchburg, with a diverse population of 25,260 persons and located within three school districts, is requesting that the Legislature consider amending the proposed Redistricting Bill LRB-2296 to allow communities with multiple school districts to be able to create wards with a minimum population of 300.

The City, over the past two months, has been diligently reviewing different ward boundary scenarios that take into account minority representation (35% of the City population is minority), school district boundaries (Verona, Oregon, and Madison Metropolitan), similar neighborhood interests and future development areas. The example that the City Ad-Hoc Redistricting Committee presented to the Common Council last night takes into account all of these goals, in addition to creating two out of four Aldermanic Districts where minority representation would be the majority.

The proposed Legislative Boundary Map, which splits the City of Fitchburg into two State Senate and State Assembly districts, alters the City’s proposed Ward Map drastically. The City will now be disadvantaged in trying to create wards that are split between the two county supervisory districts, three school districts and two legislative districts.
Doug Mering  
Group Representing – Individual Voter  
1605 Kieth Street  
Baraboo, WI 54913  
Ph 1-608-434-7968  

July 13, 2011  

Subject: Testimony on Redistricting LRB 2265/2, 2266/1 and 2296/1

I am Doug Mering from Baraboo and I am representing myself and hopefully many other moderate voters of this state who are feeling more and more disenfranchised by the political atmosphere in the state of Wisconsin. I am just as competitive as the next person but Wisconsin's designation as the most polarized political state in the United States is not something that I and many other Wisconsinites are proud of.

In the past I have voted for both Democrats and Republicans and never have voted by just the party label but have always looked at who is the best person to advance and move Wisconsin Forward. It is unfortunate that this redistricting plan which is setup in a partisan fashion will further alienate the voters of this state. It is a disservice to the people of this state where districts such as the ones outlined in this plan create these Supersafe zones for both Democrats and Republicans alike. Because of these Supersafe zones we will have politicians who will unfortunately not be truly beholden to their constituents’ needs but will do what they please because it will be next to impossible to be voted out of office.

Wisconsinites have elected you to represent the best interests of the state and in this case the voters of the state. That means doing something odd in Madison which is to reach across the aisle and work with the other party. We cannot keep getting beaten out by Iowa who has a great nonpartisan redistricting process and expect positive governance outcomes for its citizens. It is my hope that you as our legislative leaders will reject Senate Bills LRB 2265/2, 2266/1 and 2296/1 and adopt Assembly Bill 198 which is a nonpartisan process that is fair, makes sense and is in the best interest of the voters of Wisconsin.
Testimony of the Wisconsin Democracy Campaign

Joint Public Hearing on Redistricting
Assembly Committee on Homeland Security and State Affairs
Senate Committee on Judiciary, Utilities, Commerce and Government Operations

July 13, 2011

In a democracy voters are supposed to choose their representatives, not the other way around. The redistricting plans that are the subject of this hearing are a disgusting affront to this bedrock principle of democracy. The plans for new congressional and state legislative districts that were drawn at great expense to taxpayers but yet kept secret until last Friday afternoon are a Republican gerrymander, pure and simple.

The mere fact that we are here today instead of weeks or even months from now as would have been customary – caused by the majority’s decision to jump the gun on state legislative redistricting – is a disgusting affront to local control.

The Wisconsin legislature is, by law, barred from drawing legislative district lines until after local governments have drawn lines for aldermanic and county board districts. There is a reason for this law. It ensures that legislative districts are respectful of local boundaries. That way, communities are not sliced up for partisan purposes and citizens with shared history and shared needs living in close proximity to one another can be grouped in districts designed to make sure their interests are represented.

The redistricting plan you are considering ignores longstanding practice and changes the law to accommodate early state redistricting. There is only one conceivable reason for doing so, and that is to complete legislative redistricting before recall elections in the coming weeks that could shift control of the senate to the Democrats. This politically inspired maneuver is unprecedented in our state’s history. Hundreds of hours of work already done by local government officials around the state will have been a waste of time, as they will be forced to start their work over. This will end up costing local taxpayers hundreds of thousands of dollars. It is not lost on anyone that this waste of taxpayer money to advance purely partisan political aims comes at a time when Wisconsinites have been told repeatedly that the state is broke.

Yesterday we put forward citizen-designed maps of new state assembly and senate districts in response to the gerrymandered redistricting plan you are considering. Our plan creates a large number of toss-up districts that could be won by either Republicans or Democrats. Based on how Wisconsin voters cast
their ballots in 2008 – a strong Democratic year – and 2010 – a strong Republican year, 80 of the 132 assembly and senate districts under our plan have partisan splits of 10 percentage points or less.

That is impressive considering that over the last decade, the largest number of competitive legislative elections Wisconsin has seen is 29, and there have been as few as 10 races decided by 10 percentage points or less. When districts are drawn to account for population changes without deliberately trying to create Democratic or Republican districts, the result will be greater electoral competition and more leverage for voters, yielding improved representation.

The maps we've drawn provide an inkling of what would happen if redistricting were turned over to a nonpartisan authority as proposed in Assembly Bill 198.

One telltale sign that the redistricting plan under consideration today was drawn to gain political advantage for Republicans who control both houses is the fact that several Democratic candidates running in the senate recall elections this summer are drawn out of the districts they may be elected to represent. It is not necessary to draw candidates like Fred Clark, Nancy Nusbaum and Bob Wirch out of their districts. Districts can easily be drawn that account for population changes without pulling such stunts.

The plan you are considering also unnecessarily splits communities like the city of Sheboygan, while our plan does not. You need to have a really good reason to divide a community. Sometimes it's unavoidable. In Sheboygan's case, it was not difficult to draw districts that kept the city intact. The only reason for splitting it is a crassly political one.

Another example can be found in the southeastern corner of the state. Our plan keeps separate senate districts for Racine and Kenosha counties, while the plan you have before you gerrymanders the region for political purposes, merging the cities of Kenosha and Racine into one district and the outlying areas of Racine and Kenosha counties into another.

This not only gains Republicans some political advantage in that area of the state, but even more importantly it disadvantages voters by greatly diminishing electoral competitiveness there. The way you have drawn the lines, we won't see a district anymore like the one once represented by Republican George Petak, who was defeated by Democrat Kim Plache, who voters then replaced with Republican Cathy Stepp, who in turn was succeeded by Democrat John Lehman who eventually was defeated by Republican Van Wanggaard.

These are just a few illustrations of the blatantly political nature of your redistricting plan that stuck out like sore thumbs. Many others were readily apparent to us. And if members of the public were given sufficient time to carefully review your proposed plan, many more such examples undoubtedly would be found.

What you are fixing to do is nothing but a power grab and one that will dishonor Wisconsin. Holding hearings without any intention of listening disgraces our state too. You should be ashamed of yourselves.
July 13, 2011

To: Assembly Committee on Homeland Security and State Affairs
   Senate Committee on Judiciary, Utilities, Commerce and Government Operations

From: Andrea Kaminski, Executive Director, League of Women Voters of Wisconsin Education Fund

Re: Opposition to SB148, SB149 and SB150

Each decade the new census data are used to draw up new voting districts to equitably distribute political representation as our population changes. In Wisconsin this task is delegated to the state legislature, which time and again has proven it is unable to complete the task at a reasonable cost and free from private interest and partisan manipulation. Ever concerned with equal representation and electoral integrity, the League of Women Voters regularly devotes much attention to reapportionment and redistricting. As a nonpartisan citizen organization, the League represents no special interest but the general well-being and political representation of the people of the State of Wisconsin.

As in decades past, state and local League activities in Wisconsin in the past 18 months have included monitoring the redistricting process, testifying before local redistricting bodies, supporting reform legislation, sponsoring public forums around the state, and working with other groups to shine a light on the redistricting process. There are League members serving on county redistricting committees, and two League members recently filed a petition in Brown County Circuit Court with a plan to maintain the county’s supervisory districts at 26 rather than increase that number by 3 districts.

At the state level, the League has advocated since 1981 to have a nonpartisan or bipartisan entity draw new congressional and legislative district maps, starting with proposals crafted by local governments.

What is being proposed in the bills before us today is not simply a matter of flouting some of the technicalities in our laws and traditions. Rather, these proposals turn our state’s process of governing into a charade and weaken its foundation. The strength of our form of government stems from the people believing that their elected representatives have the public’s interest at heart, not personal interests. These proposals defy that principle.

More specifically, we offer the following comments about the proposals addressed in today’s hearing:

1. The process and timing outlined in these bills show no respect for the local government officials and citizens who have been working for weeks to develop local redistricting plans. Traditionally, and by law, they are allowed to develop local district maps before the state legislature weighs in. Yet SB148 and SB149 propose specific district maps and simply state that if the local district lines are not consistent with those of the state, the local governments will have to adapt at their own expense. These bills change the rules midstream and are an affront to the people who know their communities the best.

2. The League does not believe for a minute that it is a coincidence that these maps were released on the Friday afternoon before the first of a series of recall elections. As if it is not bad enough that voters and local officials had to adapt in a few short weeks to a restrictive new election law, now the sponsors of
these bills are turning the traditionally grassroots redistricting process upside-down with a top-down, big-government proposal in the midst of the recall elections. The shameful result — and we believe the purpose — is to further confuse voters and suppress participation in the elections.

3. Wisconsin's elections over the past 15 years have shown our state to be evenly divided politically. Most Wisconsinites are independent voters. Any redistricting map should reflect the tenor of the state, not the tenure of current elected officials. The goal should be to provide the best possible representation for citizens, who by the way are tired of elected officials who are so polarized they cannot work together without spurring multiple recall elections.

4. We urge you not to rush this once-a-decade process for the sake of partisan gain. Properly noticed public hearings at all levels around the state, open meetings and full transparency are essential so that citizens can participate and have faith in the outcome. In addition, citizens should have time to consider alternative maps, developed by nonpartisan groups, which offer legitimately drawn districts that preserve compactness, contiguity, communities of interest, substantial equality of population and, last but not least, greater competitiveness.

Lest you think that the League of Women Voters is criticizing the proposed plan because of partisan preference, I assure you that for decades we have advocated to place the redistricting process in the hands of a nonpartisan entity. We have in our office a 1989 letter from then Assembly Majority Leader Dave Travis who assailed the League for being "pro-Republican." At least in the past, redistricting has been carried out with a divided legislature, which resulted in a modicum of balance. The fact is that while control of the legislature has changed, our position has not.

The need for nonpartisan redistricting is only made more obvious by the poorly-timed introduction of the clearly gerrymandered districts in SB148 and SB149. We urge you to reject these proposals and make this year's redistricting process one you can be proud to tell your grandchildren about in the future.

Thank you.
To: Senate Committee on Judiciary, Utilities, Commerce, and Government Relations
Assembly Committee on Homeland Security and State Affairs

From: Dan Thompson, Executive Director, League of Wisconsin Municipalities
Mark O’Connell, Executive Director, Wisconsin Counties Association

Date: July 13, 2011

Re: SB 150, Modifying the Local Redistricting Process

The League of Wisconsin Municipalities and the Wisconsin Counties Association offer the following comments on SB 150 for information purposes only. Our member counties and municipalities have been working together on the local redistricting process since early spring. We are concerned about the speed in which SB 150 is advancing through the legislative process. We are also concerned about SB 150 retroactively changing the timing and reversing the order of the redistricting process after local governments have already spent much time, resources, and money on establishing municipal wards and using those wards to form election districts. Passage of SB 150 will result in much of that work being wasted.

SB 150 requires municipal ward plans, and the aldermanic and supervisory districts upon which they are based, to reflect municipal boundaries on April 1 of the year of each federal decennial census. Under current law, ward plans must reflect municipal boundaries on August 1 of the year following the year of the decennial census. This change would apply retroactively to ward plans and aldermanic and supervisory districts created or in the process of being created in response to the 2010 census.

The bill also amends the laws governing municipal ward division to ensure that if municipal wards do not accommodate a congressional or legislative redistricting plan on its date of enactment, the municipalities must change their wards.

Redistricting has been a bottom up process with local governments finishing their maps first and then state and federal district boundaries drawn to keep wards intact. One important reason for this order of events is that wards must be compact and observe the community of interest of existing neighborhoods. Wards must also take into account the county supervisory district plan. Only municipalities and counties working together can construct wards meeting those and other standards spelled out in state law.

We urge the Legislature to proceed cautiously and slowly with regard to SB 150. Take time to gain a better understanding of the bill’s full ramifications for local governments and voters, and make adjustments to the bill where advisable.
July 13, 2011

Assembly Committee on Homeland Security & State Affairs
Senate Committee on Judiciary, Utilities, Commerce & State Operations
State Capitol
Madison, WI 53701

Dear Members:

Due to the short notice of this public hearing, we were unable to change previous commitments and appear before you in person. That being stated, we believe that Senate Bill 150 has profound and negative impacts on local governments and the redistricting process we have followed since 1971.

We oppose the proposed changes to the current state law.

As prescribed by state statute since 1971, the City of Milwaukee began its redistricting process in February, 2011 and completed that process Friday, July 8, 2011. During that process the city held four public hearings, participated in three public listening sessions, held two full-day public workshops for citizens to produce their own maps, and conducted countless informal briefings to individuals and interested citizen groups. The city cost of the dedicated labor hours and administrative expense total over $40,000. Passage of Senate Bill 150 would cost the city an additional $10,000 or more to make the retroactive changes mandated after the process by the state negating local authority to establish its boundaries.

Senate Bill 150 negates months of work, outright dismisses our open and transparent public hearing process, and wastes our taxpayer dollars. While the city has had little time for an in-depth analysis of the state’s legislative district lines and the impacts it will have on communities of interests and our neighborhoods, we have identified widespread ward splitting that will force the Common Council and Mayor to initiate a second redistricting process – only a few short weeks after we completed a legal and comprehensive ward and aldermanic district mapping process.

The proposed legislative districts fail to incorporate the city’s ward lines and therefore split 17% of all City of Milwaukee wards [55 wards] and mandate the
City of Milwaukee to redraw the boundaries of nine aldermanic districts. Please see attached map.

By excluding local governments and ignoring natural boundaries and local factors that bind communities of interest, you have arrogantly mandated artificial ward lines without regard to local concerns. You have intentionally done this in order to gain extreme partisan advantage at the expense of equal and fair representation.

Current law properly ensures that local governments – the unit of government that is closest to its electors - have a strong voice in the redistricting process. The very fact that you need to pass a new state law that allows you to circumvent a process that has been in place since 1971 displays your raw intentions to grab more partisan advantage at the expense of local input.

Furthermore, voters in up to six Milwaukee County Assembly seats will significantly lose their influence in choosing who represents them to voters outside of Milwaukee County. For the largest county in Wisconsin and, the economic engine for the entire state, that is a significant loss of representation.

Senate Bill 150 is a power grab that allows this to occur without the proper public disclosure, debate and discourse that was followed in the City of Milwaukee.

The people of Wisconsin do not want a strong, central, State Government. Yet, that is what the Senate and Assembly leadership are forcing on the residents of our great State.

Today we call on you to slow this process down. You must hold more public hearings, allow more citizen participation and provide opportunities for the submission of alternative maps that will be seriously considered. And, you must respect the work being done by local units of government. No legislative vote should be taken until these provisions are fulfilled.

Respectfully,

Tom Barrett
Mayor

Ashanti Hamilton
Chair, Judiciary and Legislation Committee
STATEMENT OF WENDELL HARRIS ON BEHALF OF MILWAUKEE BRANCH OF
THE NAACP AND THE NAACP STATE CONFERENCE OF BRANCHES
Wednesday, July 13, 2011
Before the Senate Judiciary Committee and
the Assembly Homeland Security Committee

Good morning. My name is Wendell Harris, and I am a long-standing member and
former Vice President of the Milwaukee Branch NAACP. I am the current chairperson of the
Education Committee for the Wisconsin NAACP State Conference of Branches. I have been
asked to speak today on behalf of the Milwaukee Branch and the State Conference, Presidents
James Hall and Thomas White, respectively.

Founded in 1909, the NAACP is the nation’s longest-standing civil rights organization.
The NAACP was instrumental in the struggle to outlaw legal segregation in the United States.
Our stated mission is to ensure the political, educational, social, economic equality and rights of
all persons, and to eliminate racial hatred and discrimination. Perhaps our most important focus
has been to ensure the right to vote for African-American citizens — including the right to make
our votes count in a meaningful manner.

The NAACP helped secure the passage of the historic Voting Rights Act of 1965, and its
extensions in ‘70, ‘75, ‘82, and most recently in 2007. The NAACP and its branches have
litigated hundreds of voting rights cases under the U.S. Constitution and later, the Voting Rights Act to guarantee those rights for all African Americans. We fought to outlaw electoral devices and schemes which deny, abridge, suppress, or dilute the rights to vote. Our State’s NAACP Branches have likewise fought to ensure meaningful representation of the votes of all African-Americans in the State of Wisconsin.

As African-American voters, when it comes to drawing electoral districts, we see our right to vote in two ways. First, we fight to ensure that in districts that include large concentrations of African Americans, the lines are drawn which permit us to elect the candidates that best represent our issues and concerns. As such, we have the right to have electoral districts that do not dilute our vote. Second, in those electoral districts and geographic areas where we do not constitute the majority, we also have the right to INFLUENCE the election of candidates who acknowledge that our issues and concerns matter and will be included in the decision making processes. It is in this situation -- the right to influence the outcome of elections -- that the Republican redistricting plan disenfranchises thousands of African American voters, primarily in Southeastern Wisconsin.

The Republican redistricting plan does this by packing all African-American voters into single districts-- for the sole purpose of removing influential African-American voters from otherwise white-majority districts in which we could have an influence on the outcome of the election. As you know, the Republican redistricting plan

- Removes African-American voters residing in District 8 -- currently represented by Sen. Darling -- and packs us into the largely African-American district currently represented by Sen. Taylor.
It also removes African-American voters currently represented by Sen. Vukmir in District 5, and packs those voters into the largely African-American district currently represented by Sen. Coggs in District 6.

Equally pernicious is the packing of all African-American voters living in Racine and Kenosha – currently represented by Senators Wangaard and Wirch – into a single senate district, eliminating the ability of those African-American voters to have an influence over the two Senate districts.

Under the Republican redistricting plan, African-American voters have now lost the ability to influence the outcome in 3 Senate districts in southeastern Wisconsin.

A final point regarding process: The drawing of such lines requires the collective input of many groups and individuals. Like millions of other Wisconsin voters, we in the NAACP did not learn until this week exactly how this proposed Republican redistricting plan would impact voters. We are appalled that Republican leadership intended to fast-track this process right past the local redistricting planning processes that are currently underway in our cities and counties, even to the point that this redistricting plan will even run roughshod over existing ward lines.

By carving up numerous wards into multiple legislative districts, the legislature will make the administration of our elections confusing and potentially an administrative nightmare. This affront to the democratic process – both on the state and local level – must be changed so that all citizens are afforded a meaningful opportunity to examine, debate, and provide input on how our legislative district lines will eventually be drawn. Thank you.
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District Priorities

1. Districts should be essentially the same population. The goal will be to have all districts with about 2% (plus or minus) of the target population for a district.

2. Districts should be compact. That is, they should closely approximate a square or a circle. They should not be long and narrow, and should avoid major appendages.

3. Districts should minimize the crossing of municipal (city, village, and town) boundaries. A municipality should include the fewest number of districts that is possible. A district should include the fewest number of municipalities that is possible.

4. When municipalities are combined or split in forming districts, every effort should be made to maintain the integrity of incorporated communities.

5. When it is not possible for district boundaries to follow municipal boundaries, physical barriers should be followed such as significant rivers and major highways first, and other physical features second.

6. District should avoid the creation of small isolated wards. A small section of an adjoining municipality should not be used to complete a district whenever possible. The minimum ward size should be about 300-400 persons.

Planning Department Requested Ground Rules

1. The Planning Department does not want to know where any current or prospective County Board supervisor resides.

2. Contact with the Planning Department staff regarding redistricting plans during the development of plan options is limited to the Ad Hoc Redistricting Planning Committee chairperson or vice-chairperson.

3. Limit the number of options that we are asked to develop (3-4 maximum).
## Disenfranchisement

### 2002 Court Submissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th># Disenfranchised</th>
<th>% of Total Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JP1</td>
<td>GOP</td>
<td>203,938</td>
<td>3.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JP2</td>
<td>GOP</td>
<td>211,494</td>
<td>3.87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JP3</td>
<td>GOP</td>
<td>248,772</td>
<td>4.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 842</td>
<td>GOP</td>
<td>208,334</td>
<td>3.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dem A</td>
<td>Dem</td>
<td>303,951</td>
<td>5.56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 463</td>
<td>Dem</td>
<td>301,604</td>
<td>5.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCE</td>
<td>Dem</td>
<td>553,790</td>
<td>10.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMC</td>
<td></td>
<td>433,175</td>
<td>7.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>171,613</td>
<td>3.14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1992 Court Submissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th># Disenfranchised</th>
<th>% of Total Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prosser IIIA</td>
<td>GOP</td>
<td>392,000</td>
<td>8.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legis. Plan</td>
<td>Dem</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>4.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>257,000</td>
<td>5.25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Foltz, Adam

Keane, Michael  
Monday, July 11, 2011 1:03 PM

Foltz, Adam

2000_Pop18_2002_ASM.XLSX

Adam:

I had LTSB prepare this spreadsheet. Hope it is self-explanatory.

Mike Keane
LRB
6-0346
Adam:

Wisconsin Brief 02-3 illustrated the population of each legislative district. You can get a copy of the brief here:


Mike Keane
LRB
6-0346
Redistricting Workstation Update

The redistricting workstations have been configured and are ready to be deployed.

We will deploy the first machine to the LRB this week.

The autoBound software is configured with 2001 wards, MCDs (Cities, Towns and Villages) and Counties. All data is configured with Census 2000 population totals.
We are currently working on configuring a dataset that includes 2009 block estimates and all major election data from the past 10 years.

In the next couple of weeks I would like to setup an IT subcommittee meeting to discuss redistricting data and training needs.

Here are some notes on the configuration.

Hardware/OS:
- Processor: Intel(R) Core(TM)2 Duo CPU E8400 @3.00GHz
- RAM: 8.00 GB
- System: 64bit OS
- OS: Windows 7 Enterprise
- RAID 1 Configured
- 1TB External Hard drive
- (2) 22" Monitors

Software:
- AutoBound 9: Redistricting and Reapportionment System
- ArcGIS 9.3.1 (Latest version)
Data:
  - Default Paths
    - Data Environment: C:\Wisconsin
    - Saved Plans: C:\Wisconsin\Workspace
    - Database: C:\Wisconsin\Statewide
  - Data Descriptions:
    - Blocks: These are actually Wards, not blocks. When using the system you will need to select Blocks in the active layers when building districts by Wards.
    - VTDS: These are actually Municipal areas (i.e. Townships, Cities, Villages etc). When using the system you will need to select VTDS in the active layers when building districts by Municipal areas.
  - Future Data Updates:
    - You will be given access to a network drive folder (Leg_GIS).
    - Data updates will be placed in this drive and instructions will be given how to update your own databases with the most recent data available at that time.

ny J. Van Der Wielen
Legislative Technology Services Bureau
GIS Manager
Phone: 608-283-1817
Fax: 608-267-6763
E-mail: Tony.vanderwiel@legis.wisconsin.gov

Wisconsin Interactive Map Server: http://wims.legis.state.wi.us
I would try and do a recalculation of the plan and choose “no”. This should help.

I am looking into this further today.

Tony
Tad and Adam,

I would like to get a better idea on your support needs for this weekend.

We would like to make arrangements for coverage.

Thank you,

Tony

Tony J. Van Der Wielen
Legislative Technology Services Bureau
GIS Manager
Phone: 608-283-1817
Fax: 608-267-6763
E-mail: Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov
Tad and Adam,

Here is the new database and report for disenfranchisement. This will only work on Senate plans (I am working on Assembly now).

Your will need to replace the reports.mdb in the C:\Program Files (x86)\autobound10 directory on your machine.
You will also need to replace the Disenfranchisement.rpt in the C:\Program Files (x86)\autobound10\reports directory on your machines.

For old plans you will need to:
   1. Delete the reports.mdb in you workspace directory
   2. Delete the Disenfranchisement.rpt in the reports directory in the workspace.
   3. Run the Core Constituency Analysis.
   4. Run the Disenfranchisement report from the autoBound “Run Reports” menu.

Call with any questions.

Thank you,

Tony

Tony J. Van Der Wielen
Legislative Technology Services Bureau
GIS Manager
Phone: 608-283-1817
Fax: 608-267-6763
E-mail: Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov
I just want to confirm it works with the Senate District Plans. I am still working on the Assembly side.

Tony

-----Original Message-----
From: Ottman, Tad  
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2011 5:17 PM  
To: Van Der Wielen, Tony  
Subject: RE: Disenfranchisement

I finally got the disenfranchisement report to run, but it was off by a 6 figure magnitude compared to the same core constituency report on a senate plan. Some totals from even numbered senate districts it had dead on, others were off by 100's to 1000's, and in still others, it missed complete totals from even numbered senate districts that wound up in odd numbered districts.

-----Original Message-----
From: Van Der Wielen, Tony  
Sent: Fri 5/20/2011 3:53 PM  
To: Ottman, Tad; Foltz, Adam  
Subject: Disenfranchisement

Tad and Adam,

Can you look at this report to see if the structure works for you.

Thank you,

Tony

Tony J. Van Der Wielen  
Legislative Technology Services Bureau  
GIS Manager  
Phone: 608-283-1817  
Fax: 608-267-6763  
-mail: Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov <mailto:Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov>
Tad and Adam,

Can you look at this report to see if the structure works for you.

Thank you,

Tony

Tony J. Van Der Wielen
Legislative Technology Services Bureau
GIS Manager
Phone: 608-283-1817
Fax: 608-267-6763
E-mail: Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov
Adam,

I have included an analysis of the tool that is in autoBound to record split geography. The way the report reads it is only counting the splits once per district split.

To create a Block assignment file do the following. Under plan exchange tools choose “Export Plan”. From “Block Assignment File” from the dropdown.

I am working on disenfranchisement.

Thank you,

Tony

Tony J. Van Der Wielen
Legislative Technology Services Bureau
GIS Manager
Phone: 608-283-1817
Fax: 608-267-6763
E-mail: Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov
http://www.doa.state.wi.us/subcategory.asp?linksubcatid=354&linkcatid=11&linkid=64&locid=9
Tad and Adam,

Does this happen when assigning from a particular layer?

Is this with all your plans (New, Existing or one’s created from a template)? Do the plans have spaces or special characters in their name?

I have been trying hard to recreate the error and I may need some more information.

Thank you,

Tony

---

From: tottman [mailto:]
Sent: Wednesday, May 04, 2011 10:23 AM
To: Squires, Ryan
Subject: Autobound crash

Ryan,

This is a picture of what I get when autobound crashes on me. I was working on a map that has effectively 8 Assembly Districts assigned, I clicked on "current district" on the autobound tools to switch districts. The district numbers came up, when I clicked on the new district I wanted to move to, the program crashed and closed out and this popped up: (If form holds, this will happen on nearly every district switch using the toolbar the rest of the way until I have them all filled in and can left click between districts)
ArcGIS Desktop has encountered a serious application error and is unable to continue.

If you were in the middle of something, the information you were working on might be lost.

Please tell ESRI about this problem.

We have created an error report. Press the 'Send Error Report' button to send the error report to us automatically over the internet.

We will treat this report as confidential and anonymous.

Optionally, provide your email address and a description of the problem. We will contact you if we need additional information about this issue. Your email will only be used in relation to this issue.

Email Address:

What were you doing when the problem happened?

☑ Include my system information in the error report

Send Error Report  Don't Send
Tad and Adam,

Can you run through the following steps in autoBound. This will prepare your database for the 2010 State Senate Election Data.

1. Open the autoBound Administration tool.
   a. Choose “Manage my Census and Political Data”.
   b. Choose “Calculate or Edit My Database”.
   c. Click on the “Add Field” button.
   d. Add the following fields with the follow parameters
      1. Numeric
         2. Field 9, no decimals
            i. SSDEM10
            ii. SSREP10
            iii. SSIND10
            iv. SSSCAT10
            v. SSS10T

After the fields are added you may need to “Finalize database” to use autoBound again.
If this can be done before we come over tomorrow, I will be able to add the 2010 Senate data to your databases.

If you don’t feel comfortable adding these fields in the database I can do it tomorrow.

Thank you,

Tony

Tony J. Van Der Wielen
Legislative Technology Services Bureau
GIS Manager
Phone: 608-283-1817
Fax: 608-267-6763
E-mail: Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov
Hi Tad,

Here is another updated copy with Mt. Sterling and Bay View manually updated based on the MCD.

Thanks,

Ryan

-----Original Message-----
From: Ottman, Tad
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2011 12:09 PM
To: Squires, Ryan; Van Der Wielen, Tony
Cc: Foltz, Adam
Subject: FW: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

After a little more examination, it looks like 7 wards unassigned to any districts, and something like 58 total wards that aren't assigned to any congressional districts.

-----Original Message-----
From: Ottman, Tad
To: Squires, Ryan; Foltz, Adam
Cc: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Subject: RE: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

Ryan and Tony,

Looking at the table, I see 7 wards that are not assigned to any Assembly, Senate or Congressional district. They just have zeros in those columns.

Tad

-----Original Message-----
From: Squires, Ryan
Sent: Tue 4/12/2011 10:36 AM
To: Foltz, Adam; Ottman, Tad
Cc: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Subject: FW: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

Here you are Adam and Tad. Let me know if there are any issues.

Thanks!
From: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2011 10:01 AM
To: Squires, Ryan
Subject: FW: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

Try this one.

Tony

From: Foltz, Adam
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2011 2:35 PM
To: Foltz, Adam
Subject: RE: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

Here is what you sent me before we received the PL data.

Thanks,

Adam

From: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2011 1:50 PM
CC: *Legislative GIS Staff
Subject: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

49
Adam and Tad,

Here is the spreadsheet with reordered and renamed election data fields.

Let me know if you need changes.

Thank you,

Tony

Tony J. Van Der Wielen
Legislative Technology Services Bureau
GIS Manager
Phone: 608-283-1817
Fax: 608-267-6763
E-mail: Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov

Wisconsin Interactive Map Server: http://wims.legis.state.wi.us
<BLOCKED::http://wims.legis.state.wi.us/>
Hi Tad and Adam,

I have recalculated all of the districts for the wards. There are only 4 wards that do not have a district assignment and it is because they do not exist in the TIGER database, and we have no geography for where they actually are located.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Ryan

-----Original Message-----
From: Ottman, Tad
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2011 12:09 PM
To: Squires, Ryan; Van Der Wielen, Tony
Cc: Foltz, Adam
Subject: FW: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

After a little more examination, it looks like 7 wards unassigned to any districts, and something like 58 total wards that aren't assigned to any congressional districts.

-----Original Message-----
From: Ottman, Tad
To: Squires, Ryan; Foltz, Adam
Cc: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Subject: RE: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

Ryan and Tony,

Looking at the table, I see 7 wards that are not assigned to any Assembly, Senate or Congressional district. They just have zeros in those columns.

Tad

-----Original Message-----
From: Squires, Ryan
Sent: Tue 4/12/2011 10:36 AM
To: Foltz, Adam; Ottman, Tad
Cc: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Subject: FW: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

Are you are Adam and Tad. Let me know if there are any issues.
Thanks!

- an

From: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2011 10:01 AM
To: Squires, Ryan
Subject: FW: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

Try this one.

- ony

From: Foltz, Adam
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2011 2:35 PM
To: Foltz, Adam
Subject: RE: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

Here is what you sent me before we received the PL data.

Thanks,

Adam

From: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2011 1:50 PM
To: Foltz, Adam; Ottman, Tad
Cc: *Legislative GIS Staff
Subject: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

Adam and Tad,

Here is the spreadsheet with reordered and renamed election data fields.

Let me know if you need changes.

Thank you,

Tony

Tony J. Van Der Wielen
Legislative Technology Services Bureau
GIS Manager
voice: 608-283-1817
fax: 608-267-6763
E-mail: Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov

Wisconsin Interactive Map Server: http://wims.legis.state.wi.us
<BLOCKED::http://wims.legis.state.wi.us/>
After a little more examination, it looks like 7 wards unassigned to any districts, and something like 58 total wards that aren't assigned to any congressional districts.

-----Original Message-----
From: Ottman, Tad
To: Squires, Ryan; Foltz, Adam
Cc: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Subject: RE: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

Ryan and Tony,

Looking at the table, I see 7 wards that are not assigned to any Assembly, Senate or Congressional district. They just have zeros in those columns.

Tad

-----Original Message-----
From: Squires, Ryan
Sent: Tue 4/12/2011 10:36 AM
To: Foltz, Adam; Ottman, Tad
Cc: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Subject: FW: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

Here you are Adam and Tad. Let me know if there are any issues.

Thanks!

Ryan

From: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2011 10:01 AM
To: Squires, Ryan
Subject: FW: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

From: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2011 2:35 PM
To: Foltz, Adam  
Subject: RE: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

Try this one.

Tony

From: Foltz, Adam  
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2011 10:16 AM  
To: Van Der Wielen, Tony  
Subject: FW: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

Here is what you sent me before we received the PL data.

Thanks,

Adam

From: Van Der Wielen, Tony  
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2011 1:50 PM  
To: Foltz, Adam; Ottman, Tad  
Cc: *Legislative GIS Staff  
Subject: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

Adam and Tad,

Here is the spreadsheet with reordered and renamed election data fields.

Let me know if you need changes.

Thank you,
Tony

Tony J. Van Der Wielen
Legislative Technology Services Bureau
GIS Manager
Phone: 608-283-1817
Fax: 608-267-6763
E-mail: Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov

Wisconsin Interactive Map Server: http://wims.legis.state.wi.us
<BLOCKED::http://wims.legis.state.wi.us/>
Ryan and Tony,

Looking at the table, I see 7 wards that are not assigned to any Assembly, Senate or Congressional district. They just have zeros in those columns.

Tad

-----Original Message-----
From: Squires, Ryan
Sent: Tue 4/12/2011 10:36 AM
To: Foltz, Adam; Ottman, Tad
Cc: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Subject: FW: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

Here you are Adam and Tad. Let me know if there are any issues.

Thanks!

Ryan

From: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2011 10:01 AM
To: Squires, Ryan
Subject: FW: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

From: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2011 2:35 PM
To: Foltz, Adam
Subject: RE: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

"My this one.

Tony
From: Foltz, Adam
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2011 10:16 AM
To: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Subject: FW: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

Here is what you sent me before we received the PL data.

Thanks,

Adam

From: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2011 1:50 PM
To: Foltz, Adam; Ottman, Tad
Cc: *Legislative GIS Staff
Subject: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

Adam and Tad,

Here is the spreadsheet with reordered and renamed election data fields.

Let me know if you need changes.

Thank you,

Tony

Tony J. Van Der Wielen
Legislative Technology Services Bureau
GIS Manager
Phone: 608-283-1817
Fax: 608-267-6763
E-mail: Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov

Wisconsin Interactive Map Server: http://wims.legis.state.wi.us
\*LOCKED::http://wims.legis.state.wi.us/>
Foltz, Adam

From: Squires, Ryan
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2011 10:37 AM
To: Foltz, Adam; Otman, Tad
Cc: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Subject: FW: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010
Attachments: VTDS2010ED_wCounty.zip

Here you are Adam and Tad. Let me know if there are any issues.

Thanks!

Ryan

From: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2011 10:01 AM
To: Squires, Ryan
Subject: FW: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

Try this one.

Tony

From: Foltz, Adam
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2011 10:16 AM
To: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Subject: FW: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

Here is what you sent me before we received the PL data.

Thanks,

Adam

From: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2011 1:50 PM
To: Foltz, Adam; Otman, Tad
Cc: *Legislative GIS Staff
Subject: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

...and Tad,

Here is the spreadsheet with reordered and renamed election data fields.
Let me know if you need changes.

thank you,

Tony

Tony J. Van Der Wielen
Legislative Technology Services Bureau
GIS Manager
Phone: 608-283-1817
Fax: 608-267-6763
E-mail: Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov

Wisconsin Interactive Map Server: http://wims.legis.state.wi.us
Try this one.

Tony

From: Foltz, Adam  
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2011 10:16 AM  
To: Van Der Wielen, Tony  
Subject: FW: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

Here is what you sent me before we received the PL data.

Thanks,

Adam

From: Van Der Wielen, Tony  
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2011 1:50 PM  
To: Foltz, Adam; Ottman, Tad  
Cc: Legislative GIS Staff  
Subject: All Election Data Spreadsheet 2000 - 2010

Adam and Tad,

Here is the spreadsheet with reordered and renamed election data fields.

Let me know if you need changes.

Thank you,

Tony

Tony J. Van Der Wielen  
Legislative Technology Services Bureau  
GIS Manager  
Phone: 608-283-1817  
Fax: 608-267-6763  
E-mail: Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov

Wisconsin Interactive Map Server: http://wims.legis.state.wi.us
Tad and Adam,

Here is what you will need to do.

Copy these files into the "c:\windows\syswow64" folder on your computers.

This will fix the recalculation error.

If you don't feel comfortable doing this I can stop over tomorrow morning.

Fred has added the ability to switch between an outline and a color ramp fill for the plan boundary.

To toggle between the default "outline" for the plan boundary and the "color ramp fill" use the "Reset Colors" tool found in the "Edit Tools" section of the autoBound tool bar. Choose “No” for a “Color Ramp Fill” of the plan boundary.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Tony

---

From: Foltz, Adam
Sent: Wed 3/30/2011 6:59 PM
To: Van Der Wielen, Tony; Squires, Ryan
Subject: matrix switch recalc error

This is the error i get every time i try to switch to my matrix.

Record Call Stack Sequence - Bottom line is error line.

QuickCompute C:\Programming\ArcGIS\AB9\V1\Toolbar_DLL\RedistrictingTools.frm Line : 4736

Error Number
91

Description
Object variable or With block variable not set

Record Call Stack Sequence - Bottom line is error line.
ror Number
91
Description
Object variable or With block variable not set

Record Call Stack Sequence - Bottom line is error line.

QuickCompute C:\Programming\ArcGIS\V9\V1\Toolbar_DLL\RedistrictingTools.frm Line : 4736

Error Number
91
Description
Object variable or With block variable not set

Record Call Stack Sequence - Bottom line is error line.

QuickCompute C:\Programming\ArcGIS\V9\V1\Toolbar_DLL\RedistrictingTools.frm Line : 4736

Error Number
91
Description
Object variable or With block variable not set

Record Call Stack Sequence - Bottom line is error line.

QuickCompute C:\Programming\ArcGIS\V9\V1\Toolbar_DLL\RedistrictingTools.frm Line : 4736

Error Number
91
Description
Object variable or With block variable not set

Record Call Stack Sequence - Bottom line is error line.

QuickCompute C:\Programming\ArcGIS\V9\V1\Toolbar_DLL\RedistrictingTools.frm Line : 4736

Error Number
91
Description
Object variable or With block variable not set

Record Call Stack Sequence - Bottom line is error line.

QuickCompute C:\Programming\ArcGIS\V9\V1\Toolbar_DLL\RedistrictingTools.frm Line : 4736

Error Number
91
Description
Object variable or With block variable not set

Record Call Stack Sequence - Bottom line is error line.

QuickCompute C:\Programming\ArcGIS\V9\V1\Toolbar_DLL\RedistrictingTools.frm Line : 4736
Record Call Stack Sequence - Bottom line is error line.

QuickCompute C:\Programming\ArcGIS\AB9\V1\Toolbar_Dll\RedistrictingTools.frm Line : 4736

Error Number
91
Description
Object variable or With block variable not set
Adam,

Close the error and do a recalculate. Choose "no" and let the recalculate run.

I will keep looking into this problem. This should keep you running for now.

Thank you,

Tony

---

From: Foltz, Adam  
Sent: Wed 3/30/2011 6:59 PM  
To: Van Der Wielen, Tony; Squires, Ryan  
Subject: matrix switch recalc error

This is the error I get every time I try to switch to my matrix.

Record Call Stack Sequence - Bottom line is error line.

    QuickCompute C:\Programming\ArcGIS\AB9\V1\Toolbar_Dll\RedistrictingTools.frm Line : 4736

Error Number
91
Description
Object variable or With block variable not set

Record Call Stack Sequence - Bottom line is error line.

    QuickCompute C:\Programming\ArcGIS\AB9\V1\Toolbar_Dll\RedistrictingTools.frm Line : 4736

Error Number
91
Description
Object variable or With block variable not set

Record Call Stack Sequence - Bottom line is error line.

    QuickCompute C:\Programming\ArcGIS\AB9\V1\Toolbar_Dll\RedistrictingTools.frm Line : 4736

Error Number
91
Description
Object variable or With block variable not set

Record Call Stack Sequence - Bottom line is error line.
or Number
  91
Description
  Object variable or With block variable not set

Record Call Stack Sequence - Bottom line is error line.

QuickCompute C:\Programming\ArcGIS\AB9\V1\Toolbar_DII\RedistrictingTools.frm Line : 4736

Error Number
  91
Description
  Object variable or With block variable not set

Record Call Stack Sequence - Bottom line is error line.

QuickCompute C:\Programming\ArcGIS\AB9\V1\Toolbar_DII\RedistrictingTools.frm Line : 4736

Error Number
  91
Description
  Object variable or With block variable not set

Record Call Stack Sequence - Bottom line is error line.

QuickCompute C:\Programming\ArcGIS\AB9\V1\Toolbar_DII\RedistrictingTools.frm Line : 4736

Error Number
  91
Description
  Object variable or With block variable not set

Record Call Stack Sequence - Bottom line is error line.

QuickCompute C:\Programming\ArcGIS\AB9\V1\Toolbar_DII\RedistrictingTools.frm Line : 4736

Error Number
  91
Description
  Object variable or With block variable not set
Adam,

I have the file out here.

http://legis.wisconsin.gov/itsb/wiselr/data.htm (Assembly Geography/PL Merged)

Thank you,

Tony

Tony J. Van Der Wielen
Legislative Technology Services Bureau
GIS Manager
Phone: 608-283-1817
Fax: 608-267-6763
E-mail: Tony.vandervielen@legis.wisconsin.gov
Adam,

The 2000 pop is right on the 2010 pop is a little off (should be less than 100 for the state).

Here is the file let me know if you have any questions.


Tony

Tony J. Van Der Wielen
Legislative Technology Services Bureau
GIS Manager
Phone: 608-283-1817
Fax: 608-267-6763
E-mail: Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov
From: Van Der Wielen, Tony  
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2011 3:02 PM  
To: Foltz, Adam  
Subject: I need a few more minutes....

I almost figured this out!

Tony J. Van Der Wielen  
Legislative Technology Services Bureau  
GIS Manager  
Phone: 608-283-1817  
Fax: 608-267-6763  
E-mail: Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov
Adam,

Here is the file it is too big to e-mail.

Let me know when you get this and I will take it down.

Tony

Tony J. Van Der Wielen
Legislative Technology Services Bureau
GIS Manager
Phone: 608-283-1817
Fax: 608-267-6763
E-mail: Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov
I assume Patrick call you today. I passed the your call to him. I was a bit overwhelmed with calls today. I think you need the P3 patch to correct this. You can download and install it from www.citygategis.com/download/autobound10_P3.exe Make sure you point it to the location where autobound10 is installed.

Fred Hejazi
citygate gis
410-295-3333 ext111

On 3/24/2011 10:56 AM, Squires, Ryan wrote:
Hi Adam,

I think I got the same result as you. I created a new plan, copied it using "Copy Selected Plan", and it says that it copied successfully. It does look like it copied all of the files in the workspace, but doesn’t show up in my AutoBound Plan Manager. I do not believe there is a way to force AutoBound to recognize its own plans. I did not get the error that you did, but I have the same issue. I have CC’d Fred.

Hi Fred and Patrick:

Could you please let us know what is happening with this? Is the only way to copy a plan to export it and then import it? If this is a bug, when should our end users expect a patch? Please let me know if you need any clarification on the issue, and if there is anything I can do to help troubleshoot.

Thanks,

Ryan Squires
Wisconsin

When I try to copy a plan and assign a new file name, I get the following error. Also, when I check the workspace folder, it appears to have copied all of the plan files into the new plans folder. However the new copied plan doesn’t show up as in the plan manager. Is there a way to browse to the workspace folder and force autobound to recognize the plan?

An "OK" dialogue box with "An Unexpected Error Has Occurred Within the Application"

and the following error pops up underneath...

Record Call Stack Sequence - Bottom line is error line.
Error Number
70
Description
Permission denied
Hi Adam,

I think I got the same result as you. I created a new plan, copied it using “Copy Selected Plan”, and it says that it copied successfully. It does look like it copied all of the files in the workspace, but doesn’t show up in my AutoBound Plan Manager. I do not believe there is a way to force AutoBound to recognize its own plans. I did not get the error that you did, but I have the same issue. I have CC’d Fred.

Hi Fred and Patrick:

Could you please let us know what is happening with this? Is the only way to copy a plan to export it and then import it? If this is a bug, when should our end users expect a patch? Please let me know if you need any clarification on the issue, and if there is anything I can do to help troubleshoot.

Thanks,

Ryan Squires
Wisconsin

From: Foltz, Adam
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2011 9:42 AM
To: Van Der Wielen, Tony; Squires, Ryan
Subject: Copy plan error

When i try to copy a plan and assign a new file name, I get the following error. Also, when i check the workspace folder, it appears to have copied all of the plan files into the new plans folder. However the new copied plan doesn’t show up as in the plan manager. Is there a way to browse to the workspace folder and force autoubound to recognize the plan?

An "OK" dialogue box with "An Unexpected Error Has Occurred Within the Application"

and the following error pops up underneath...

Record Call Stack Sequence - Bottom line is error line.

wndTaskPanel_ItemClick C:\Programming\ArcGIS\AB9\V1\ToolBar_DLL\abManager.frm Line : 2241
CopyPlan C:\Programming\ArcGIS\AB9\V1\ToolBar_DLL\abManager.frm Line : 3207

Error Number
70
Description
Permission denied
Hi Tony,

We're hoping for early March but that's subject to whether we're open or not--if we're shut down--it's then how long are we shut down.

Cathy

Cathy McCully, Chief
Census Redistricting Data Office

301-763-4039
fax 301-763-4348
e11-301-467-4845
catherine.clark.mccully@census.gov

Cathy,

I hope all is going well.

I have been checking the RDO website and I can see we are not scheduled for this week. Could you give me any insight on the delivery of the PL data to Wisconsin?

Thank you!

Tony
Hi Tony,

We will be shipping Wisconsin on Tuesday by next day Fed-Ex. You should have it next Wednesday. It will be posted to the web on Thursday. Have you been watching our web site? You can track progress by going to the [www.census.gov/rdo/data](http://www.census.gov/rdo/data) Products are listed in the left hand column and by clicking each product==you get a description and status report.

We're updating the site right now.

Cathy

*******************************************

Cathy McCully, Chief
Census Redistricting Data Office

301-763-4039
fax 301-763-4348
cell-301-467-4845
atarine.clark.mccully@census.gov

From: "Van Der Wielen, Tony"
<Tony.VanDerWielen@legis.wisconsin.gov>

To: <catherine.clark.mccully@census.gov>

Date: 01/13/2011 05:12 PM

Subject: RE: PL and TIGER Data

Cathy,
Do you have a information on the release of our TIGER data or our PL data?

We are getting a little nervous that we are last on the list to get data.

We have some tight deadlines for our local redistricting project and I am getting pressed for any word on delivery of our data.

Any information would be most appreciated.

Thank you,

Tony

Tony J. Van Der Wielen
Legislative Technology Services Bureau
GIS Manager
Phone: 608-283-1817
Fax: 608-267-6763
E-mail: Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov
Wisconsin Interactive Map Server: http://wims.legis.state.wi.us

From: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2010 10:31 AM
To: catherine.clark.mccully@census.gov

Subject: PL and TIGER Data

Cathy,

I wanted to touch base with you on the upcoming release of our redistricting data.

I noticed on the TIGER website that the release date for states has been pushed back (release is now to start in December). Has the TIGER release also been pushed back for RDP participants?

I also wanted to see if a copy of our PL 94-171 data could also be sent to CityGate GIS for processing.

Hope all is well with you and your family!

Thank you,

Tony

Tony J. Van Der Wielen
Legislative Technology Services Bureau
GIS Manager
Phone: 608-283-1817
Fax: 608-267-6763
E-mail: Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov
Wisconsin Interactive Map Server: http://wims.legis.state.wi.us
Hi Adam,

There should be a copy in the AutoBound resources folder on your C drive, but just in case that one is not the most up to date I have attached a copy.

Thanks!

Ryan

---

From: Foltz, Adam  
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 4:07 PM  
To: Squires, Ryan  
Subject: question  

Do you have the member address you validated in an excel sheet?
Foltz, Adam

From: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2011 2:09 PM
To: Foltz, Adam
Subject: Projection Files
Attachments: assembly.prj; senate.prj

Tony J. Van Der Wielen
Legislative Technology Services Bureau
GIS Manager
Phone: 608-283-1817
Fax: 608-267-6763
E-mail: Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov
Tad,

I have added the 2002 SOS data to the spreadsheet.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Tony

Tony J. Van Der Wielen
Legislative Technology Services Bureau
GIS Manager
Phone: 608-283-1817
Fax: 608-267-6763
E-mail: Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov
Hello Tad and Adam,

I have added the functionality that you requested for the Map Generator. When you are prompted for what type of plan it is, you will see a check box below Assembly Districts that asks if you want to group by senate districts. If you have an Assembly plan, and you want to create a Senate shapefile and make maps that will show all three Assembly districts in the Senate district, than use this option. If you have a plan, that is actually Senate districts (ie. 33 districts, without any assembly shapes) then choose Senate as the type of plan. You will need to complete a couple of steps to update your Map Generator.

1. Copy the attached MXD, and use it to replace the older Map Generator
2. Create a NEW folder under C:\Output_Maps called: “Senate_Districts” (without quotes). This is where the Senate District shapefiles will be placed after running the application with the “Group by Senate” option selected. The shapefiles of the Senate Districts will be called the plan name followed by “_SD” (ie. Plan2001_SD)

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks!

Ryan Squires
GIS Analyst
Legislative Technology Services Bureau
608-283-1814
Foltz, Adam

From: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2011 1:09 PM
To: Foltz, Adam
Subject: DOJ
Attachments: getdoc.cgi_dbname=2001_register&docid=01-1488-filed.pdf

Total population .......................................................... 662,140
Non-Hispanic ................................................................. 649,413 (98.1%)
White ................................................................. 374,291 (56.5%)
Black or African American .................................................. 262,384 (39.6%)
Asian ................................................................. 6,161 ( 0.9%)
American Indian/Alaska Native .............................................. 2,995 ( 0.5%)
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander .............................. 375 ( 0.0%)
Some other race .......................................................... 882 ( 0.1%)
Other Multiple-Race (where more than one minority race is listed) 2,330 ( 0.4%)
Hispanic .......................................................... 12,727 ( 1.9%)

Tony J. Van Der Wielen
Legislative Technology Services Bureau
GIS Manager
Phone: 608-283-1817
Fax: 608-267-6763
E-mail: Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov
Foltz, Adam

From: Van Der Wielen, Tony
Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2011 1:54 PM
To: Foltz, Adam; Ottman, Tad
Subject: Field Calculations
Attachments: getdoc.cgi_dbname=2001_register&docid=01-1488-filed.pdf

Here is how the data was calculated.

1. Total Population = PERSONS
2. Hispanic Alone = HISPANIC
3. Non-Hispanic White = WHITE
4. Non-Hispanic Black + Non-Hispanic Black and White = BLACK
5. Non-Hispanic Asian + Non-Hispanic Asian and White = ASIAN
6. Non-Hispanic American Indian and Alaska Native + Non-Hispanic American Indian and Alaska Native and White = AMINDIAN
7. Non-Hispanic Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander + Non-Hispanic Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander and White = PISLAND
8. Non-Hispanic Some Other Race = OTHER
9. Non-Hispanic Other Multiple Race = OTHERMLT

10. Total Population over 18 = PERSONS18
11. 18 Hispanic Alone = HISPANIC18
12. 18 Non-Hispanic White = WHITE18
13. 18 Non-Hispanic Black + 18 Non-Hispanic Black and White = BLACK18
14. 18 Non-Hispanic Asian + 18 Non-Hispanic Asian and White = ASIAN18
15. 18 Non-Hispanic American Indian and Alaska Native + 18 Non-Hispanic American Indian and Alaska Native and White = AMINDIAN18
16. 18 Non-Hispanic Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander + 18 Non-Hispanic Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander and White = PISLAND18
17. 18 Non-Hispanic Some Other Race = OTHER18
18. 18 Non-Hispanic Other Multiple Race = OTHERMLT18

This is based on the DOJ Guidance that is attached to this e-mail.

If you add 2-9 together you will get 1 (Total Population).
If you add 11-18 you will get 10 (Persons18).

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Tony

Tony J. Van Der Wielen
Legislative Technology Services Bureau
GIS Manager
Phone: 608-283-1817
Fax: 608-267-6763
E-mail: Tony.vanderwielen@legis.wisconsin.gov
Hello Tad and Adam,

Attached is the Senate District map comparing 2000 to 2010 pop counts.

Have a great weekend,

Dana
Hi Adam,

I am going to have to email Fred, so until we hear from him I can only offer you the work around of opening the MXD directly, exporting the shapefile, and reimporting it like you would for an old plan for now. I will let you know as soon as we hear from him. Sorry for the trouble.

Ryan

---

From: Foltz, Adam  
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2011 10:08 AM  
To: Squires, Ryan  
Subject: RE: this morning's error

No, There are other plans that open properly  
All other plans are working normally from what i can tell  
Tad hasn't had this issue post-upgrade  
I just assigned  
the program just sits there and i can't do anything else. Restarting the program is required before i can open another plan

---

From: Squires, Ryan  
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2011 10:06 AM  
To: Foltz, Adam; Van Der Wielen, Tony  
Cc: Ylvisaker, Joel  
Subject: RE: this morning's error

Hi Adam,

A few quick questions to help me narrow things down:

1. Is that the only plan you have created since the upgrade?  
2. Do any other plans that we created (like the delete me plan) have any issue opening?  
3. Do you know if Tad is having any issues opening any of his plans?  
4. Was this plan created from importing a shapefile, or just assigning?  
5. What happens after the error?

Thanks,

Ryan

---

From: Foltz, Adam  
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2011 10:02 AM  
To: Van Der Wielen, Tony
This happens when I try to open a plan I was working on last Friday.
Troupis Law Office LLC
7609 Elmwood Ave
Suite 102
Middleton, WI 53562
608.807.4096
jrtroupis@troupislawoffice.com

This email and any attachments thereto may contain private, confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments thereto) by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments thereto.

From: Elisa Alfonso [mailto:ealfonso@MALDEF.org]
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2011 4:50 PM
To: 'Jim Troupis'
Cc: Alonzo Rivas
Subject: FW: MALDEF WI House Plan, (2nd edition)

Jim,

As promised, here is the MALDEF map we discussed this afternoon.
If you have any questions, please let us know.

Elisa
Jim

Troupis Law Office LLC
7609 Elmwood Ave
Suite 102
Middleton, WI 53562
608.807.4096
jrtroupis@troupislawoffice.com

This email and any attachments thereto may contain private, confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments thereto) by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments thereto.

From: tottman [mailto:tottman@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2011 5:35 PM
To: Jim Troupis
Cc: adamfoltz@gmail.com; McLeod, Eric M (22257); rptaffora@michaelbest.com
Subject: Re: FW: MALDEF WI House Plan, (2nd edition)

[Quoted text hidden]

tottman <tottman@gmail.com>  Mon, Jul 11, 2011 at 5:57 PM
To: Jim Troupis <jrtroupis@troupislawoffice.com>
Cc: adamfoltz@gmail.com, "McLeod, Eric M (22257)" <EMMcLeod@michaelbest.com>, rptaffora@michaelbest.com

Jim Troupis <jrtroupis@troupislawoffice.com>  Mon, Jul 11, 2011 at 6:42 PM
To: tottman <tottman@gmail.com>, adamfoltz@gmail.com, "McLeod, Eric M (22257)"
<EMMcLeod@michaelbest.com>, rptaffora@michaelbest.com
Elisa and Alonzo,

I like your proposal. We’ve taken it a bit further. Here is a comparison of MALDEF’s proposal to a suggestion we think might work a bit better. MALDEF’s option is shown in color and our suggestion to do the same thing on the same template is shown in outline form as an overlay.

The HVAP numbers under the 2 plans:

MALDEF

AD 8 60.10
AD 9 53.00

Our Alternative

AD 8 60.52
So this takes the same principal and improves it slightly on the numbers. Importantly, the MALDEF proposal would result in changing at least four other assembly districts in the present legislation, while this alternative would not cause those other unnecessary changes. As a result, I think the legislature could move to your suggestion—with our small changes.

Let us know what you think.

The hearing is on Wens., and if you would be willing to speak on behalf of this, we can then make sure you are on the agenda and the plan is given complete consideration.

Jim

Troupis Law Office LLC
7609 Elmwood Ave
Suite 102
Middleton, WI 53562
608.807.4096
jrtroupis@troupislawoffice.com

This email and any attachments thereto may contain private, confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments thereto) by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments thereto.

---

From: Elisa Alfonso [mailto:ealfonso@MALDEF.org]
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2011 4:50 PM
To: 'Jim Troupis'
Cc: Alonzo aRivas
Subject: FW: MALDEF WI House Plan, (2nd edition)

Jim,

As promised, here is the MALDEF map we discussed this afternoon.
If you have any questions, please let us know.

Elisa

---

Comparison of 64-50 maps.pdf

64K

Jim Troupis <jrtroupis@troupislawoffice.com>  
Tue, Jul 12, 2011 at 12:00 PM  
To: totman@gmail.com, adamboltz@gmail.com, "McLeod, Eric M (22257)" <EMMcLeod@michaelbest.com>, rptaffora@michaelbest.com

---

James R. Troupis  
Troupis Law Office LLC  
jrtroupis@troupislawoffice.com  
ph. 608-807-4096

This email and any attachments thereto may contain private, confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments thereto) by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please
contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments thereto.

From: Elisa Alfonso [mailto: ealfonso@MALDEF.org]
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2011 11:41 AM
To: Jim Troupis; Alonzo Rivas
Subject: Re: FW: MALDEF WI House Plan, (2nd edition)

Jim,
Alonzo is out this morning and won't be back until this afternoon.

In regards to the MALDEF map, we will go with the recommendation you made last night.

As for tomorrow, we are unfamiliar with the process. Does it have to be oral testimony or can it be written? Any suggestions you can give us will be greatly appreciated.

We definitely need to speak today. Please let us know when you think we can have a call after your meetings.

Thank you.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

From: Jim Troupis <jtroupis@troupislawoffice.com>
Date: Tue, 12 Jul 2011 10:35:56 -0500
To: Elisa Alfonso <ealfonso@MALDEF.org>; Alonzo Rivas <Arivas@MALDEF.org>
Subject: RE: FW: MALDEF WI House Plan, (2nd edition)

Elisa,

I am meeting with legislative leaders this afternoon. Can we talk later this morning? The hearing will be tomorrow at 10 a.m. in Madison, and so, to the extent we can, we would like to insure that the concerns of the Latino community are addressed. This morning I asked staff to consult with our Legislative Reference Bureau on these alternatives as they must ultimately draft any amendment.

Let me know what works.

Jim

James R. Troupis
Elisa and Alonzo,

I like your proposal. We’ve taken it a bit further. Here is a comparison of MALDEF’s proposal to a suggestion we think might work a bit better. MALDEF’s option is shown in color and our suggestion to do the same thing on the same template is shown in outline form as an overlay.

The HVAP numbers under the 2 plans:

MALDEF

AD 8 60.10
AD 9 53.00

Our Alternative

AD 8 60.52
AD 9 54.03

So this takes the same principal and improves it slightly on the numbers. Importantly, the MALDEF proposal would result in changing at least four other assembly districts in the present legislation, while this alternative would not cause those other
unnecessary changes. As a result, I think the legislature could move to your suggestion—with our small changes.

Let us know what you think.

The hearing is on Wens., and if you would be willing to speak on behalf of this, we can then make sure you are on the agenda and the plan is given complete consideration.

Jim

Troupis Law Office LLC
7609 Elmwood Ave
Suite 102
Middleton, WI 53562
608.807.4096
jtroupis@troupislawoffice.com

This email and any attachments thereto may contain private, confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments thereto) by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments thereto.

From: Elisa Alfonso [mailto:ealfonso@MALDEF.org]
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2011 4:50 PM
To: 'Jim Troupis'
Cc: Alonzo aRívases
Subject: FW: MALDEF WI House Plan, (2nd edition)

Jim,

As promised, here is the MALDEF map we discussed this afternoon.

If you have any questions, please let us know.

Elisa
Wisconsin Hispanic Districts

tottman <tottman@gmail.com>  Sun, Jul 17, 2011 at 11:40 AM
To: Keith Gaddie <rggaddie@ou.edu>
Cc: adam foltz <adamfoltz@gmail.com>, Jim Troupis <jtroupis@troupislawoffice.com>, "McLeod, Eric M (22257)" <EMMcleod@michaelbest.com>, "Taffora, Raymond P (22244)" <rptaffora@michaelbest.com>

Keith,

Jim Troupis asked that I have you take a look at the amendment that was adopted in committee on the hispanic districts. Here is the link to the interactive maps: [http://legis.wisconsin.gov/ltsb/redistricting/bills.htm](http://legis.wisconsin.gov/ltsb/redistricting/bills.htm)

Amendment 2 was the configuration that was adopted. The HVAP in AD 8 is 60.5% and in AD 9 it is 54%. The incumbent lives in AD 8 and AD 9 is open under all alternatives.

There was testimony by 2 different hispanic groups in favor of the configuration in amendment 2. No one that I'm aware of testified in favor of either the bill configuration (AD 8 HVAP 57.2%, AD 9 HVAP 57.2%) or in favor of amendment 1 (AD 8 HVAP 64%, AD 9 HVAP 50%).

Jim was going to call you later today to get your thoughts if you have a chance to take a look at the amendment.

Thanks,

Tad Ottman
Wisconsin Hispanic Districts

Gaddie, Ronald K. <rggaddie@ou.edu>                          Sun, Jul 17, 2011 at 1:18 PM
To: tottman <tottman@gmail.com>
Cc: adam foltz <adamfoltz@gmail.com>, Jim Troupis <jrtroupis@troupislawoffice.com>, "McLeod, Eric M (22257)"
<EMMcLeod@michaelbest.com>, "Taffora, Raymond P (22244)" <rptaffora@michaelbest.com>

I will look at them and can talk after 5pm. There are other items I need to clear off the desk before I am free to visit.

Ronald Keith Gaddie
Professor of Political Science
Editor, Social Science Quarterly
The University of Oklahoma
455 West Lindsey Street, Room 222
Norman, OK  73019-2001
Phone 405-325-4989
Fax 405-325-0718
E-mail: rkgaddie@ou.edu
http://faculty-staff.ou.edu/G/Ronald.K.Gaddie-1
http://socialsciencequarterly.org

From: tottman <tottman@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 17, 2011 11:40 AM
To: Gaddie, Ronald K.
Cc: adam foltz; Jim Troupis; McLeod, Eric M (22257); Taffora, Raymond P (22244)
Subject: Wisconsin Hispanic Districts

[Quoted text hidden]
Revised timing

Gaddie, Ronald K. <rggaddie@ou.edu>  
To: tottman <tottman@gmail.com>  
Cc: adam foltz <adamfoltz@gmail.com>, Jim Trroupis <jrtroupis@troupislawoffice.com>, "McLeod, Eric M (22257)" <EMMcleod@michaelbest.com>, "Taffora, Raymond P (22244)" <rptaffora@michaelbest.com>

I am ready to talk.

Ronald Keith Gaddie  
Professor of Political Science  
Editor, Social Science Quarterly  
The University of Oklahoma  
455 West Lindsey Street, Room 222  
Norman, OK  73019-2001  
Phone 405-325-4989  
Fax 405-325-0718  
E-mail: rkgaddie@ou.edu  
http://faculty-staff.ou.edu/G/Ronald.K.Gaddie-1  
http://socialsciencequarterly.org

From: tottman [tottman@gmail.com]  
Sent: Sunday, July 17, 2011 11:40 AM  
To: Gaddie, Ronald K.  
Cc: adam foltz; Jim Trroupis; McLeod, Eric M (22257); Taffora, Raymond P (22244)  
Subject: Wisconsin Hispanic Districts

Keith,

Jim Trroupis asked that I have you take a look at the amendment that was adopted in committee on the hispanic districts. Here is the link to the interactive maps: http://legis.wisconsin.gov/ltsb/redistricting/bills.htm

Amendment 2 was the configuration that was adopted. The HVAP in AD 8 is 60.5 % and in AD 9 it is 54%. The incumbent lives in AD 8 and AD 9 is open under all alternatives.

There was testimony by 2 different hispanic groups in favor of the configuration in amendment 2. No one that I'm aware of testified in favor of either the bill configuration (AD 8 HVAP 57.2%, AD 9 HVAP 57.2%) or in favor of amendment 1 (AD 8 HVAP 64%, AD 9 HVAP 50%).

Jim was going to call you later today to get your thoughts if you have a chance to take a look at the amendment.

Thanks,

Tad Ottman
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