Re: FW: amendments for friday executive session

To see messages related to this one, group messages by conversation.

tad ottman
To Jim Troupis, Taffora, Raymond P (22244), McLeod, Eric M (22257), adam foltz, joeminocqua@msn.com

Current Districts:
SD 21 Total Population 22,835 Black 21,118 Hispanic for 43,953 Black plus Hispanic.
That combined is 26% of the district population, with the district under ideal population by 5,598 persons.
SD 22 Total Population 12,289 Black 20,653 Hispanic for 32,942 Black plus Hispanic.
That combined is 18.2% of the district population with the district over ideal population by 7,686 persons.

New Districts:
SD 21 Total Population 7,027 Black 10,039 Hispanic for 17,066 Black plus Hispanic.
That combined is 9.9% of the district population.
SD 22 Total Population 28,086 Black 31,642 Hispanic for 59,728 Black plus Hispanic.
That combined is 34.7% of the district population.

My recollection is that 30 percent VAP is the threshold for an influence district.

On Thu, Jul 14, 2011 at 2:03 PM, Jim Troupis <jrtroupis@troupislawoffice.com> wrote:

That is much better than I thought, with HVAP of 28.71 for all minorities, and an improvement over both prior districts in both minority categories.

While not directly relevant, what is the Total Minority population for those districts.
In the past, what has been considered minimal “influence” on a % basis, if you recall? Something to arm the Senators with.

Jim

Troupis Law Office LLC
7609 Elmwood Ave
Suite 102
Middleton, WI 53562
608.807.4096
jrtroupis@troupislawoffice.com

This email and any attachments thereto may contain private, confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments thereto) by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments thereto.

From: tottman [mailto:tottman@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2011 1:57 PM
To: Jim Troupis
Cc: Taffora, Raymond P (22244); McLeod, Eric M (22257); adam foltz; joeminocqua@msn.com
Subject: Re: FW: amendments for friday executive session

The bills are available for download in PDF form on the legislature’s website (lower left part of the screen): http://legis.wisconsin.gov/
If you have trouble printing them, I can try to grab pdf's and forward them.

In terms of the Minority Numbers. The Current Senate Districts are as follows:

SD 21: BVAP: 11.91%  HVAP: 9.96%
SD 22: BVAP: 5.44%   HVAP: 8.94%

SB 148 Minority numbers:

SD 21: BVAP: 3.49%   HVAP: 4.49%
SD 22: BVAP: 14.01%  HVAP: 14.71%

I think we have a great case to make that we have strongly improved minority influence in the new Senate seat.

On Thu, Jul 14, 2011 at 1:28 PM, Jim Troupis <jrtroupis@troupislawoffice.com> wrote:

Tad,

Could you please resend SB148, 149 and 150?

Also, based on discussions I had this morning it appears the other side is going to challenge based on the disenfranchisement. (among other ideas they are
tossing around)

What is the minority number of the new Racine/Kenosha seat, compared with the prior minority numbers of the old Racine and Kenosha county based seats?

Jim

Troupis Law Office LLC
7609 Elmwood Ave
Suite 102
Middleton, WI 53562
608.807.4096
jrtroupis@troupislawoffice.com

This email and any attachments thereto may contain private, confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments thereto) by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments thereto.

From: tottman [mailto:tottman@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2011 1:00 PM
To: Taffora, Raymond P (22244); McLeod, Eric M (22257); Jim Troupis; adam foltz; joeminocqua@msn.com
Subject: Fwd: FW: amendments for friday executive session
------------ Forwarded message ----------
From: Ottman, Tad <Tad.Ottman@legis.wisconsin.gov>
Date: Thu, Jul 14, 2011 at 12:58 PM
Subject: FW: amendments for friday executive session
To: tottman@gmail.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Reader, Chris
Sent: Thu 7/14/2011 12:53 PM
To: Esser, Jennifer; Wheaton, Doug; Laundrie, Julie; Tuschen, Terry
Subject: amendments for friday executive session

Attached are the known amendments we have in our office at this time.

SB148

a13941 by Zipperer (also have population statistics memo attached)

SB150

a13961 by Erpenbach

a13951 by Erpenbach

SB150 amendment by Zipperer

If there are any other amendments coming forward, please have to our office by the end of the day today (6pm) for consideration. I will distribute any that come in as they are sent to me.
Thanks!

Chris Reader
Chief of Staff
Senator Rich Zipperer
State Capitol Room 323 South
(608) 266-9174
Hey Joe-

I went ahead and ran the regression models for 2006, 2008, and 2010 to generate open seat estimates on all of the precincts. They expected GOP open seat assembly vote using the equations correlates at .96 with the 2004-2010 composite, and at a .93 level with the 2006-2010 state constitutional office composite. Both of them are running a little strong relative to one cluster of precincts -- I'll look and see if they are up north.

But, at this point, if you asked me, the power of the relationships indicates that the partisanship proxy you are using (all races) is an almost perfect proxy for the open seat vote, and the best proxy you'll come up with.

This seems to pretty much wraps up the partisanship measure debate.

Have Jim call me if he needs anything. Otherwise, I'll be tweaking the polarization analysis.

Best,
Keith
From: Joseph H. andrick [joeminocqua@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 19, 2011 9:33 PM
To: Gaddie, Ronald K.
Subject: RE: Milwaukee county elections

We looked at the different combos today.

The 2006 and 2010 races combined tilt too much to the GOP. I thought 06 and 10 would balance but they don't. The northern seats were especially out of whack.

So I had Tad do a composite with the 2006 and 2010 state races and all the federal races from 04 to 2010 (in other words, all statewide races from 04 to 2010). This seems to work well both in absolute terms as well as seats in relation to each other.

From: rkgaddie@ou.edu
To: joeminocqua@msn.com
Subject: RE: Milwaukee county elections
Date: Wed, 20 Apr 2011 02:18:46 +0000

Good. I am close to having a partisan baselining for you.

Ronald Keith Gaddie
Professor of Political Science
Editor, Social Science Quarterly
The University of Oklahoma
455 West Lindsey Street, Room 222
Norman, OK 73019-2001
Phone 405-325-4989
Fax 405-325-0718
E-mail: rkgaddie@ou.edu
http://faculty-staff.ou.edu/G/Ronald.K.Gaddie-1
http://socialsciencequarterly.org
Subject: RE: Milwaukee county elections

i think its right in terms of the ranking of recall threats

From: rkgaddie@ou.edu
To: joeminocqua@msn.com
Subject: RE: Milwaukee county elections
Date: Tue, 19 Apr 2011 13:27:40 +0000

Joe-

FYI. I'd be curious to see what you think of this analysis:


keith

Ronald Keith Gaddie
Professor of Political Science
Editor, Social Science Quarterly
The University of Oklahoma
455 West Lindsey Street, Room 222
Norman, OK 73019-2001
Phone 405-325-4989
Fax 405-325-0718
E-mail: rkgaddie@ou.edu
http://faculty-staff.ou.edu/G/Ronald.K.Gaddie-1
http://socialsciencequarterly.org

From: joseph handrick [joeminocqua@msn.com]
Sent: Sunday, April 17, 2011 12:59 PM
To: adam foltz; tad ottman; Gaddie, Ronald K.
Subject: Milwaukee county elections

Similarity between Gov race and this month's non-partisan Cty exec race in Milwaukee Cty...
Fwd: FW: amendments for friday executive session

To see messages related to this one, group messages by conversation.

From: Ottman, Tad <Tad.Ottman@legis.wisconsin.gov>
Date: Thu, Jul 14, 2011 at 12:58 PM
Subject: FW: amendments for friday executive session
To: totttman@gmail.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Reader, Chris
Sent: Thu 7/14/2011 12:53 PM
To: Esser, Jennifer; Wheaton, Doug; Laundrie, Julie; Tuschen, Terry
Subject: amendments for friday executive session

Attached are the known amendments we have in our office at this time.

Download all as zip
SB148

a13941 by Zipperer (also have population statistics memo attached)

SB150

a13961 by Erpenbach

a13951 by Erpenbach

SB150 amendment by Zipperer

If there are any other amendments coming forward, please have to our office by the end of the day today (6pm) for consideration. I will distribute any that come in as they are sent to me.

Thanks!

Chris Reader

Chief of Staff

Senator Rich Zipperer

State Capitol Room 323 South

(608) 266-9174