TRAFFIC SAFETY CAMERAS SAVE LIVES

TRAFFIC VIOLENCE IS A HEALTH ISSUE

Over the last 5 years, 1,166 people were killed or suffered life-changing injuries in speed related traffic crashes in the City of Milwaukee.

Wisconsin is currently missing out on a key tool for traffic safety: Automated Traffic Enforcement (ATE), or Traffic Safety Cameras.





Milwaukee continues to endure significantly higher traffic injuries and deaths compared to the rest of the state. Annual average traffic deaths in Milwaukee increased 86% since 2012, while decreasing 6% in the rest of the state.

Source: Wisconsin Public Policy Forum



Traffic Violence is a health issue. In 2024, 39,345 people were killed in traffic crashes in the United States, 164 in Wisconsin, and 74 in the City of Milwaukee.

Source: WisDOT and NHTSA preliminary data



These injuries and deaths are preventable. Traffic deaths involving speeding have increased by 270% since 2002 in the City of Milwaukee. Source: Wisconsin Public Policy Forum

WHAT ARE TRAFFIC SAFETY CAMERAS?



62% of people support using cameras for red light & speeding tickets.

Source: City of Milwaukee online survey

There are two main types of traffic safety cameras



~

Speed Safety Cameras

(Automated Speed Enforcement System)

These detect vehicles that are traveling above a set speed. They are not activated unless a vehicle is traveling well above (usually > 10 mph) the posted speed limit.





Red Light Safety Cameras

(Traffic Control Photographic System)

These detect vehicles that run red lights. They aren't focused on ticketing close calls — they normally allow cars up to a half second after the light turns red.

Why isn't WI using them?

Wisconsin currently bans the use of traffic safety cameras statewide. Some State legislators are interested in passing a bill that would allow the City of Milwaukee to use red light and speed safety cameras on City owned streets.



TRAFFIC SAFETY CAMERAS ARE...

	Safe & Effective	 The U.S. Department of Transportation's <u>Crash Modification Factors Clearinghouse</u>, reports that on urban arterials, fixed speed cameras Reduce all crashes 54% Reduce injury crashes 47% Cameras allow officers to enforce dangerous driving without diverting limited resources from other areas.
	Collaborative & Transparent	 The goal of cameras is to encourage safe driving, not to catch people by surprise. The launch of a safety camera program would be highly publicized. Signs would be posted so that people know where cameras are and warnings would be given instead of citations, for the first 90 days.
	Common Sense	 The goal of cameras is to encourage safe driving behavior, not to make money. Collected fines would first support program start-up and maintenance costs. The use of excess funds would be restricted to local traffic safety initiatives. Cameras can help identify cars stolen cars – they would not be used to penalize people for the irresponsible actions of a car thief. Cameras reduce the likelihood of violent encounters between drivers/passengers and police.
	Data Driven	 Cameras would be installed on roads where high numbers of crashes that cause injury or death have occurred and with community input. Because human death and injury reduction is the goal, education in-lieu of fines can be offered to encourage safe driving, even if revenue is reduced.

REMEMBER: MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS ARE NEEDED

Traffic safety
cameras should be
paired with other
safety measures
like street design,
safe speed limits,
and education. A
"Safe System"
approach can
create lasting
change that
minimizes the need
for enforcement.

