



# Wisconsin Elections Commission

201 West Washington Avenue | Second Floor | P.O. Box 7984 | Madison, WI 53707-7984  
(608) 266-8005 | elections@wi.gov | elections.wi.gov

---

**DATE:** March 31, 2022

**TO:** Members, Wisconsin Legislature, Joint Legislative Audit Committee

**FROM:** Members, State of Wisconsin Elections Commission

**SUBJECT:** Progress Implementing Legislative Audit Bureau Recommendations

This memorandum is being provided to the Joint Legislative Audit Committee as an update on the Wisconsin Elections Commission's progress in implementing the recommendations made in the Legislative Audit Bureau's review of election administration in Wisconsin. The Legislative Audit Bureau report asked that we report back to the Committee on the status of implementation by March 31, 2022. This report is the Commission's status update to the Committee.

The six-member, bipartisan Commission is pleased to report that we have reviewed each of the LAB's recommendations and have considered action on each. By law, decisions of the Commission require an affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the members.

This memorandum provides the following regarding each of the 30 LAB recommendations:

1. **Current status**, in brief, immediately follows the summary of each recommendation.
2. **Background** on each recommendation is provided. We believe this is important context for the Committee to be aware of to best understand the direction of the WEC.
3. **Commission action** is also outlined as it relates to each recommendation. The Commission made most decisions at its December 1, 2022, meeting. Final, approved minutes from that meeting are also attached to this memo for your reference as Appendix A. Complete meeting minutes, video, and materials are also available here: <https://elections.wi.gov/node/7370>
4. **Detailed Status of implementation** is further provided to highlight in detail the progress made on each of the LAB's recommendations.

*Wisconsin Elections Commissioners*

Ann S. Jacobs, chair | Marge Bostelmann | Julie M. Glancey | Dean Knudson | Robert Spindell | Mark L. Thomsen

---

Administrator  
Meagan Wolfe

## **Recommendation 1**

### **Summary of Recommendation**

LAB recommendation #1 relates to updating existing administrative rule EL 12 to reflect a recent legislative change to the training terms for municipal clerks and to reflect the brand name “WisVote” instead of the generic term “statewide voter registration system (SVRS).”

### **Status**

Promulgation of administrative rule in progress.

### **Background and Current Practice**

The full text of the current Administrative Rule EL 12 can be found here:  
[https://docs.legis.wisconsin.gov/code/admin\\_code/el/12](https://docs.legis.wisconsin.gov/code/admin_code/el/12)

The current rule references a clerk training term that ends with the general election cycle in November of even-numbered years. In 2019 the law was changed so that the training period coincides with the calendar year and now begins on January 1 of an even-numbered year and ends on December 31 of the following year.

The LAB recommendation also suggests amending that rule to reflect a change in the name of the “statewide voter registration system” administered by WEC, and there are two sections in EL 12 that use this term. While the name of the system did change in 2016 to WisVote, WEC specifically and purposefully uses the generic term “statewide voter registration system” as a security precaution and to avoid the rule or training becoming outdated should the brand name of the system change in the future.

### **Commission Action**

On December 1, 2021, the Commission directed staff to draft a scope statement to amend administrative rule EL-12 to 1) comport language regarding clerk training terms with the new, applicable statute, 2) add a line to EL-12 that clarifies that the statewide voter registration system is named “WisVote,” and that the name of the system may change in the future.

### **Detailed Implementation Status**

The administrative rule statement of scope was prepared by staff and submitted to the Commission for their approval. The Commission approved the scope statement and directed that it be submitted to the Department of Administration/Governor, which was done on January 21, 2022. The scope statement was approved on February 3, 2022, and subsequently published in the

administrative register on February 7, 2022. On February 11, 2022, the WEC received a request from the Joint Committee for Review of Administrative Rules, requesting that the Commission hold a public hearing on the scope statement before proceeding. On March 9, the WEC voted to schedule a public hearing, which was conducted on March 23, 2022. At the Commission's next meeting it will consider approving the scope statement for submission.

## Recommendations 2 and 3

### Summary of Recommendations

LAB recommendation #2 suggests that WEC should amend Admin. EL-12 to include specific information on the method used to contact the governing bodies when municipal clerks do not report sufficient training.

LAB recommendation #3 suggests that once WEC amends Admin. EL-12 to include the specific method for contacting governing bodies, it should then follow said rule.

### Status

Promulgation of administrative rule in progress.

### Background and Current Practice

The full text of the current Administrative Rule EL-12 can be found here:  
[https://docs.legis.wisconsin.gov/code/admin\\_code/el/12](https://docs.legis.wisconsin.gov/code/admin_code/el/12)

[Wis. Stat. § 7.315](#) requires that the Commission promulgate an administrative rule prescribing the content of training for municipal clerks to achieve certification, which is currently accomplished in Admin. EL-12. Wis. Stat. § [7.315\(2\)](#) further states that the rule should include the method used for contacting the governing body for a clerk who “fails to attend required training.” EL-12 currently outlines the training requirements and states that WEC will contact governing bodies of clerks who do not take and report all required training in a term. The rule does not, however, specify the way the governing body should be contacted.

It is true that the WEC did not contact the head elected official for each municipality whose clerk did not meet training requirements prior to the start of the 2020 election cycle. Staff instead posted information regarding each clerk’s training status to the agency website and conducted outreach to non-compliant clerks to inform them of the training requirements and provide suggested training opportunities.

It should also be noted that there is no central list of 1,850 municipal governing bodies. Therefore, this requirement has been previously accomplished (including in 2019) by publicly posting a list of clerks who have not reported sufficient training.

WEC implemented the suggestion to contact governing bodies directly — rather than by public posting — and worked with local associations to obtain a reliable list of municipal governing bodies but will likely continue to post the list publicly to ensure there is transparency into the process.

### **Commission Action**

On December 1, 2021 the WEC directed staff to 1) begin the process to modify the existing administrative rule EL-12 to reflect that all municipalities must provide WEC with their official mailing address for purposes of receiving notice that the clerk has not completed training, and 2) send by First Class mail, within 30 days of the end of the clerk training period, notice to the official address, as may be determined by Commission staff, notifying the top elected official of a particular municipality that their clerk has not completed training.

### **Detailed Implementation Status**

The administrative rule statement of scope was prepared by staff and submitted to the Commission for their approval. The Commission approved the scope statement and directed that it be submitted to the Department of Administration/Governor, which was done on January 21, 2022. The scope statement was approved on February 3, 2022, and subsequently published in the administrative register on February 7, 2022. On February 11, 2022, the WEC received a request from the Joint Committee for Review of Administrative Rules requesting that the Commission hold a public hearing on the scope statement before proceeding. On March 9, the WEC voted to schedule a public hearing, which was conducted on March 23, 2022. At the Commission's next meeting it will consider approving the scope statement for submission.

Regarding contacting governing bodies of clerks who have not reported required training for the 2021-2022 term, WEC staff has included a memorandum as Appendix B, which outlines all efforts that were made to contact clerks and governing bodies for the current term. Commission staff sent a letter to the top elected official in 151 municipalities on January 26, 2022, to inform them their clerk had not reported sufficient training for the 2020-2021 term.

## **Recommendation 4**

### **Summary of Recommendation**

LAB recommendation #4 suggests that the WEC should promulgate an administrative rule that specifies the content of training provided to special voting deputies (SVD) and election inspectors (poll workers).

### **Status**

Promulgation of administrative rule in progress.

### **Background and Current Practice**

[Wis. Stat. § 7.315\(1\)\(a\)](#) requires the Commission to promulgate an administrative rule that prescribes the contents of training municipal clerks provide to election inspectors and special voting deputies (SVDs). Currently [Admin. EL 12](#) outlines general provisions of clerk training but does not specify the content of SVD and election inspector training.

A scope statement for this rule was approved by the Commission in 2017. The scope statement was drafted and submitted to the Governor for approval, where it was allowed to expire for lack of action prior to promulgation. No feedback was received as to why the scope statement was allowed to expire.

LAB's review of training for election inspectors and Special Voting Deputies pointed out that those materials "contained relevant information," and emphasis on those comments are not to downplay the significance of the administrative rule-making process but to highlight that even without the required rule in place, accurate and relevant training was provided for training election inspectors and SVDs.

### **Commission Action**

On December 1, 2021, WEC directed that staff revive the draft scope statement that was previously submitted, as originally drafted, and bring it back to the Commission for review.

### **Detailed Implementation Status**

On March 9, 2022, the Commission reviewed and approved the draft scope statement and directed staff to submit it to DOA/Governor for approval. As of the date of this report, the scope statement was submitted to DOA/Governor and WEC is awaiting approval.

## **Recommendations 5 and 6**

### **Summary of Recommendations**

LAB recommendation #5 asks WEC to renew a data sharing agreement with the Wisconsin Department of Transportation (DOT).

LAB recommendation #6 asks WEC to include a mechanism in the updated agreement to ensure that the agreement is kept up to date in the future.

### **Status**

WEC and DOT have agreed to finalize a new data sharing agreement. The new agreement includes a mechanism for renewals.

### **Background and Current Practice**

[Wis. Stat. § 85.61](#) requires that WEC maintain a written data exchange agreement with the Department of Transportation. This agreement was current and in effect at the time of the November 2020 General Election. The agreement was scheduled to expire in January 2021. WEC and DOT agreed to extend the agreement indefinitely while developing a new and more comprehensive document. This agreement permits the use of an Application Programming Interface (API) which allows WEC to compare voter registration information against DOT driver license and ID information. While this agreement is being updated, there are no delays or gaps in completing statutorily required data checks between WEC and DOT. As is stated in the LAB report, all required data checks between WEC and DOT were completed in accordance with statute.

### **Commission Action**

On December 1, 2021, the WEC directed staff to continue working with DOT to update this agreement; that all provisions of the former agreement remain in place while it is being updated; and that the final agreement should include a mechanism for keeping the agreement renewed by July of every four years following the presidential election.

### **Detailed Implementation Status**

WEC and DOT worked together to extend the terms of the current agreement while an updated document is drafted, reviewed, and finalized. The new agreement contains the elements described below:

- Statement of intended use: a summary of the timeline and content of data exchanged between agencies and the statutory basis for the exchange

- Data confidentiality: agreement to observe relevant state and federal laws with citations
- Protection against unauthorized disclosure: processes to safeguard data employed by each agency
- Review and renewal terms: periodic review process defined
- General provisions: common clauses regarding amendment, termination, impossibility, waivers, and communications related to the agreement
- Agency contacts: points of contact by position title (versus individual names used in the old document)

The new agreement between WEC and DOT also includes a mechanism for keeping the agreement up to date through a review process occurring every four years following a presidential election.



## **Recommendation 7**

### **Summary of Recommendation**

LAB recommendation #7 asks WEC to work with the Department of Transportation to “obtain the electronic signatures of individuals who register online to vote, or to request that the Legislature modify the statutory requirement that the Wisconsin Elections Commission obtain [signatures].”

### **Status**

WEC finds it has fully complied with this recommendation.

### **Background and Current Practice**

Wisconsin statutes do not allow for or require voter signature comparison at any point in the registration or absentee voting process. The only time signatures are used by WEC is if there is an investigation or referral into a voter fraud matter. In these instances, WEC can access voter signatures that are housed and secured in the DOT’s database.

While the LAB recommendation does not cite a statutory provision to support its recommendation, staff believes this section is likely referencing [Wis. Stat. § 6.30\(5\)](#), which states that as part of the online registration process WEC shall obtain a copy of voter signature information from DOT.

The LAB report goes on to state, “WEC’s staff indicated that no signatures were obtained from DOT, in part, because a significant amount of electronic space would be needed to store them.” (LAB report page 23.) The words “in part” reference the omission of some critical facts.

The online voter registration (OVR) system was developed and implemented in accordance with 2015 Wisconsin Act 261 (Act 261), which amended subsections of Chapter 6 of the Wisconsin Statutes. Pursuant to Act 261, WEC staff provided five quarterly reports to the Wisconsin Legislature regarding the development of the OVR system. The last report, indicating system implementation, was submitted to the Wisconsin Legislature on January 12, 2017. The final requirement under Act 261 was that the Commission determine when the OVR system was complete. The Wisconsin Elections Commission unanimously certified the system on March 14, 2017.

The Act 261 quarterly progress reports for the Wisconsin Legislature and the Commission’s ultimate certification on March 14, 2017, repeatedly and clearly established that the Department of Transportation shall be the custodian of voter signatures. The final implementation report stated:

*The voter's signature is not included as part of the MyVote OVR process but is instead available to WEC from WI DOT's database as needed. The Commission approved this approach at its December 2016 meeting. (WEC Commission Meeting Materials, March 14, 2017)*

Put simply, the Wisconsin Legislature, the Elections Commission, and the Department of Transportation each recognized that there was no rational reason for the State to spend hundreds of thousands of dollars (ultimately millions over time) to maintain duplicate sets of data that were already: (1) in the State's possession; (2) professionally secured; (3) backed up and (4) readily available to WEC if needed.

Finally, the data exchange agreement with DOT in effect throughout calendar year 2020 explicitly provided that the parties would work together to exchange signatures whenever needed. Staff from both agencies have agreed that the new data exchange agreement should explicitly designate DOT as custodian of the files and further define the terms and mechanisms to obtain signature files.

In a subsequent section of the LAB report, on page 41, the LAB appears to imply that election officials should use DOT data to perform signature matching, although there is no basis in law for this assertion. It should be noted that should WEC desire to change the process by which signatures are stored, the cost to the taxpayer would exceed \$100,000 per year. This cost would be purely for the duplicative storage of data that is already accessible for the statutory requirements of WEC when needed.

Furthermore, any data transferred to the WEC would be stored and maintained by the Division of Enterprise Technology (DET), as the WEC does not possess its own data center. Therefore, the data may reside with DOT or DET, but in no event would it be in the direct custody of the WEC. It should be further noted that DOT is currently storing this data in a secure manner in compliance with state and federal privacy provisions.

### **Commission Action**

On December 1, 2021, the Commission passed the following motion: The WEC finds that it has fully complied with Wis. Stat. § 6.30(5) through its data sharing agreement with the Department of Transportation, which allows WEC's immediate access to those signatures.

### **Detailed Implementation Summary**

The WEC finds that it was in full compliance with the statute and no further action is needed.

## **Recommendation 8**

### **Summary of Recommendation**

LAB recommendation #8 asks the WEC to compare driver's license and identification card numbers nightly to identify duplicate numbers.

### **Status**

WEC has implemented this recommendation.

### **Background and Current Practice**

Wisconsin clerks are asked to review potential duplicate registration records that the agency flags for review. Reviews have to be completed and addressed before poll books are printed for each election. Duplicate records are a common and normal circumstance. Each time a person moves within the state and re-registers they may create a duplicate registration record (one at their old address and one at their new). Even moving to a new apartment in the same town will create a new record. WEC's database makes real-time comparisons of new registration records against existing registration records by looking at fields such as name, former name, address, former address, and date of birth. If a potential match is identified, the new and old registration records are flagged for the municipal clerk, who then examines the records. If they determine both records relate to the same voter, the records are merged to maintain the voter's registration and voting history as part of their new voter registration.

As the LAB report indicates, WEC's duplicate registration matching process is very effective and in 2020 alone the statewide system efficiently processed over 250,000 duplicate matches.

The LAB identified 70 pairs of driver's license numbers in the statewide system. With few exceptions, these were pairs of entirely unrelated voters where one person had the correct license number and the other had a license number that was one or two characters off. Further analysis by WEC staff showed that 68 of the pairs could be easily confirmed as typographical human errors. The remaining two pairs were further evaluated for any indication of illegal behavior. WEC staff found evidence of possible double voting associated with one of the remaining pairs. Upon contacting the municipality involved, staff learned that this case had been identified and referred for criminal prosecution several months earlier. Statutory authority to deactivate and merge voter records rests with municipal clerks.

While LAB's recommendation was only pertinent to a single voter record, WEC staff are implementing the LAB's suggestion to conduct a nightly review of the system for duplicate driver's license and state identification card numbers. This will serve as a double-check on top of the effective duplicate matching that WEC already conducts.

### **Commission Action**

On December 1, 2021, the WEC passed the following motion: The WEC has added the LAB's recommendation of a crosscheck with driver's license and ID numbers, in addition to the data fields already checked nightly, to identify duplicate voter registration records.

### **Detailed Implementation Status**

While elaborate matching processes through WisVote and ERIC already identify tens of thousands of duplicate registrations, an additional nightly check has been implemented to identify duplicate driver license numbers.

## **Recommendations 9 and 10**

### **Summary of Recommendations**

LAB recommendation #9 asks WEC to renew a data sharing agreement with the Wisconsin Department of Health Services (DHS).

LAB recommendation #10 asks WEC to include a mechanism in the updated agreement to ensure that the agreement is kept up to date in the future.

### **Status**

WEC and DHS are developing a new data sharing agreement. The new agreement includes a mechanism for renewals.

### **Background and Current Practice**

Statutes do not require WEC to maintain written agreements with the Department of Health Services. However, WEC and DHS are close partners and WEC staff coordinate with them frequently. DHS provides WEC with data from the department of vital records on individuals who have died in the state of Wisconsin.

[Wis. Stat. § 6.50\(4\)](#) requires municipal clerks to identify deceased voters and inactivate their records. Wisconsin law does not require WEC to facilitate this exchange of data between DHS and local clerks. Clerks often use local sources of reliable information, such as obituaries, to deactivate the records of deceased voters. However, it is WEC's long-standing practice to also provide clerks with data from DHS that they also use to deactivate deceased records. WEC believes this is a much more efficient and effective method of ensuring deceased records are deactivated on time rather than if each of Wisconsin's 1,850 municipal clerks were to try to obtain this data from DHS themselves.

The WEC agrees that data exchange agreements are worthwhile and has previously held a memorandum of understanding with DHS. WEC staff are currently working to implement LAB's recommendation. In fact, WEC had already initiated discussions with DHS to begin working on an agreement prior to the LAB report. In the interim, all agencies have agreed that the current data sharing mechanisms are satisfactory and meet all statutory requirements, but all sides are open to improving the process if opportunities are presented during the agreement drafting process.

While the LAB report included no recommendations regarding the processing of death notices, the body of the report included several misleading presentations. Most notable are those in Table 3 on page 27.

The table includes a bolded line indicating, “Clerks Made No Determinations,” and suggests that Wisconsin’s clerks were somehow negligent. Nothing could be further from the truth. The LAB hints at the truth with a footnote, revealing that nearly all the records were *already deactivated*. The overwhelming majority of clerks made no determination because no determination was required – the voter was no longer registered to vote.

On page 26 of the report the LAB stated:

*If a clerk does not act on a potential [death] match for a given individual, WEC’s staff are uncertain if a clerk determined whether an individual is still alive and, therefore, whether the voter registration record is accurate. (LAB Report page 26)*

This is incorrect. WEC staff possess a great deal of information about each record – most importantly the record’s active or inactive status. Indeed, the LAB almost immediately acknowledges this in a subsequent sentence:

*Although the data indicated that clerks had not acted on the potential matches, other data provided by WEC’s staff indicated that, in fact, clerks had inactivated the records of 12,406 of the 12,565 individuals (98.7 percent) as of the November 2020 General Election and had inactivated the records of all but 8 of the 12,565 individuals as of June 2021. (LAB Report page 26)*

The data, therefore, very clearly indicates that Wisconsin’s local election officials are diligent in their duties and expertly managed the enormous volume of data they were forced to contend with ahead of the 2020 General Election.

### **Commission Action**

On December 1, 2021 WEC passed the following motion: Although not statutorily required, WEC is already working to execute a data sharing agreement with DHS and updating the current data sharing agreement with DOC and will ensure that they are reviewed and renewed as needed.

### **Detailed Implementation Status**

Established processes remain in place while an updated agreement is finalized. Staff in both agencies are exploring technical solutions that would permit more frequent and direct updates to be provided to WEC by DHS.

## Recommendations 11 and 12

### Summary of Recommendations

LAB recommendation #11 asks WEC to renew a data sharing agreement with the Wisconsin Department of Corrections (DOC).

LAB recommendation #12 asks WEC to include a mechanism in the updated agreement to ensure that the agreement is kept up to date in the future.

### Status

WEC and DOC are developing a new data sharing agreement. The new agreement includes a mechanism for renewals.

### Background and Current Practice

Statutes do not require the WEC to maintain written agreements with the Department of Corrections. However, WEC and DOC are close partners and WEC staff coordinate with them frequently. DOC provides WEC with data on voters who have been convicted of a felony and are disqualified from voting.

[Wis. Stat. § 301.03\(20m\)](#) requires the DOC to transmit to the WEC a list of living persons convicted of a felony whose civil rights have not been restored. The statutes do not require WEC to facilitate this exchange of data between DOC and local clerks. However, it has been WEC's long-standing practice to also provide clerks with data from DOC directly and regularly and to provide the data in the state database. WEC believes this is a much more efficient and effective method of ensuring the records of voters who are convicted felons are deactivated in a timely fashion.

The WEC agrees that data exchange agreements are worthwhile and has previously held a memorandum of understanding with DOC. This agreement was first put in place in 2016 and includes a clause that the terms of the agreement remain in effect indefinitely or until the agreement is replaced. However, WEC staff is currently working to implement LAB's recommendation. In fact, WEC had already initiated discussions with DOC to begin working on an updated agreement prior to the LAB report. In the interim, all agencies have agreed that the current data sharing mechanisms are satisfactory and meet all statutory requirements.

While the LAB report included no recommendations regarding the processing of felon notices, the body of the report included several misleading presentations. Most notable is Table 4 on page 29.

The table includes a bolded line indicating, "Clerks Made No Determinations," and implies that Wisconsin's clerks were somehow negligent. That is incorrect. Again, the LAB hints at the truth

with a footnote, revealing that nearly all of the records were *already deactivated*. The overwhelming majority of clerks made no determination because no determination was required – the voter was no longer registered to vote.

Indeed, the LAB acknowledges how effectively WEC and clerks process both death and felon matches in this subsequent sentence:

*Although the data indicated that clerks had not acted on the potential matches, other data provided by WEC's staff indicated that, in fact, clerks had inactivated the records of 12,406 of the 12,565 individuals (98.7 percent) as of the November 2020 General Election and had inactivated the records of all but 8 of the 12,565 individuals as of June 2021. (LAB Report page 26)*

Just as was the case with DHS matches, the data very clearly indicates that Wisconsin's local election officials are diligent in their duties and expertly managed the enormous volume of data they were forced to contend with ahead of the 2020 General Election.

### **Commission Action**

On December 1, 2021, the Commission passed the following motion: Although not statutorily required, the WEC is already working to execute a data sharing agreement with DOC and updating the current data sharing agreement with DOC and will ensure that they are reviewed and renewed as needed.

### **Detailed Implementation Summary**

Established processes remain in place while an updated agreement is finalized. The new agreement contains the elements described below:

- Statement of intended use: a summary of the timeline and content of data exchanged between agencies and the statutory basis for the exchange
- Data confidentiality: agreement to observe relevant state and federal laws with citations
- Protection against unauthorized disclosure: processes to safeguard data employed by each agency
- Review and renewal terms: periodic review process defined
- General provisions: common clauses regarding amendment, termination, impossibility, waivers, and communications related to the agreement
- Agency contacts: points of contact by position title (versus individual names used in the old document)



## Recommendation 13

### Summary of LAB Recommendations

LAB recommendation #13 asks the WEC to establish a schedule for regularly obtaining each type of ERIC data. ERIC is the Electronic Registration Information Center.

### Status

WEC finds it is already in full compliance and has created [a public calendar of ERIC events](#) for increased transparency.

### Background and Current Practice

*Wisconsin exceeds ERIC minimum* data exchange requirements and is fully compliant with the ERIC Membership Agreement.

The LAB misunderstands and misrepresents Wisconsin's use of ERIC in its report. WEC respectfully asks that LAB amend or correct this section of the report to accurately reflect the WEC's ERIC participation. ERIC is an important tool to maintain accurate voter rolls. Since the WEC began robustly participating in ERIC, WEC has facilitated updating or deactivating over one million voter records as follows:

- To date, ERIC has identified **867,743 voters who have potentially moved**. Each potential mover has been contacted and alerted that their voter registration address may be out of date. In most instances, the voters have moved and their record has been deactivated.
- To date, ERIC has identified **308,000 voters who have moved and re-registered out of state** whose Wisconsin records have since been deactivated.
- To date, ERIC has identified **1,827,064 voters as eligible to vote, but unregistered to vote**. As required by law through the ERIC agreement, WEC mailed a postcard to these citizens letting them know about opportunities for eligible voters to register to vote.
- To date ERIC has identified more than **1,000 voters who have died out of state** and their records have been deactivated.
- To date ERIC has identified over **800 duplicate voter records** which have been merged by local election officials.

The LAB report discusses reports obtained from the Electronic Registration information Center (ERIC) beginning on page 31. [Wis. Stat. § 6.36\(1\)\(ae\)](#) requires the chief election officer to enter into an agreement with the ERIC data sharing consortium and to comply with the terms of the membership agreement. There are 32 ERIC member states, including the District of Columbia. The [ERIC Membership Agreement](#), available at [ericstates.org](http://ericstates.org), requires states to provide ERIC data every 60 days and to request data from ERIC once every calendar year.

Unfortunately, the LAB review of ERIC contains numerous inaccuracies. In particular, the ERIC data chart on page 32 (Table 5) falsely implies that Wisconsin missed many opportunities to obtain data. In fact, the WEC obtains all ERIC data sets when they are made available to Wisconsin, with the full approval of the Elections Commission, and in full compliance with statutory requirements. Each ERIC report has specific periods of availability and several of the reports cited by the LAB did not even exist when Wisconsin first joined ERIC. The LAB also falsely implied that the WEC did not properly utilize voter participation reports. In fact, the duplicate records report referenced by the LAB is a relatively recent creation first made available after the 2018 general election. Likewise, the data analyzing multiple voters was not available to Wisconsin prior to 2018. WEC staff communicate with ERIC almost weekly, actively participate on the ERIC Board of Directors, and fully conform to the ERIC user agreement. The LAB report summary misleadingly implies the agency is noncompliant when, in fact, Wisconsin is fully compliant.

The WEC complies with all mandatory requirements of the ERIC agreement including:

1. Upload data to ERIC at a minimum of every 60 days (WEC does this every 30 days).
2. Request List Maintenance Reports, at least one report every 425 days. ERIC recommends at least once a year. (WEC does this quarterly).
3. Act on and certify List Maintenance Reports, initiate contact within 90 days after data sent.
4. Request and act on Eligible but Unregistered Reports, initiate contact by Oct. 1 or 15 days before close of registration of the next Federal General Election.

Finally, the comparison to other states starting on page 33 of the LAB report falsely implies that WEC is not in compliance with utilizing ERIC data like other member states, when in fact WEC fully complies within timelines allowed by applicable state law. Each state has its own laws that uniquely dictate when it can accept or utilize ERIC reports. For example, other ERIC states are required to follow the National Voter Registration Act (NVRA), which sets parameters for list maintenance. Wisconsin is exempt from the NVRA. Also, ERIC reports can only be accepted as they are compatible with unique state election cycles. There will be great variation in state and local election cycles in each member state. Therefore, the comparison between the timeline for Wisconsin and other states is not helpful as each state utilizes ERIC data in accordance to their unique state laws and applicable federal requirements.

### **Commission Action**

On December 1, 2021, the WEC passed the following motion: Staff is directed to create a calendar for public access of that annual schedule and cycle of receipt of ERIC data. The WEC has been receiving all available ERIC data and has been using it in accordance with state statute. The WEC is fully compliant with its ERIC agreement.

### **Detailed Implementation Status**

On January 31, 2021, the Commission reviewed and approved the calendar of ERIC events which has now been posted to the WEC website for additional public transparency which can be found at <https://elections.wi.gov/ERICcalendar>.

## Recommendation 14

### Summary of Recommendation

LAB recommendation #14 relates to the absentee ballot certificate envelope and a field on the certificate for the witness's printed name.

### Status

The Commission will consider a new envelope design at a future meeting.

### Background and Current Practice

The first concern identified in the audit report addresses the format and requirements of the certificate envelope. Specifically, the LAB recommends that the Commission ensure that the absentee ballot certificate template made available to municipalities requires witnesses to print their names on the certificate. Discussion of this recommendation is complicated as it requires a review of statutes that prescribe the format of the certificate and statutes that identify the reasons for which an absentee ballot may be rejected.

State law provides that the certificate envelope should have a field for the printed name of the witness. The requirements for the witness certification section are outlined in [Wis. Stat. § 6.87\(2\)](#) and are as follows:

The witness shall execute the following:

I, the undersigned witness, subject to the penalties of s. 12.60 (1) (b), Wis. Stats., for false statements, certify that I am an adult U.S. citizen\*\* and that the above statements are true and the voting procedure was executed as there stated. I am not a candidate for any office on the enclosed ballot (except in the case of an incumbent municipal clerk). I did not solicit or advise the elector to vote for or against any candidate or measure.

...(Printed name)

...(Address)\*\*\*

Signed ...

\* — An elector who provides an identification serial number issued under s. 6.47 (3), Wis. Stats., need not provide a street address.

\*\* — An individual who serves as a witness for a military elector or an overseas elector voting absentee, regardless of whether the elector qualifies as a resident of Wisconsin under s. 6.10, Wis. Stats., need not be a U.S. citizen but must be 18 years of age or older.

\*\*\* — If this form is executed before 2 special voting deputies under s. 6.875 (6), Wis. Stats., both deputies shall witness and sign.

It should be noted that Wis. Stat. 6.87(2) also states that the certificate shall be in *substantially* the prescribed form, which implies that some variation is allowable under statute. The determination for which elements of the certificate are required is also complicated by a review of statute for reasons to reject a returned absentee ballot. Statute lists the reasons to reject an absentee ballot, and a missing printed name of a witness is not one of them. Specifically, [Wis. Stat. § 6.87\(6d\)](#) states that an absentee ballot may not be counted if the witness address is missing and Wis. Stat. § 9.01(1)(b)(2) (recount statutes) indicates that “an absentee ballot envelope is defective only if it is not witnessed or if it is not signed by the voter or if the

certificate accompanying an absentee ballot that the voter received by facsimile transmission or electronic mail is missing.”

Wis. Stat. § 6.84(2) provides the interpretation of the absentee voting statutes and identifies which provisions shall be construed as mandatory. Wis. Stat. § 6.87(3) is not listed as mandatory:

6.84(2) INTERPRETATION. Notwithstanding s. 5.01 (1), with respect to matters relating to the absentee ballot process, ss. 6.86, 6.87 (3) to (7) and 9.01 (1) (b) 2. and 4. shall be construed as mandatory. Ballots cast in contravention of the procedures specified in those provisions may not be counted. Ballots counted in contravention of the procedures specified in those provisions may not be included in the certified result of any election.

In addition, Wis. Stats. § 6.88 and 7.52 provide the procedures for canvassing absentee ballots both at the polling place and at a central count facility, but these statutes do not define the requirements for a certificate envelope and just refer to a “properly executed certificate.”

Finally, in 2017 Wisconsin Act 369 made a slight change to Wis. Stat. § 6.87(2), providing the witness certification requirement be changed from “name” to “printed name,” but no updates were made to the certificate envelope in response to this change. In 2017, the Commission approved the version of the certificate envelope used for the 2020 General Election and that revision did not include the printed name field for the witness. A field for printed name was on the proposed updated template presented to the Commission for consideration during their May 20, 2020, public meeting but the Commission directed staff to not pursue the larger revision of the certificate envelope at that time.

### **Commission Action**

On December 1, 2021, the WEC passed the following motion: To add ballot envelope redesign as an item to a future meeting’s agenda and that a space for the witness to provide their printed name be included in the ballot envelope redesign.

### **Detailed Implementation Status**

It is expected that the Commission will reconsider the absentee ballot certificate envelope redesign, which was initially presented to them in 2020 and includes the printed name field, at a meeting, as determined by the Commissioners or the Chair of the Commission, in 2022.

## **Recommendation 15**

### **Summary of Recommendation**

LAB recommendation #15 relates to augmenting existing training or providing new training related to the requirement that clerks initial absentee certificate envelopes when issuing ballots during in-person absentee voting.

### **Status**

Current training has been augmented to include additional mentions of this requirement.

### **Background and Current Practice**

LAB's sampling of absentee ballot certificate envelopes found that in offices they reviewed, clerks did not consistently comply with statutes that require them to provide their initials on the certificate when issued to an eligible voter during in-person absentee voting.

Wis. Stat. § 6.87(2) requires that a clerk or deputy clerk initial the certificate envelope during in-person absentee voting to indicate they have verified the voter has provided an acceptable photo ID prior to receiving a ballot. The recommendation in the report suggests clerks would benefit from additional training from WEC on this requirement. In-person absentee voting procedures are detailed in the Election Administration manual and other existing training materials but the requirement to initial the certificate envelope could be emphasized moving forward.

### **Commission Action**

On December 1, 2021, the WEC passed the following motion: The WEC will provide additional training on the requirement to initial absentee ballot certificate envelopes, ballot processing, pre-election tests of electronic voting equipment, and reviewing of election day forms.

### **Detailed Implementation Status**

To date, WEC has emphasized this requirement in several training presentations conducted since December 2021. The requirement for clerks to initial absentee certificate envelopes after photo ID verification during in-person absentee voting was discussed during a webinar reviewing the 2021 election year on December 8, 2021, and was also an area of emphasis during a webinar on in-person absentee voting procedures held on January 19, 2022. WEC staff will emphasize this point again in a webinar scheduled to take place prior to the 2022 Spring Election and has developed a re-recorded training presentation for clerks on in-person absentee voting procedures that is available at: <https://vimeo.com/684766484>

## **Recommendation 16**

### **Summary of Recommendation**

LAB recommendation #16 relates to the promulgation of an administrative rule regarding guidance issued by the Commission in 2016 relating to municipal clerks' ability to correct or add missing witness information on returned absentee ballot certificate envelopes.

### **Status**

Promulgation of administrative rule in progress.

### **Background and Current Practice**

This recommendation discusses guidance issued by the Commission in 2016 in reference to absentee ballots returned to municipal clerks with missing or incomplete witness address information. The requirement for witnesses to provide their addresses was new in 2016 and statute does not define what constitutes a complete address for this purpose, which the LAB specifically acknowledges on Page 40 of its report.

The Commission received concerns from municipal clerks regarding the implementation of this new requirement and the potential for thousands of absentee ballots to be rejected because a witness failed to include part of his or her address, such as "WI" or the name of the municipality on the envelope. Given this concern, the Commission consulted with the Department of Justice, which advised that a reasonable, defensible interpretation of the law would be to allow a local election official to add a missing piece of the witness address to the certificate if the information could be reasonably ascertained by the clerk. The clerk could also reach out to the voter or witness, if possible, to obtain the information. The Commission issued guidance directing clerks to add missing witness address information to a certificate envelope if they could obtain that information from the voter or find it through other sources such as the voter rolls or municipal tax records. As part of the guidance, the witness address must include a street number, street name and municipality, and if any additions were made by clerks, they were to initial that information on the certificate.

The issue of correcting missing witness address information was raised in the recount litigation after the November 2020 Election via *Trump v. Biden*, 2020 WI 91, 394 Wis. 2d 629, 951 N.W.2d 568. The majority determined that Wis. Stat. § 6.87(6d) does not say which portion of the address the witness must provide. Furthermore, the guidance that the Commission created has been followed statewide since October 2016, including in the 2016 Presidential Election. The majority concluded that striking the ballots exclusively in Milwaukee and Dane counties, years after this guidance has been issued and relied on, was unreasonable and prejudicial. The concurrence stated that it is clear that Wis. Stat. § 6.87(6d) would prohibit counting a ballot if the entire address is absent from the certification. However, if the witness provided only part of the

address, it is not clear which parts of the address satisfy the statutory directive (i.e., street address, state name, zip code, etc.). The WEC, other election officials, the Legislature, and others may wish to examine witness address information being added to a certificate as a valid administrative concern and may also wish to examine if the requirements of the applicable statute and measures involving the guidance and practice of these practices are currently sufficient to avoid future problems.

### **Commission Action**

On December 1, 2021, the Commission passed the following motion: Staff is directed to prepare draft scope statements that have two versions: 1) As close to identical to the current guidance, listing the requisite alternatives 2) Listing the best alternative as identified in #1, with the alternative being the current guidance, and that those should be prepared so that they may be addressed by the Commission at the March 9, 2022 meeting.

### **Detailed Implementation Status**

In response to a Wis. Stat. § 227.26(2)(b) order by the Joint Committee for the Review of Administrative Rules (“JCRAR”) dated January 10, 2022, the Commission on January 28, 2022, directed WEC to begin promulgating an emergency rule adhering to the Commission’s 2016 guidance that clerks must take corrective actions to remedy a witness address error. If clerks can discern any missing information from outside sources, clerks are not required to contact the voter before making that correction directly to the absentee certificate envelope.

Prior to the JCRAR order, the Commission separately on December 1, 2021, directed staff to draft a scope statement for the promulgation of that guidance, as well as a scope statement on the best alternative to existing guidance, one of which would be authorized for consideration and promulgation into a permanent administrative rule. A scope statement requesting an emergency rule in accordance with existing guidance was submitted on February 1, 2022 and approved by the Governor on February 3, 2022. The emergency rule is currently being drafted. Scope statements for permanent rule promulgation were considered by the Commission at its March 9, 2022, meeting (again pertaining to existing guidance and the best alternative). The Commission considered, but did not pass, motions related to a permanent rule.



## **Recommendation 17**

### **Summary of Recommendation**

LAB recommendation #17 relates to the suggestion that WEC promulgate an administrative rule that clarifies the use of drop boxes by municipalities.

### **Status**

Promulgation of administrative rule in progress.

### **Background and Current Practice**

The use of secure drop boxes for absentee ballot collection is another area where LAB recommends WEC staff work with the Commission on a potential administrative rule. While drop boxes in various forms have been used in Wisconsin elections for years, they gained popularity in 2020 due to the increase in mail absentee voting. Clerks and voters were looking for secure ways for absentee ballot return that were safe, convenient, and trustworthy. In response to this, the Commission directed staff to provide best practices regarding the establishment, monitoring, emptying, and security of drop boxes, which also incorporated recommendations from a resource developed by the U.S. Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (“CISA”) and other experts on elections infrastructure. In practice, many clerks repurposed existing drop boxes used for tax collection or municipal billing purposes to collect absentee ballots prior to the general election, while others established drop boxes specific for this purpose.

This issue is currently being litigated and the Commission will implement any decision issued by the courts when these cases are resolved. See *Teigen, et al. v. WEC* (Waukesha Cir. Ct., Case No: 2021CV0958; *Kleefisch v. WEC* (Petition for Original Action, Wis. Sup. Ct, Case No: 2021AP001976). WEC is also willing to work with the Legislature on further legislation that would regulate the use of drop boxes in Wisconsin elections. Given the pending litigation on this specific recommendation, the Commission may wish to wait until these cases are resolved by the courts.

### **Commission Action**

Per the Commission’s direction at the December 1, 2021, meeting, a draft scope statement for the promulgation of a permanent administrative rule will be considered by the Commission at its March 9, 2022, meeting.

### **Detailed Implementation Status**

The Commission discussed a potential scope statement at its March 9, 2022 meeting and unanimously passed the following motion, “Postpone action on the scope statement on pages 65-

Update on Implementation of LAB Recommendations re: Election Administration

March 31, 2022

Page 26

67 of the Commission materials until the Commission receives the decision of the Wisconsin Supreme Court.”

## **Recommendation 18**

### **Summary of Recommendation**

LAB recommendation #18 suggests that the Commission promulgate an administrative rule specifying the situations when municipal clerks should not send Special Voting Deputies to residential care facilities and qualified retirement homes.

### **Status**

The Commission considered this recommendation and did not take any additional action.

### **Background and Current Practice**

The WEC first discussed Special Voting Deputies in relation to the COVID-19 pandemic prior to the April 7, 2020, Presidential Preference Vote and Spring Election. WEC staff received reports from municipal clerks in early March that facilities normally served by SVDs were closed to visitors due to the COVID-19 pandemic and that SVDs would not be granted access. Care facilities stated an obligation to protect their residents from exposure to the virus following reports that COVID-19 had disproportionately impacted nursing home and care facility residents. These issues continued throughout the 2020 election cycle where municipal clerks, public health officials and advocates for the aging and disability communities all provided information to the Commission that SVDs would not be allowed into care facilities to administer voting. Rather than waste time that voters in these facilities need to receive, vote, and return their absentee ballots, the Commission directed clerks to proceed with the statutory process (Wis. Stat. § 6.875(6)(e)) allowing absentee ballots to be sent to residents normally served by SVDs if those deputies were not available or were unable to access the facility during SVD voting periods. Statute does not provide the Commission, municipal clerks or SVDs the ability to require a facility to grant them access to conduct voting with residents. This reality prompted clerks to request uniform guidance from the Commission in these situations to ensure residents of care facilities could exercise their right to vote.

LAB recommends that the Commission promulgate an administrative rule that addresses these situations. WEC already undertook the process of drafting an emergency rule regarding this issue in the spring of 2021, but the Commission opted not to further pursue the rulemaking process after the election was completed. The future of that proposed rule's guidelines and procedures may be revisited for future pandemic-impacted elections, or on a longer-term basis for other emergencies. This issue is also the subject of litigation recently filed with the Wisconsin Supreme Court. See *Kleefisch v. WEC* (Petition for Original Action, Wis. Sup. Ct, Case No: 2021AP001976). One of the issues presented to the Court is whether WEC guidance regarding special voting deputies at care facilities and qualified retirement homes is contrary to law and that any such guidance must be enacted through administrative rulemaking. This petition for original action was subsequently denied by the Wisconsin Supreme Court, but the matter may be

refiled in a trial court. The use of absentee drop boxes is also the subject of other litigation. See *Teigen v. WEC* (Case No: 21CV0958).

Given the pending litigation on this specific recommendation, the Commission may wish to wait until this case is resolved by the Court. The Commission has, however, already added an item to their legislative agenda that would define SVDs as essential visitors in situations where public access to these facilities is restricted. The Commission directed staff to send the memorandum presented to the Commission that outlined several potential statutory changes regarding this issue to both the Legislature and Governor after their public meeting on September 9, 2021. This memorandum and minutes of this meeting can be found here:

<https://elections.wi.gov/index.php/node/7369>.

### **Commission Action**

The Commission considered this item at their December 1, 2021, meeting. No additional action was taken.

## **Recommendations 19 and 20**

### **Summary of Recommendations**

LAB recommendation #19 asks the Commission to rescind or amend a 12-page memorandum issued by the Commission to all Wisconsin election officials on October 22, 2020, that informed clerks and board of canvass members about end-of-night procedures for election day and the ability of the canvass to adjourn prior to completion.

Recommendation #20 suggests the Commission consider promulgation of a rule to allow municipal clerks to adjourn in certain circumstances before ballot counting is complete.

### **Status**

The WEC finds that the law does not permit us to promulgate a rule to adjourn ballot counting.

### **Background and Current Practice**

Recommendation 19 references a memorandum that informed clerks and board of canvass members that Wis. Stat. § 7.51(1) requires canvasses to be continuous – meaning no adjournment until the canvass of all ballots cast and received on or before Election Day is completed. The single sentence the LAB objected to in the memo was included because local election officials were asking WEC what to do if election inspectors who had not yet completed the canvass became unable (due to health and emergency conditions) to continue late into the night. This single sentence tried to account for this real, on-the-ground contingency. The rest of the memorandum clearly stated that election officials could not adjourn and had to keep going until the canvass was done. The memorandum is posted on the Commission’s website here: <https://elections.wi.gov/node/7198>. The Commission’s guidance is that municipal clerks may not adjourn before counting all ballots, which is clear in the memorandum. The line in question related to unforeseen circumstances is as follows: “Under unforeseen circumstances, if one of the previous deadlines is missed then all efforts need to be made to both count all eligible ballots accurately and to meet the ultimate deadline of state certification on December 1.”

The statutory requirement and guidance issued by the Commission on this topic are clear, which is that canvassing is to be continuous – meaning no adjournment until all ballots are counted. The Commission should discuss whether an administrative rule is an appropriate recommendation here, given the statutory language and that any rule would likely create exceptions or conflict with that language.

### **Commission Action**

On December 1, 2020, the Commission passed the following motion: “The WEC finds that the law does not permit us to promulgate a rule to adjourn ballot counting.”

## **Recommendation 21 and 22**

### **Summary of Recommendations**

LAB recommendation #21 suggests the Commission rescind or amend a memorandum issued regarding relocating polling places. The memorandum in question was specific to the April 2020 election and Executive Order #72.

LAB recommendation #22 relates to the potential need for a long-term administrative rule or addition to the Commission's legislative agenda to account for future pandemics, natural disasters, and similar catastrophic events that impact polling place locations or necessitate last-minute changes.

### **Status**

The WEC found recommendation 21 moot as the guidance was only applicable to the April 2020 election, and a note has been added to the memorandum to this effect.

Regarding recommendation 22, the WEC received an order from JCRAR on February 11 stating that WEC must hold a preliminary hearing before the Commission may approve a scope statement concerning polling place planning. This scope statement was approved by the Governor on February 3 and must be approved by the Commission before any drafting of the rule may begin.

### **Background and Current Practice**

The Commission met March 12, 2020 and approved the guidance about relocating polling places from facilities that were no longer available based upon the then-emerging pandemic and the issuance of Executive Order #72 by Governor Evers that same day. The executive order proclaimed a public health emergency, and those orders had not yet been evaluated by a court, or other relevant parties, as the pandemic progressed.

The Commission directed staff to provide additional instruction to clerks regarding necessary process adjustments after the March 12 meeting. The following motion was passed by a 6-0 vote in that public session:

In light of Executive Order #72 and directives of the Department of Health Services, the Commission finds that it is impossible or inconvenient for municipalities to conduct Election Day voting at nursing homes, other care facilities, and other facilities as designated by the Department of Health Services or local health officials. The Commission finds that the municipal clerk or municipal elections commission executive director may relocate such polling places without obtaining the prior approval of the local governing body or municipal elections commission.

WEC staff believe the guidance at issue pertained only to the April 2020 election and was not reissued prior to subsequent 2020 elections.

Statute requires municipalities to establish their polling place plans 30 days prior to an election and requires the governing body to approve the polling place plan. State law does not adequately account for all scenarios where a polling place location becomes unavailable, for any reason, after that deadline. Many municipalities utilize private facilities for some of their polling places and access to those facilities may change without any ability for the municipality to challenge or contest that decision. While there may be a contract in place that outlines a usage agreement for the facility, those agreements are not required by law and municipalities have no way to compel access to private facilities if they are denied access after the 30-day deadline. An administrative rule could provide relief in these scenarios and provide municipal clerks and their governing bodies with a process to amend their polling place plans in limited situations.

### **Commission Action**

Regarding recommendation 21, on December 1, 2021, the Commission passed the following motion: 1) The WEC finds that this recommendation is moot as the guidance was only applicable to the April 2020 election, and 2) To add a note on the guidance in some fashion that says this was only applicable to the April 2020 election, as confirmed by the vote of the Commission on December 1, 2021.

Regarding recommendation 22, On December 1, 2021, the Commission passed the following motion: “Staff is directed to begin drafting a scope statement on accounting for future pandemics, natural disasters, and similar catastrophic events that impact polling place locations or necessitate lastminute changes.” On January 11, 2022, the Commission approved a draft scope statement on Polling Place Emergency Planning, which was approved by the Governor on February 3. On February 11, JCRAR sent the following letter to the Commission:

As co-chairperson of the Joint Committee for Review of Administrative Rules (JCRAR) and pursuant to s. 227.136 (1), Stats., I write to direct the Wisconsin Elections Commission (WEC) to hold preliminary public hearings and comment periods on Scope Statements SS 008-22 and SS 010-22, which were published in the Wisconsin Administrative Register on February 7, 2022. Additionally, pursuant to s. 227.135 (2), Stats., please note that a scope statement may not be approved by the Wisconsin Elections Commission or individuals within the commission until after the preliminary public hearing and comment period are held by the agency, and accordingly, no activity may be conducted in connection with the drafting of a proposed rule until after such hearing and approval have occurred.

### **Detailed Implementation Status**

Regarding Recommendation 21, the clerk communication has been updated to include a clear indicator that this memorandum was only in effect for the April 2020 election and is not applicable to future elections. You can find the memorandum with this clarification here:

<https://elections.wi.gov/node/6718>

Regarding Recommendation 22, following JCRAR's letter, on March 9, the WEC voted to schedule a public hearing, which was conducted on March 23, 2022. At an upcoming meeting the Commission will consider approving the scope statement for submission.



## **Recommendation 23, 24 and 25**

### **Summary of Recommendations**

LAB recommendation #23 suggests clerks and election inspectors would benefit from additional training from WEC in regard to ballot processing and post-election data entry of election statistics into the statewide voter registration system.

LAB recommendation #24 suggests the Commission should provide more, or updated, training on the pre-election test of voting equipment required before each election.

LAB recommendation #25 relates to a suggestion from LAB that WEC provide training to municipal clerks on reviewing Election Day forms after each election and investigating relevant issues, including those related to tamper-evident seals.

### **Status**

These recommendations have been used to augment existing clerk training to continue to highlight this practice.

### **Background and Current Practice**

The ballot processing section of the LAB report identified some inconsistencies with data provided to WEC during the reconciliation process in the statewide voter registration system after the November 2020 General Election. Staff provide continuous guidance to clerks during this voluntary reconciliation process and are always willing to make changes to the system to increase the accuracy of data collected. Staff also provide direct support to clerks who have concerns with their ability to complete the process and interactive training regarding this process currently exists for system users. Updated or more extensive training could be incorporated into the current training program for the upcoming election cycle.

In addition, the report identified some discrepancies and/or deficiencies in how ballots were processed by election inspectors on Election Day. While training on these procedures exists and additional training specific to processing high volumes of absentee ballots was provided to clerks prior to the November 2020 General Election, additional training can be developed, and existing training can be adjusted to account for these concerns.

Wis. Stat. § 5.84(1) requires “that not more than 10 days prior to the election day on which the equipment is to be utilized, [the clerk shall] have the equipment tested to ascertain that it will correctly count the votes cast for all offices and on all measures.” The LAB report identified that several municipalities did not publicly test all their voting equipment in the required 10-day window prior to the 2020 General Election. The report noted that only 88 of 175 reviewed tests were completed within that window, but three municipalities are responsible for all the non-

compliant tests. In addition, all three of these municipalities publicly tested one machine as part of their public test but completed tests of additional equipment used in the election outside of the required window.

Please note multiple options for training on this topic already exist for use by Wisconsin election officials, and all these materials are clear about the 10-day window for conducting the public test. These options include references in the election calendar. WEC produces manuals, videos, and webinars. Public test requirements are often reviewed during a webinar WEC holds prior to each statewide election. Staff, however, acknowledge there is always room for improvement and are currently exploring ways to provide improved training on these topics. Any updated training materials will include an emphasis on the timeline for conducting a public test and a reminder that each test deck used to conduct the test has requirements to include overvoted ballots.

Recommendation 25 was made because of LAB's review of Election Day Inspector's Statement forms for required information regarding the tamper-evident seal information that must be verified by election inspectors both prior to the opening of polls and after the polls close at 8:00 p.m. on election day. Specifically, LAB found that roughly 13% of all forms they reviewed lacked at least one set of the required initials and additional training could be provided by WEC so that clerks review those forms after each election to determine the cause of any missing initials. The purpose of this review and any subsequent investigation would be to determine the integrity of the equipment and if the clerk should provide additional training or instruction to their election inspectors. It is unclear if the LAB surveyed clerks if they currently conduct this type of review and investigation after each election, and there are currently no statutory provisions that require these actions.

A more comprehensive voting equipment security training is being planned that will also emphasize the review of tamper-evident seals both prior to the opening of polls and at the close of polls and could include the suggestion of additional review of the Inspector's Statement after each election.

### **Commission Action**

On December 1, 2021, the Commission passed the following motion: WEC direct staff to provide additional training on the requirement to initial absentee ballot certificates, on ballot processing, on pre-election public test of voting equipment and on reviewing election day forms.

### **Detailed Implementation Status**

WEC has emphasized these aspects of election administration procedures during a webinar reviewing the 2021 election year on December 8, 2021, and staff will emphasize this point again in a webinar scheduled to be held prior to the 2022 Spring Election. A comprehensive training presentation on voting equipment security, including public test procedures, references to security seal verification and election day form review, has been developed and is available for on-demand viewing at: <https://vimeo.com/685969316>.

## **Recommendation 26**

### **Summary of Recommendation**

LAB recommendation #26 details the need for required administrative rule promulgation pertaining to electronic voting equipment and software security.

### **Status**

At its December 1, 2021, meeting, the Commission directed staff to redraft and update the 2017 scope statement for EL 7 and bring the scope statement back to Commission for consideration.

### **Background and Current Practice**

Wisconsin Administrative Code Chapter EL 7 already deals with electronic voting system approval and testing requirements, both in Wisconsin and nationally. Applications for approval of electronic voting systems require, among other things, an itemization of all specifications for hardware/firmware/software, technical manual reviews, independent testing requirements that ensure conformance with all standards required by the federal elections commission, and a listing of other jurisdictions using the systems.

This LAB recommendation is particularly relevant, as the topic was previously explored by the Commission several years ago. A motion was passed formally authorizing staff to act and move forward with rule promulgation. A scope statement was submitted that contemplated the addition of a ballot and electronic voting equipment security provision to existing administrative code. This action was part of a larger effort to consolidate the old Chapter GAB 5 into the new administrative code provisions, while also minimizing overlap and bringing sections 5 and 7 together into one. The scope statement for this proposed rule/chapter expired without formal promulgation on March 5, 2020.

### **Commission Action**

On December 1, 2021, the Commission passed the following motion: Staff is directed to redraft and update the original scope statement from 2017.

### **Detailed Implementation Status**

The scope statement was approved by the Commission at the March 9, 2022 meeting and the Commission directed that the scope statement be submitted to DOA/Governor for approval. At the time of this report, WEC is awaiting approval of the scope statement.

## **Recommendation 27**

### **LAB Recommendation Summary**

LAB recommendation #27 states WEC should ensure equipment vendors provide additional training to municipal clerks on ensuring that ballots are counted accurately when paper jams occur in electronic voting equipment.

### **Status**

A memorandum regarding additional training on voting equipment paper jams has been provided to the three voting equipment vendors with electronic voting systems approved for use in Wisconsin. The memorandum is attached as Appendix C.

### **Background and Current Practice**

The report also indicates that better training can be provided by voting equipment vendors regarding voting equipment ballot jams and determining whether a ballot has been counted when the jam notification screen appears. The recently approved precinct optical scan tabulators display a message on the screen to the voter or election inspector when a ballot jam occurs that indicates if the ballot in question was counted or not. The message displays for a set amount of time so if the voter or election inspector misses it, they may not be able to determine if the ballot that caused the jam was counted before the jam was cleared. In these situations, caution is exercised, and the ballot is reinserted into the machine so that a voter is certain to have their votes counted. In circumstances where the ballot was counted prior to the jam being cleared a ballot may be counted twice if the voter or election inspector did not see the message in time. These incidents are uncommon and should be recorded on the Inspector's Statement and are identified during the post-election audit if there is a reconciliation problem between the hand count totals and machine tape results.

When a jurisdiction implements a new voting system the vendor generally provides training manuals and resources to municipal clerks and election inspectors as part of this process. WEC staff has never provided specific training on individual pieces of equipment as staff are not the subject matter experts on each of these machines. This recommendation could be addressed by including additional voting system certification requirements that include training specific to how to handle ballot jams.

### **Commission Action**

On December 1, 2021, the Commission passed the following motion: The WEC will bring the LAB's concern to the attention of the vendors emphasizing the importance of training on resolving paper jams.

### **Detailed Implementation Status**

A memorandum regarding additional training on voting equipment paper jams has been provided to the three voting equipment vendors with electronic voting systems approved for use in Wisconsin. Training on clearing paper jams so that ballots are counted accurately will also be addressed during a webinar scheduled to be held prior to the 2022 Spring Election.

## **Recommendation 28**

### **Summary of Recommendation**

LAB recommendation #28 is that WEC should comply with statutes by calculating an error rate for each type of electronic voting equipment used in each General Election.

### **Status**

The Commission directed staff to draft a scope statement and a proposal for calculating an error rate to be brought to them at a future meeting.

### **Commission Action**

On December 1, 2021, the Commission passed the following motion: Staff is directed to draft a scope statement as to Wis. Stat. § 7.08(6) and an accompanying memorandum.

### **Detailed Implementation Status**

Before the Commission can consider an administrative rule, they first need to consider research on this topic as described. The Commission will consider an administrative rule and staff will report on error rate calculation at a meeting prior to the November 2022 election.

## **Recommendation 29**

### **Summary of Recommendation**

LAB recommendation #29 relates to LAB's suggestion that WEC promulgate administrative rules for considering complaints alleging violations of election laws.

### **Status**

The Commission determined that no further action is needed.

### **Background and Current Practice**

Wisconsin statutes, administrative code provisions, and authority delegated to the Administrator in consultation with the Chair and the full Commission, set forth the procedures for handling Wis. Stat. Section 5.05 and Wis. Stat. Section 5.06 complaints.

The current administrative rule in place for complaints (Ch. EL 20) was superseded by statute for Wis. Stat. Section 5.05 complaints, as the statute provides a detailed set of procedures, including how the complaint must be filed, how soon a respondent must respond, the burdens of proof, the types of decisions the Commission can make in response to a complaint, etc. Wis. Stat. Section 5.06 complaints are processed using the timelines set forth in the current administrative rule, in conjunction with the statute that provides the subject matter, standing, and right to appeal to circuit court if an aggrieved party so chooses. While the agency staff will discuss this issue with the Commission, it is not clear what additional information should be included in this recommended rule that is not present within the statute (Wis. Stat. Section 5.05) itself. The process under the statute has been in place since the WEC has existed and has worked well.

### **Commission Action**

On December 1, 2021, the Commission passed the following motion: Given Wis. Stat. § 5.05, the WEC declines to undertake an administrative rulemaking process given that the law is clear, has worked well, and we have complied with the statute.

## **Recommendation 30**

### **Summary of Recommendation**

LAB recommendation #30 suggests using newly purchased customer service software to track and report customer contacts.

### **Status**

Implementing new customer service software.

### **Background and Current Practice**

The acquisition of software to help manage contacts with the public and to help ensure consistent responses to common questions may assist with tracking some of the concerns received through the website, but any additional tracking or reporting of these concerns would need to be decided by the Commission. Staff will certainly discuss tracking capabilities within the software with the Commission and decide what additional reporting to the Commission may be needed, if any, to keep them informed.

The LAB makes recommendations related to concerns that are not filed as complaints. There is no statutory requirement that the Commission provide a section on its website to accept election related concerns, but the WEC uses the “Report a General Concern” section to identify broad trends within the concerns and to triage specific issues that may emerge.

Election concerns are not sworn complaints, and in many instances the information received through the “Report a General Concern” area of the website is opinions from voters or statements about what people may have seen in the news that do not require any action or response by WEC staff. Items that do require a response from WEC staff are sent out to the appropriate staff members and their supervisor. The WEC is informed of the number of concerns that are received, topic trends, and other issues that the full Commission needs to be aware of to potentially discuss in a meeting. Additionally, requests to send a message to the full Commission on a particular topic are provided on a semi-regular basis.

WEC staff complied with required statutes and responded to an unprecedented number of public contacts and sworn complaints during four statewide elections in 2020 conducted during a worldwide public health crisis. LAB found that complaints were tracked appropriately and WEC staff regularly informed the Commission with relevant information about complaints. LAB also found that WEC staff met the statutorily required timeframes for processing complaints and the Administrator, in consultation with the Chairperson, acted in a timely manner when considering complaints about the conduct of election officials.



## **Commission Action**

On December 1, 2021, the Commission passed the following motion: The WEC has recently purchased customer service software to track and report customer contacts, which is currently being implemented.

## **Detailed Implementation Status**

WEC acquired new customer service software, completed initial training for system administrators, and configured the system for agency needs. It is expected that the software will allow WEC to better track and categorize all contacts to the office. In addition to tracking overall volume, the new software provides automated aggregation, tracking, and retention of:

- contact dates and times
- customer types (voter, clerk, etc.)
- topics or question types
- assigned staff
- staff responses
- unresolved issues
- resolved issues and time to resolution
- optional customer satisfaction metrics
- other criteria as defined by WEC

A phased rollout is now underway to ensure staff have adequate time to become proficient in the system and identify any issues ahead of the April Spring Election. Once the software is implemented, the Commission will review the type of data and reporting available and may direct staff to regularly share such data with Commission and or/public. It is expected that the Commission will make these determinations at a meeting in 2022.

# Appendix A



# Wisconsin Elections Commission

212 East Washington Avenue | Third Floor | P.O. Box 7984 | Madison, WI 53707-7984  
(608) 266-8005 | elections@wi.gov | elections.wi.gov

## Wisconsin Elections Commission

Regular Quarterly Meeting

212 E. Washington Avenue, Third Floor

Madison, Wisconsin

8:00 a.m. December 1, 2021

### Open Session Minutes

**Present:** Commissioner Ann Jacobs, Commissioner Marge Bostelmann, Commissioner Julie Glancey, Commissioner Dean Knudson, and Commissioner Robert Spindell Jr., all by teleconference.

**Staff present:** Meagan Wolfe, Richard Rydecki, Sharrie Hauge, Robert Kehoe, Nathan Judnic, Jim Witecha, Riley Vetterkind, John Smalley, Brandon Hunzicker, Riley Willman, and Jacob Walters, all by teleconference

#### **A. Call to Order**

Commission Chair Ann Jacobs called the meeting to order at 8:01 a.m. and called the roll. All Commissioners were present, save for Commissioner Thomsen.

#### **B. Administrator's Report of Appropriate Meeting Notice**

Administrator Meagan Wolfe informed the Commission that proper notice was given for the meeting.

#### **C. Public Comment**

**Barbara Beckert** with the Wisconsin Disability Vote Coalition appeared and shared recommendations for removing barriers to vote for people with disabilities.

**Jenney Neugart** appeared on behalf of the Wisconsin Board for people with Developmental Disabilities and advocated for mandatory poll worker competence training, drop boxes, absentee voting, and made other recommendations.

**Denise Jess**, Executive Director of the Wisconsin Counsel for the Blind and Visually Impaired, appeared and noted that the LAB report showed the 2020 election was safe, secure, and accurate. She also provided recommendations for how to improve the elections in Wisconsin with respect to the blind and visually impaired.

**Matt Rothschild**, Executive Director of the Wisconsin Democracy Campaign, appeared and stated that the LAB report on election administration showed that the 2020 election was secure and accurate. He

*Wisconsin Elections Commissioners*

Ann Jacobs, chair | Marge Bostelmann | Julie M. Glancey | Dean Knudson | Robert Spindell | Mark L. Thomsen

Administrator  
Meagan Wolfe

also added that he feels the LAB did a disservice to the WEC by not providing them an opportunity to view the report in advance and provide input.

**Eileen Newcomer**, Voter Education Manager for the League of Women Voters of Wisconsin, appeared and highlighted that the LAB report showed the elections of 2020 were conducted well. She also communicated several areas where she feels improvement can be made, such as ensuring consistency in poll worker training.

**Michael Haas** appeared and provided “big picture” observations with respect to the LAB audit. He claimed it did not live up to the LAB’s reputation of unbiased and accurate analysis, that election officials don’t deserve the harassment they’ve been subject to, and that the hyper partisan politics needs to stop.

**Anjali Bhasin**, Civic Engagement Director for Wisconsin Conservation Voters, appeared and stated that the LAB report showed that the 2020 election was safe, secure, and accurate. She also advocated for automatic voter registration and for education around what IDs are appropriate for voting.

**Jay Heck**, Executive Director of Common Cause, appeared and stated that the LAB report showed that the 2020 election was safe, secure, and accurate, and that unfortunately it’s been wielded to do harm to our democracy. He also encouraged that Wisconsin do what’s known as risk limiting audits.

**Rabbi Bonnie Margolis**, Executive Director of Wisconsin Faith Voices for Justice, appeared and stated that the LAB report showed that the 2020 election was safe, secure, and accurate. She also advocated for drop boxes and commented that it’s abhorrent that election officials are facing harassment and death threats.

**Anita Johnson**, Outreach and Training Specialist for Souls to the Polls, appeared and shared concerns around the 60-day validity period for those who receive a temporary ID through the Identification Card Petition Process. She pointed out that the validity period used to be 120 days, and that this length of time was better for those who might use that ID to vote.

**Andy Thain**, of Clark County, appeared and spoke to the barriers and challenges that people with disabilities face when trying to cast a ballot, and stated that the laws around indefinitely confined voters need to be clarified.

**George Zaske** appeared and voiced his sentiments that voting should be made easier, not harder. He also encouraged working with the disabled and ensuring that accommodations are available for those individuals, in addition to expanding the Special Voting Deputy program.

Commissioner Spindell followed with questions.

**Sydney Badeau** appeared and stated that she’s a person with a disability that benefits substantially from calendar year absentee ballot requests. She also advocated for absentee ballot drop boxes and accessibility accommodations at polls.

Chairwoman Jacobs reviewed the schedule of events for the day and what she envisions as far as breaks and timeframes for things are concerned.

**POINT OF ORDER:** The WEC has not been following Wis. Stat. § 15.06(5) as the Chair has been preventing a majority of its members from calling a meeting.

Raised by Commissioner Knudson.

At 8:54 a.m. Commissioner Glancey lost connection.

Commissioner Glancey returned at 9:01 a.m.

## **D. Minutes of Previous Meetings**

Riley Willman was no longer in attendance.

Chairwoman Jacobs stated that before the Commission is the approval of the October 20<sup>th</sup>, October 28<sup>th</sup>, and the November 3<sup>rd</sup> open session meeting minutes from 2021.

**MOTION:** To approve the October 20, 2021; the October 28, 2021; and the November 3, 2021 open session meeting minutes.

Moved by Commissioner Bostelmann. Seconded by Commissioner Knudson.

During the first attempt at a roll call vote, it was discovered that Commissioner Glancey lost connection once more. WEC staff commented that they were working to get her back in the meeting.

Commissioner Glancey was present once again at 9:10 a.m.

Roll call vote:	Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
	Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
	Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

## **E. Review/Approval of Spring 2022 Ballot Templates**

Election Administration Specialist Cody Davies appeared and presented to the Commission the ballot templates for the 2022 Spring Primary and Spring Election. He pointed out that these are the templates that counties, equipment vendors, and ballot printers use and when they are constructing ballots for upcoming elections.

Commissioner Spindell followed with questions.

**MOTION:** The Commission approves the ballot design presented by staff and directs staff to utilize the ballot design for the 2022 Spring Primary and Spring Election.

Moved by Commissioner Thomsen. Seconded by Commissioner Bostelmann.

Discussion.

Roll call vote: Bostelmann: Aye    Glancey:    Aye  
                  Jacobs:        Aye    Spindell:    Aye  
                  Knudson:    Aye    Thomsen:    Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

## **F. Website Redesign Updates and Commission Approval of Changes**

IT Project Manager Sara Linski appeared and provided an overview of where matters stand with respect to the WEC website redesign. She explained that the website redesign is currently at the end of its discovery phase and that permission is needed from the Commission in order to move to the building phase.

Discussion.

**MOTION:** The Wisconsin Elections Commission approves the purchase of design and development services for WEC's elections.wi.gov website at a total cost not to exceed \$190,000.

Moved by Commissioner Spindell. Seconded by Commissioner Bostelmann.

Roll call vote: Bostelmann: Aye    Glancey:    Aye  
                  Jacobs:        Aye    Spindell:    Aye  
                  Knudson:    No    Thomsen:    Aye

Motion carried 5-1.

## **G. Consideration and Scheduling of 2022 Commission Meetings**

Chairwoman Jacobs stated that the Commission will need to schedule its quarterly meetings for 2022. She also mentioned that the June 10, 2022 ballot access meeting is a fixed date and cannot be moved, and that Commissioners should plan for that to be an all-day meeting.

Discussion.

**MOTION:** The WEC adopts the following meeting schedule for 2022:

March 9, 2022  
June 10, 2022  
June 22, 2022  
September 21, 2022  
November 30, 2022

Moved by Commissioner Knudson. Seconded by Commissioner Spindell.

Roll call vote: Bostelmann: Aye    Glancey:    Aye  
                  Jacobs:        Aye    Spindell:    Aye  
                  Knudson:    Aye    Thomsen:    Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

## H. Discussion/Action on the Legislative Audit Bureau's October 2021 Report on Elections Administration (Report 21-19)

The Commission reconvened at 9:54 a.m.

Chairwoman Jacobs began by noting that the recommendations from the LAB's report on elections administration are not being considered in numerical order and explained the rationale behind that arrangement. Administrator Wolfe expanded on that rationale and went over the materials that the Commissioners had been sent. She also noted that the LAB has not gotten back to WEC staff as to whether they would accept factual corrections to the report and whether the Commission's response letter would be included in the final report as well.

Administrator Wolfe began by presenting LAB recommendation #1 concerning updates to administrative rule EL 12 in light statutory changes made to municipal clerk training terms. LAB recommendation #1 also suggests having the name of the statewide voter registration system, WisVote, reflected in the administrative rule as well.

Discussion.

**MOTION:** To promulgate a rule to correct the municipal clerk training term in administrative rule EL 12 to make it coordinate with the statute, and to add one sentence to EL 12 that says, "The statewide voter registration system is called 'WisVote.'"

Moved by Commissioner Knudson. Seconded by Commissioner Spindell.

Discussion.

Commissioner Knudson opted to split his motion. Commissioner Spindell agreed.

**MOTION #1:** To create a scope statement to amend EL 12 to comport with the applicable statute on clerk training dates.

Roll call vote: Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

**MOTION #2:** To add a line to administrative rule EL 12 that states that the statewide voter registration system is called "WisVote."

Discussion.

**AMENDED MOTION:** Direct staff to draft a scope statement to add a line to EL 12 that the statewide voter registration system is named "WisVote," and that the name of the system may change in the future.

Motion amended collectively by Commissioners. Accepted by Commissioner Knudson and Commissioner Spindell.

Discussion.

Roll call vote:	Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
	Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
	Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

Administrator Wolfe presented LAB recommendations #2 and #3 related to communication protocols for when clerks do not report sufficient training.

Discussion.

**MOTION:** Staff is directed to create a scope statement that all municipalities must provide the WEC with their official mailing address for purposes of receiving notice that the clerk has not completed training.

Moved by Commissioner Knudson. Seconded by Commissioner Thomsen.

Roll call vote:	Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
	Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
	Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

**MOTION:** Staff is directed to send by First Class mail within, 30 days of the end of the clerk training period, notice to the official address, as may be determined by Commission staff, notifying the top elected official of a particular municipality that their clerk has not completed training.

Moved by Commissioner Spindell. Seconded by Commissioner Knudson.

Roll call vote:	Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
	Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
	Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

Administrator Wolfe presented LAB recommendation #4 regarding specification of training content for special voting deputies and election inspectors.

Discussion.

**MOTION:** To update the scope statement as originally drafted and bring it back to the Commission for review.

Moved by Commissioner Knudson. Seconded by Commissioner Spindell.



Discussion.

Roll call vote: Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

Administrator Wolfe presented LAB recommendations #5 & #6 pertaining to the renewal of a data sharing agreement with the Department of Transportation and in the inclusion of a mechanism to keep said agreement up to date. She noted that this agreement, as required by Wis. Stat. § 85.61, was in effect at the time of the November 2020 General Election, that this agreement expired in January of 2021, and that the WEC is currently working to finalize an updated agreement.

Discussion.

**MOTION:** Staff is directed to continue working with DOT to update this agreement; that all provisions of the former agreement remain in place while it is being updated; and that the final agreement should include a mechanism for keeping the agreement renewed by July of every four years following the presidential election.

Moved by Commissioner Spindell. Seconded by Commissioner Knudson.

Discussion.

Roll call vote: Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

Administrator Wolfe presented LAB recommendation #26 related to the promulgation of administrative rules concerning electronic voting equipment and software security.

**MOTION:** Staff is directed to redraft and update the original scope statement from 2017.

Moved by Commissioner Thomsen. Seconded by Commissioner Spindell.

Roll call vote: Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

Administrator Wolfe presented LAB recommendation #28 regarding error calculations for each type of voting equipment used in each General Election.

Discussion.

**MOTION:** Staff is directed to draft a scope statement as to Wis. Stat. § 7.08(6) and an accompanying memorandum.

Moved by Commissioner Knudson. Seconded by Commissioner Spindell.

Discussion.

Roll call vote:	Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
	Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
	Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

The Commission recessed at 12:12 p.m.

The Commission reconvened at 12:48 p.m.

Administrator Wolfe presented LAB recommendation #7, which asks that the WEC work with the Department of Transportation to, “obtain the electronic signatures of individuals who register online to vote, or to request that the Legislature modify the statutory requirement that the Wisconsin Elections Commission obtain [signatures].”

Discussion.

**MOTION:** The WEC finds that it has fully complied with Wis. Stat. § 6.30(5) through its data sharing agreement with the Department of Transportation, which allows WEC’s immediate access to those signatures.

Moved by Commissioner Spindell. Seconded by Commissioner Bostelmann.

Discussion.

Roll call vote:	Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
	Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
	Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

Administrator Wolfe presented LAB recommendation #13 related to the establishment of a schedule for regularly obtaining each type of data available from the Electronic Registration Information Center (ERIC). She noted that the LAB’s report depicts WEC as having missed many opportunities to obtain data and that this representation is false.

Discussion.

**MOTION:** Staff is directed to create a calendar for public access of that annual schedule and cycle of receipt of ERIC data. The WEC has been receiving all available ERIC data and has been using it in accordance with state statute. The WEC is fully compliant with its ERIC agreement.

Discussion.

Commissioner Thomsen called the question.

Roll call vote: Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

Administrator Wolfe presented LAB recommendation #14 concerning absentee ballot certificate envelopes and the field where the absentee ballot witness prints their name.

Discussion.

**MOTION:** To add ballot envelope redesign as an item to a future meeting's agenda.

Moved by Commissioner Knudson. Seconded by Commissioner Jacobs.

**FRIENDLY AMENDMENT:** That a space for the witness to provide their printed name be included in the ballot envelope redesign.

Proposed by Commissioner Spindell. Not accepted by Commissioner Jacobs.

Discussion.

Roll call vote: Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

**MOTION:** That space be made available for the printing of the witness' name on the proposed absentee ballot envelope redesign

Moved by Commissioner Spindell. Seconded by Commissioner Knudson.

**FRIENDLY AMENDMENT:** That consideration will be given to where space will be provided for the printing of the absentee ballot witness' name.

Proposed by Commissioner Thomsen. Not accepted by Commissioner Spindell.

Discussion.

Roll call vote: Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	No
Jacobs:	No	Spindell:	Aye
Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	No

Motion failed 3-3.

Administrator Wolfe presented LAB recommendations #19 and #20 regarding the permissibility of clerks and board of canvass members to adjourn prior to completion of the canvass, and that a rule should be promulgated to that effect.

Discussion.

**MOTION:** To remove the sentence, “Under unforeseen circumstances, if one of the previous deadlines is missed then all efforts need to be made to both count all eligible ballots accurately and to meet the ultimate deadline of state certification on December 1<sup>st</sup>,” from the October 22, 2020 memorandum concerning premature adjournment.

Moved by Commissioner Spindell. Seconded by Commissioner Knudson.

Discussion.

Roll call vote: Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	No
Jacobs:	No	Spindell:	Aye
Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	No

Motion failed 3-3.

Discussion.

**MOTION:** The WEC finds that the law does not permit us to promulgate a rule to adjourn ballot counting.

Moved by Commissioner Thomsen. Seconded by Commissioner Glancey.

Discussion.

Roll call vote: Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

Administrator Wolfe presented LAB recommendations #21 and #22 pertaining to the relocation of polling places in natural disasters and public emergencies, and the issuance of a memorandum by the WEC on the subject in March of 2020 that was specific to the April 2020 election.

**MOTION:** The WEC finds that this recommendation is moot as the guidance was only applicable to the April 2020 election.

Moved by Commissioner Spindell. Seconded by Commissioner Glancey.

Roll call vote: Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

**MOTION:** To add a note on the guidance in some fashion that says this was only applicable to the April 2020 election, as confirmed by the vote of the Commission on December 1, 2021.

Moved by Commissioner Knudson. Seconded by Commissioner Bostelmann.

Roll call vote: Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

**MOTION:** Staff is directed to begin drafting a scope statement on accounting for future pandemics, natural disasters, and similar catastrophic events that impact polling place locations or necessitate last-minute changes.

Moved by Commissioner Knudson. Seconded by Commissioner Jacobs.

Discussion.

Roll call vote: Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

Administrator Wolfe presented LAB recommendation #8, which asks that the WEC compare driver's license and identification card numbers nightly to identify duplicate numbers.

Discussion.

**MOTION:** The WEC has added the LAB's recommendation of a crosscheck with driver's license and ID numbers, in addition to the data fields already checked nightly, to identify duplicate voter registration records.

Moved by Commissioner Spindell. Seconded by Commissioner Knudson.

Discussion.

Roll call vote: Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

The Commission recessed at 3:11 p.m.

The Commission reconvened at 3:30 p.m.

Roll call vote: Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

Administrator Wolfe presented LAB recommendations #9 & #10 pertaining to the renewal of a data sharing agreement with the Wisconsin Department of Health Services and in the inclusion of a mechanism to keep said agreement up to date.

Discussion.

**MOTION:** Although not statutorily required, the WEC is already working to execute a data sharing agreement with DHS and updating the current data sharing agreement with DOC and will ensure that they are reviewed and renewed as needed.

Moved by Commissioner Spindell. Seconded by Commissioner Knudson.

Discussion.

Roll call vote: Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

Discussion.

Chairwoman Jacobs excused herself to let her dog out. The Commission recessed briefly until she returned shortly thereafter.

Discussion.

Administrator Wolfe presented LAB recommendation #15 related to augmenting existing training or providing new training regarding the requirement that clerks initial absentee certificate envelopes when issuing ballots during in-person absentee voting.

Discussion.

**MOTION:** The WEC will provide additional training on the requirement to initial absentee ballot certificate envelopes, ballot processing, pre-election tests of electronic voting equipment, and reviewing of election day forms.

Moved by Commissioner Knudson. Seconded by Commissioner Spindell.

Discussion.

Roll call vote: Bostelmann: Aye    Glancey: Aye  
                  Jacobs: Aye        Spindell: Aye  
                  Knudson: Aye      Thomsen: Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

Administrator Wolfe presented LAB recommendation #27 which states that the WEC should ensure equipment vendors provide additional training to municipal clerks on ensuring that ballots are counted accurately when paper jams occur in electronic voting equipment.

Discussion.

**MOTION:** The WEC will bring the LAB's concern to the attention of the vendors emphasizing the importance of training on resolving paper jams.

Moved by Commissioner Thomsen. Seconded by Commissioner Bostelmann.

Discussion.

Roll call vote: Bostelmann: Aye    Glancey: Aye  
                  Jacobs: Aye        Spindell: Aye  
                  Knudson: Aye      Thomsen: Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

Administrator Wolfe presented LAB recommendation #16 related to the promulgation of an administrative rule regarding guidance issued by the Commission in 2016 concerning municipal clerks' ability to correct or add missing witness information on returned absentee ballot certificate envelopes.

**MOTION:** To promulgate a rule.

Moved by Commissioner Spindell. Seconded by Commissioner Knudson.

Discussion.

**FRIENDLY AMENDMENT:** This rule will be identical to the WEC's current guidance to clerks that it is permissible for them to add a missing piece of a witness address to absentee envelope certificates, should they be able to reasonably ascertain this information elsewhere.

Proposed by Commissioner Thomsen. Not accepted by Commissioner Spindell.

Discussion.

Roll call vote: Bostelmann: Aye    Glancey: No  
                  Jacobs: No         Spindell: Aye  
                  Knudson: Aye      Thomsen: No

Motion failed 3-3.





**AMENDED MOTION:** Staff is directed to draft a scope statement regarding drop boxes that should address that they need to be secure and tamper proof, accessible, have a limited number, and be easily monitored.

Commissioner Knudson called the question.

Roll call vote:	Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	No
	Jacobs:	No	Spindell:	Aye
	Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	No

Motion failed 3-3.

**MOTION:** Staff is directed to draft a scope statement to promulgate a rule regulating the use of drop boxes based on our current guidance.

Moved by Commissioner Knudson. Seconded by Commissioner Bostelmann.

Discussion.

Roll call vote:	Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
	Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
	Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

Administrator Wolfe presented LAB recommendation #18 regarding promulgation of a rule for when Special Voting Deputies are not allowed into residential care facilities and qualified retirement homes.

Discussion.

**MOTION:** The Special Voting Deputy guidance previously issued by the WEC was for *X* election only, and therefore this guidance is now moot and clerks should be so informed.

Moved by Commissioner Spindell.

Motion failed for lack of a second.

Chairwoman Jacobs informed the Commission and those in attendance that the Commission will not have time for Item I, Staff Updates to the Commission, today.

The Commission recessed at 5:58 p.m.

The Commission reconvened at 6:10 p.m.

Administrator Wolfe presented LAB recommendation #29 which suggests that WEC promulgate administrative rules for considering complaints alleging violations of election laws.

Discussion.

**MOTION:** Given Wis. Stat. § 5.05, the WEC declines to undertake an administrative rule making process given that the law is clear, has worked well, and we have complied with the statute.

Moved by Commissioner Thomsen. Seconded by Commissioner Knudson.

Discussion.

Roll call vote:	Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
	Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
	Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

Administrator Wolfe presented LAB recommendation #30, which suggests using newly purchased customer service software to track and report customer contacts.

Discussion.

**MOTION:** The WEC has recently purchased customer service software to track and report customer contacts and which is currently being implemented.

Moved by Commissioner Spindell. Seconded by Commissioner Knudson.

Discussion.

Roll call vote:	Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
	Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
	Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

Discussion.

**MOTION:** The WEC will send a letter remarking on the collaboration that took place to address the LAB's recommendations, including a matrix of what's being done to address each recommendation. Staff is also directed to draft a full letter for the Commission's review its January 11, 2022 meeting, to be sent by the March 31, 2022 deadline, detailing the concerns the Commission has with the audit regarding its process and its errors.

Moved by Commissioner Jacobs. Seconded by Commissioner Spindell.

Chairwoman Jacobs called the question.

Roll call vote:	Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
	Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
	Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

**I. Staff Updates to the Commission**

(This item was pushed to the Commission's January 11, 2022 meeting agenda.)

**J. Closed Session**

**MOTION:** To move to closed session.

Moved by Commissioner Bostelmann. Seconded by Commissioner Glancey.

Roll call vote:	Bostelmann:	Aye	Glancey:	Aye
	Jacobs:	Aye	Spindell:	Aye
	Knudson:	Aye	Thomsen:	Aye

Motion carried 6-0.

The Commission moved to closed session at 6:54 p.m.

The Commission adjourned in closed session at 7:17 p.m.

#####

December 1, 2021 Wisconsin Election Commission meeting minutes prepared by:



Jacob Walters, Operations Program Associate

December 3, 2021

December 1, 2021 Wisconsin Election Commission meeting minutes certified by:



Marge Bostelmann, Commission Secretary

January 11, 2022

# Appendix B



# Wisconsin Elections Commission

212 East Washington Avenue | Third Floor | P.O. Box 7984 | Madison, WI 53707-7984  
(608) 266-8005 | elections@wi.gov | elections.wi.gov

## MEMORANDUM

**DATE:** For the March 9, 2022 Commission Meeting

**TO:** Members, Wisconsin Elections Commission

**FROM:** WEC Staff

**SUBJECT:** Municipal Clerk Training Report for the 2020-2021 Term

Training requirements for municipal clerks are mandated by Wis. Stat. 7.15(1m), 7.315 and EL Admin Code Ch. 12. Municipal clerks must take and report at least six hours of election training to the Wisconsin Elections Commission each two year training term. Training taken during the 2020-2021 term certifies a clerk to conduct elections in the 2022-2023 term. At its December 1, 2021 meeting, the Commission directed staff to notify the top elected official of a particular municipality by letter if his or her clerk had not completed and reported required training within 30 days of the end of the term, in this case December 31, 2021.

WEC staff employed a variety of methods to inform locally appointed or elected municipal clerks about clerk certification requirements and training resources during the 2020-2021 term to encourage and motivate them to comply with training and reporting requirements. Below is a summary of those efforts. The WEC:

- Provided new clerks with an informational guide of training certification requirements, resources and website links. The guide was recently updated with links to the “New Clerk Checklist” and information about Badger Books.
- Highlighted and discussed state training certification requirements and training resources during eleven live election administration training webinars. These webinars are recorded and posted on the agency website for on-demand viewing.
- Presented information about certification requirements and training resources at the Wisconsin Municipal Clerks Association annual conference in August 2021, the Wisconsin Towns Association annual convention in October 2021, and the WMCA Districts 1 & 2 meeting in October 2021.
- Posted the clerk training hours report and certification requirements memo to the agency website starting on November 2, 2021. The report was updated 10 times before the final update on January 18, 2022. Clerks were advised to check the list, compare their records with the WEC’s and update any deficiencies or omissions they found.
- Directly contacted municipal clerks by email who had reported fewer than the minimum of six hours of training on four occasions, starting in mid-December 2021.
- Included training deadline reminders in nine bi-weekly WEC newsletters starting in September 2021.
- Requested assistance from the 72 county clerks to notify clerks in their respective counties of incomplete training records via personal contacts, training sessions and other communications.

*Wisconsin Elections Commissioners*

Ann S. Jacobs, chair | Marge Bostelmann | Julie M. Glancey | Dean Knudson | Robert Spindell | Mark L. Thomsen

---

Administrator  
Meagan Wolfe

Commission staff sent a letter to the top elected official in 151 municipalities on January 26, 2022, to inform them their clerk had not reported sufficient training for the 2020-2021 term. The letter provided information regarding state statutory training requirements, the importance of training for conducting an effective election and outlined the training the clerk needed to take and report to the WEC to be compliant with state law. The letter recommended that the clerk take and report the three-hour Municipal Clerk Core Training class, plus three hours of election training, for a total of six hours, by the clerk's first election in 2022. A copy of the letter is included with this memo. The letter was sent to the home address of the top elected official when this information could be gathered; otherwise, it was sent to the municipal address listed in the WisVote system.

The new training term for municipal clerks started on January 1, 2022 and ends on December 31, 2023. WEC staff plans to begin posting the clerk training hours regularly throughout the term and include reminders to report training timely on the election administration training webinar series confirmation pages and other communications. WEC staff is exploring new methods of gathering training information that are intended to increase compliance with reporting required training. Several options are being explored including obtaining attendee lists from clerk conference organizers, directly uploading webinar attendee rosters, changing the agency online Learning Center website to interface directly with clerk training records in WisVote and the development of an online portal for clerks who do not use the WisVote system to enter their training records instead of providing a paper report.

Work on this project is in the planning stages and will continue throughout the 2022-2023 clerk training term. WEC staff will provide the Commission with updates on the progress of this project at subsequent meetings.



# Wisconsin Elections Commission

212 East Washington Avenue | Third Floor | P.O. Box 7984 | Madison, WI 53707-7984  
(608) 266-8005 | [elections@wi.gov](mailto:elections@wi.gov) | [elections.wi.gov](http://elections.wi.gov)

January 26, 2022

Governing Body  
Street Address  
Municipality, State Zip

Governing Body:

RE: Municipal Clerk Training Recertification for the 2022-2023 Term

According to our records, the clerk for your municipality is not in compliance with State of Wisconsin election training requirements. Wisconsin municipal clerks are required to take and report a minimum of six hours of election training to the WEC for each 2-year term. **Our records indicate the clerk in your municipality has not taken and reported at least 6 hours of election training in the 2020-2021 term in order to be recertified for the current term.** Training taken by the clerk in the 2020-2021 training term recertifies the clerk for the current 2022-2023 training term.

Training requirements for municipal clerks are mandated by Wis. Stat. 7.15(1m), 7.315 and EL Admin Code Ch. 12. Under these requirements, the WEC is required to report to the governing body any clerk noncompliant with state training laws.

In order to achieve compliance with state law, and be certified as a clerk to conduct elections, your clerk must complete the 3-hour MCT Core Curriculum class plus three hours of additional election administration training, for a total six hours. They must also submit their training certification form to our office or enter their training into WisVote if they are a user of the system. It is recommended that this training is completed before their first election in 2022. Your clerk will continue to perform their election-related duties while they complete training.

Training is mandated by state law and is critical in ensuring that elections are conducted effectively and consistently across the state. We have a wide variety of training modules and materials available, both online and in person, as outlined in the memo, Certification Requirements and Resources: <https://elections.wi.gov/node/3549>. This information has previously been communicated to your clerk via clerk memos, election newsletters, clerk conferences, direct emails and as part of our webinar training series.

If you believe our records are in error or have any questions, please contact WEC Training Coordinator Allison Coakley at [allison.coakley@wi.gov](mailto:allison.coakley@wi.gov) or (608) 261-2033. Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

**Wisconsin Elections Commission**

*Wisconsin Elections Commissioners*

Ann S. Jacobs, chair | Marge Bostelmann | Julie M. Glancey | Dean Knudson | Robert Spindell | Mark L. Thomsen

---

Administrator  
Meagan Wolfe

# Appendix C





# Wisconsin Elections Commission

212 East Washington Avenue | Third Floor | P.O. Box 7984 | Madison, WI 53707-7984  
(608) 266-8005 | elections@wi.gov | elections.wi.gov

---

**DATE:** March 11, 2022  
**TO:** Clear Ballot Group  
**FROM:** Wisconsin Elections Commission  
**SUBJECT:** Training Emphasis on Optical Scan Tabulator Ballot Jams

In November 2021, the Wisconsin Legislative Audit Bureau (LAB) released its review of the 2020 General Election which contained a recommendation that the Wisconsin Elections Commission (WEC) “should ensure equipment vendors provide additional training to municipal clerks on ensuring that ballots are counted accurately when paper jams occur in electronic voting equipment.” WEC commission members subsequently directed staff to work with voting equipment vendors with systems approved for use in Wisconsin to ensure adequate training is provided on this issue.

The LAB reviewed results of the 2020 post-election voting equipment audit and confirmed that ballots which had been fed into the optical scan tabulators a second time after a ballot jam were the cause of a number of minor discrepancies in vote totals in audited reporting units. On page 82-83 of their report, LAB stated the following findings:

We reviewed the post-election audit results reported by municipal clerks to WEC’s staff and found no differences between the Election Day results and the audit results in 110 of the 183 reporting units (60.1 percent), but we found differences in 73 reporting units (39.9 percent). Most of these differences involved one vote or one ballot. One difference involved 21 ballots, which was the largest difference and which occurred because of ballot creases through the write-in fields. **The most-common reason for a difference was a paper jam when ballots were fed into the equipment or the paper roll on which ballots were printed was replaced in the equipment, which occurred in 22 reporting units** (emphasis added).

Most optical scan tabulators approved for use in Wisconsin display a warning screen to users when a ballot jam occurs, and that screen provides information on if the ballot that caused the jam was imaged and tabulated prior to the jam. We understand that your training on these tabulators currently includes references to these situations, but increased emphasis or reminders to current customers regarding these issues is critical in minimizing the impact of ballot jams on the results of an election.

WEC staff is interested in further discussing this with you, including any partnership opportunities in providing or distributing increased training on this issue as we head into the 2022 election cycle. Please do not hesitate to contact us with any questions you may have.

*Wisconsin Elections Commissioners*

Ann S. Jacobs, chair | Marge Bostelmann | Julie M. Glancey | Dean Knudson | Robert Spindell | Mark L. Thomsen

---

Administrator  
Meagan Wolfe