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Senator Robert Cowles and
Representative Samantha Kerkman, Co-chairpersons
Joint Legislative Audit Committee
State Capitol
Madison, Wisconsin 53702
Dear Senator Cowles and Representative Kerkman:
Under the open enrollment program, pupils may apply to attend public schools outside of the school districts where they reside. For each participating pupil, the Department of Public Instruction (DPI) transfers state aid from the district where the pupil resides to the district where the pupil attends school. This per pupil transfer amount is based on the statewide average of all districts' direct educational costs, which include such costs as teacher salaries and fringe benefits but exclude such costs as those related to administration and building operations. As required by 2011 Wisconsin Act 32, the 2011-13 Biennial Budget Act, we have reported on the amounts transferred since the program began in the 1998-99 school year, as well as on alternatives that could be considered for modifying the per pupil amount of state aid that is transferred between districts.

In the 2010-11 school year, 34,450 pupils participated in the program, and the amount transferred per pupil was $\$ 6,665$. A total of 224 districts lost more pupils than they gained through the program, 199 gained more than they lost, and 1 did not gain or lose any pupils. Most of the state's 424 districts either had a net gain or a net loss of 50 pupils or fewer, but 39 had a net gain or net loss of more than 100 pupils.

To illustrate a range of options, our report identifies five transfer amount alternatives that the Legislature could consider. To describe the potential financial effects of the five alternatives, we determined the amount of open enrollment funding each district would have gained or lost if any one of the alternatives had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year and compared the results to the amounts each district actually received in that school year.

We appreciate the assistance DPI extended to us in preparing this report.
Sincerely,


Yoe Chrisman
State Auditor
JC/DS/ss

## Open Enrollment Program a

Since the 1998-99 school year, Wisconsin's open enrollment program has enabled pupils to apply to attend public schools outside of the school districts where they reside. Section 118.51(5)(a), Wis. Stats., specifies the criteria that school boards may use to decide which applications from nonresident pupils to accept, including the availability of space-as determined by class size limits, pupil-teacher ratios, enrollment projections, and other similar measures-in a district's schools, programs, classes, or grades. If applications exceed available spaces, a district must randomly admit pupils, after giving preference to pupils already attending the district and their siblings. A school board may require a participating pupil to reapply only once. Reapplication can occur only when a pupil enters middle school, junior high school, or high school. Participating pupils may choose to return at any time to their districts of residence.

Districts are funded primarily by state aid and local property tax revenue. Most state aid is provided as equalization aid, which the Department of Public Instruction (DPI) distributes through a complex formula that is based on several factors, including:

- a district's membership, which is the full-time equivalent number of public school pupils residing in the district in the prior school year, as measured on specified dates;
- a district's shared costs, which are costs paid for with equalization aid and local property tax revenue; and
- the value of taxable property within a district's boundaries.

Equalization aid is intended to reduce districts' reliance upon property tax as the sole revenue source and to guarantee that each district is able to provide pupils with a basic educational opportunity regardless of the district's local financial resources. Districts with a lower per pupil property valuation receive equalization aid that pays for a larger percentage of their shared costs, while districts with a higher per pupil property valuation receive equalization aid that pays for a smaller percentage of their shared costs. Statutes limit the annual amount of revenue a district can receive through a combination of property tax revenue and general state aid, which consists almost entirely of equalization aid but also includes other types of aid such as Chapter 220 integration aid. This is known as a district's revenue limit.

Under the open enrollment program, families do not pay tuition for their children to attend a different district (which is known as the receiving district) than the district where they reside (which is known as the sending district). Instead, DPI transfers an amount to the receiving district that equals the statewide average per pupil cost incurred during the prior school year for four categories of statutorily specified expenditures: regular instruction, cocurricular activities, instructional support services, and pupil support services. As defined by DPI:

- regular instruction includes the costs of salaries and fringe benefits for teachers and other instructional staff, instructional supplies, and materials such as textbooks;
- cocurricular activities includes the costs of activities supervised by school staff such as band, chorus, speech and debate, and athletics;
- instructional support services includes the costs of activities such as curriculum development, staff training, and library services; and
- pupil support services includes the costs of activities such as social work, guidance counseling, and psychological services.

These direct educational expenses exclude other costs incurred by districts, such as those related to administration, building operations and maintenance, and debt service.

For each district, DPI determines the number of participating pupils who transferred to other districts and the number who transferred into the district. If more pupils transfer into a district than transfer out, the district's state aid payment is supplemented by an amount equal to the per pupil transfer amount multiplied by the number of net transfers into the district. A district's revenue limit does not restrict the amount of supplemental aid the district can receive as a result of the program. In contrast, if more participating pupils transfer out of a district than into it, the state aid payment is reduced in the same manner. When the amount to be transferred out of a district exceeds that district's equalization aid, DPI reduces other types of state aid provided to that district, including categorical aid that
partially funds specific costs such as those related to special education and pupil transportation. Districts are not allowed to increase property taxes to compensate for any loss of state aid that occurs as a result of the program. DPI prorates the transfer amount for pupils who attend receiving districts for less than a full school year. This method for determining the transfer amounts, which is revenue neutral from the State's perspective, has remained unchanged since the program's inception.

Proponents of open enrollment believe that the program provides educational choices to parents and pupils and encourages school districts to improve their educational programs in order to avoid losing pupils to other districts. In contrast, opponents believe that any programmatic benefits are outweighed by the financial penalty to districts that lose pupils, which leaves less money available to educate pupils who remain in the district.

Nonstatutory provisions in 2011 Wisconsin Act 32, the 2011-13 Biennial Budget Act, require us to report by January 1, 2012, on:

- the history of the open enrollment transfer amount;
- alternatives for increasing the transfer amount based on the costs to the receiving districts of educating transfer pupils and the amount of funding that sending districts retain for their fixed costs;
- alternatives for transferring sending districts' revenue limit amounts or state aid to the receiving districts; and
- the effects of these alternatives on districts that either gain or lose a relatively large proportion of their pupils under the program.

To complete this report, we analyzed program and school funding data provided by DPI. In addition, we contacted eight districts, including those that gained and lost pupils in the 2010-11 school year as a result of the program, and eight associations that represent districts, pupils, and others involved with public school education.

We did not address program funding issues related to pupils who receive special education services because Act 32 directed us to examine the transfer amount, which does not apply to such pupils. Pupils who receive special education services and participate in the program are instead funded by direct payments between districts, and DPI does not centrally track these district-to-district payments. However, some advocates for special education pupils believe that a comprehensive review of the program's funding alternatives should include consideration of ways to increase these pupils' participation. Appendix 1 shows the number of participating pupils who received special education services each year since the program began.

## Program Overview

Table 1 shows the number of participating pupils in September of each year, the per pupil transfer amount, and the total amount transferred statewide in each school year since the program began. In the 2010-11 school year, the 34,450 participating pupils represented 4.0 percent of all public school pupils in Wisconsin, and the $\$ 196.2$ million transferred statewide was 4.2 percent of all equalization aid provided to districts. In the 2011-12 school year, DPI estimates that the per pupil transfer amount will increase to $\$ 6,948$. As of September 2011, 37,345 pupils participated in the program.

Table 1
History of the Open Enrollment Program

| School Year | Number <br> of Pupils |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998-99 | 2,464 | Per Pupil <br> Transfer Amount | Total <br> Transferred Statewide |
| $1999-2000$ | 4,859 | $\$ 4,543$ | $\$ 9,579,900$ |
| $2000-01$ | 7,214 | 4,703 | $19,609,500$ |
| $2001-02$ | 9,602 | 4,828 | $30,468,100$ |
| $2002-03$ | 12,378 | 5,059 | $42,442,600$ |
| $2003-04$ | 15,409 | 5,241 | $57,407,600$ |
| $2004-05$ | 18,215 | 5,446 | $73,862,300$ |
| $2005-06$ | 21,028 | 5,496 | $88,014,900$ |
| $2006-07$ | 23,406 | 5,682 | $104,027,900$ |
| $2007-08$ | 25,899 | 5,845 | $118,740,900$ |
| $2008-09$ | 28,012 | 6,007 | $135,100,800$ |
| $2009-10$ | 31,891 | 6,225 | $151,233,900$ |
| $2010-11$ | 34,450 | 6,498 | $178,363,400$ |

${ }^{1}$ As of the third Friday in September, including pupils receiving special education services.

In the 2010-11 school year, per pupil direct educational costs in 239 districts were less than the $\$ 6,665$ transferred per pupil, while such costs were more than that amount in 185 districts. Direct educational costs ranged from a low of $\$ 4,564$ per pupil in Richfield J1 to a high of $\$ 12,983$ per pupil in Washington.

Table 2 shows the grade level of participating pupils in the 2010-11 school year. Participation was slightly more common at higher grade levels, possibly because some high school pupils were looking for educational or extracurricular options not offered in their resident districts, such as advanced placement courses and athletic programs.

Table 2
Open Enrollment Participation, by Grade Level 2010-11 School Year

|  | Open <br> Enrollment <br> Pupils | Public School <br> Pupils <br> Statewide | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prekindergarten | 1,575 | 50,200 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Kindergarten | 2,239 | 60,721 | 3.7 |
| 1 | 2,199 | 61,262 | 3.6 |
| 2 | 2,275 | 60,224 | 3.8 |
| 3 | 2,241 | 59,981 | 3.7 |
| 4 | 2,236 | 61,015 | 3.7 |
| 5 | 2,237 | 61,417 | 3.6 |
| 6 | 2,353 | 61,053 | 3.9 |
| 7 | 2,350 | 61,263 | 3.8 |
| 8 | 2,360 | 61,334 | 3.8 |
| 9 | 2,937 | 68,304 | 4.3 |
| 10 | 2,963 | 66,391 | 4.5 |
| 11 | 3,251 | 69,010 | 4.7 |
| 12 | 3,230 | 69,375 | 4.7 |
| Unknown | 4 | - | - |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 4 , 4 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 1 , 5 5 0}$ | $4.0 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ As of the third Friday in September 2010, including pupils receiving special education services.

Because DPI transfers state aid based on the actual number of days that each participating pupil spends in a given district during a school year, we used a fulltime equivalent basis to determine each district's net pupil transfer. The net pupil transfer reflects the combined effects of participating pupils leaving and entering a district but excludes those receiving special education services. In the 2010-11 school year, 224 of the state's 424 districts had a net loss of pupils, 199 had a net gain, and 1 did not gain or lose any pupils. As shown in Figure 1, districts with net losses and net gains of pupils were located throughout the state and included both urban and rural areas. On average, receiving districts had fewer pupils than sending districts; had higher direct educational costs per pupil; and received less equalization aid per pupil, suggesting that receiving districts had higher property tax values.

Figure 1
Net Losses and Net Gains of Full-Time Equivalent Open Enrollment Pupils ${ }^{1}$ 2010-11 School Year

${ }^{1}$ Washington district did not gain or lose any pupils (excluding those receiving special education services).

Figure 2 shows the extent to which districts had net losses or net gains of pupils as a result of the program in the 2010-11 school year. While 313 districts had either a net loss or a net gain of 50 pupils or fewer, 39 had a net loss or a net gain of more than 100 pupils. Appendix 2 shows the net loss or net gain for each district.

Figure 2
Net Losses and Net Gains of Full-Time Equivalent Open Enrollment Pupils in Individual School Districts ${ }^{1}$ 2010-11 School Year


[^0]Table 3 shows the districts with the most net transfers of open enrollment pupils in the 2010-11 school year, rounded to the nearest whole number. Four of the ten districts with net gains operate virtual charter schools. Pupils enrolled in virtual charter schools typically attend school from their homes or anywhere they have a computer and an Internet connection.

Table 3

## School Districts with the Most Full-Time Equivalent Net Pupil Transfers under the Open Enrollment Program <br> 2010-11 School Year

|  |
| :--- |
|  |
| Net Pupil |
| Transfers |

Districts with Net Gains

| Wauwatosa | 911 |
| :--- | :--- |
| McFarland $^{1}$ | 808 |
| Appleton Area $^{1}$ | 671 |
| Ashwaubenon $^{\text {Northern Ozaukee }}{ }^{1}$ | 541 |
| Greenfield | 524 |
| West Allis-West Milwaukee | 517 |
| Elmbrook | 457 |
| Grantsburg |  |
| Greendale | 446 |

Districts with Net Losses

| Milwaukee | $(4,569)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Green Bay Area | $(703)$ |
| Racine Unified | $(618)$ |
| Madison Metropolitan | $(533)$ |
| Kaukauna Area | $(189)$ |
| Beloit | $(151)$ |
| Delavan-Darien | $(148)$ |
| Hartford J1 | $(147)$ |
| Oconomowoc Area | $(132)$ |
| Palmyra-Eagle Area |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ District operates a virtual charter school. |  |

Table 4 shows the districts where open enrollment pupils in the 2010-11 school year represented the largest percentage of a district's membership. As noted, membership is defined as the number of public school pupils residing in a district in the prior school year. It includes pupils who transferred to other districts under the open enrollment program but excludes pupils who transferred into a district under the program.

Table 4

## School Districts Where Net Full-Time Equivalent Pupil Transfers under the Open Enrollment Program Represented the Largest Percentage of Membership 2010-11 School Year

|  |  | Net Transfers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Pupil |  | as a Percentage <br> Transfers |
| Membership | of Membership |  |

Districts with Net Gains

| Northern Ozaukee $^{1}$ | 524 | 871 | $60.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Brighton \#1 | 68 | 131 | 51.9 |
| Rubicon J6 | 45 | 95 | 47.4 |
| Grantsburg $^{1}$ | 400 | 927 | 43.1 |
| Geneva J4 $^{\text {McFarland }}$ 1 | 47 | 115 | 40.9 |
| Friess Lake | 808 | 2,037 | 39.7 |
| Saint Francis | 82 | 211 | 38.9 |
| Yorkville J2 | 315 | 900 | 35.0 |
| Ashwaubenon | 99 | 324 | 30.6 |

Districts with Net Losses

| Dover \#1 | $(34)$ | 122 | $(27.9)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| South Shore | $(43)$ | 196 | $(21.9)$ |
| Stockbridge | $(39)$ | 248 | $(15.7)$ |
| Richfield J1 | $(70)$ | 453 | $(15.5)$ |
| Winter | $(46)$ | 346 | $(13.3)$ |
| Wheatland J1 | $(19)$ | 466 | $(12.9)$ |
| Mercer | $(26)$ | 147 | $(12.9)$ |
| Neosho J3 | $(25)$ | 236 | $(12.8)$ |
| Washington-Caldwell | $(132)$ | 1,280 | $(10.6)$ |
| Palmyra-Eagle Area |  | $(10.3)$ |  |

${ }^{1}$ District operates a virtual charter school.

One way of measuring the program's financial effects on a district is to calculate the amount of general state aid and property tax revenue retained by a district for each pupil who transferred elsewhere. For example, if a district's revenue limit was $\$ 10,665$ per pupil and the transfer amount was $\$ 6,665$ per pupil, the district would have retained $\$ 4,000$ in general state aid and property tax revenue for each transferring pupil, if the district fully levied property taxes to the statutorily allowed revenue limit.

As shown in Table 5, 172 of the 224 districts that had a net loss of pupils under the program in the 2010-11 school year retained $\$ 3,000$ or more in general state aid and property tax revenue for each pupil who transferred elsewhere. Districts can use the retained funding for any purpose, including paying teacher salaries and maintaining classrooms and school buildings. Retaining these funds could be important if participating pupils choose to return to the sending districts, as permitted by statutes. Information on the extent to which pupils actually return was not readily available.

Table 5

# General State Aid and Property Tax Revenue Per Pupil that Net Losing Districts Retained after Pupils Transferred Elsewhere under the Open Enrollment Program 2010-11 School Year 

| Amount Per Pupil | Districts | Percentage |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| $\$ 9,000$ or More |  |  |
| $\$ 7,000$ to $\$ 8,999$ | 2 | $0.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 6,999$ | 16 | 0.9 |
| $\$ 3,000$ to $\$ 4,999$ | 152 | 7.1 |
| Subtotal | 172 | 76.9 |
| $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 2,999$ | 51 | 22.8 |
| Less than $\$ 2,000$ | 1 | 0.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

## Five Transfer Amount Alternatives

To illustrate a range of options, we identified five alternatives that the Legislature could consider if it wishes to modify how the program's per pupil transfer amount is calculated:

- Alternative 1 would increase the transfer amount by taking into consideration additional district costs that may be directly related to educating pupils but are not currently included when the transfer amount is calculated.
- Alternative 2 would increase the transfer amount by basing it on statewide average per pupil shared costs, which are paid for by equalization aid and property tax revenue.
- Alternative 3 would decrease the transfer amount by removing from consideration certain costs that are currently included when the transfer amount is calculated but may not be directly related to educating pupils.
- Alternative 4 would transfer an amount equivalent to the receiving district's per pupil direct educational costs as they are currently defined, thereby reflecting a given district's actual costs.
- Alternative 5 would transfer an amount equivalent to a receiving district's per pupil equalization aid, which would ensure that districts receiving more equalization aid for their own pupils would receive a higher per pupil transfer amount, while those receiving less aid would receive a lower transfer amount.

To describe the potential financial effects of these five alternatives, we calculated the amount of open enrollment funding each district would have gained or lost if any one of the alternatives had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year and compared the results to the amount each district actually received. We also calculated the total amount of open enrollment funding districts would have gained or lost in the 2010-11 school year as a percentage of a district's revenue limit, which provides another indication of the alternative's financial effects. Because complete information for the 2011-12 school year will be unavailable until mid-2012, we did not estimate the financial effects of the alternatives for this school year.

It should be noted that the actual cost of educating participating pupils likely differs from the amounts that would be transferred between districts under the five alternatives because the alternatives are based on the average costs of educating pupils, rather than the marginal costs of educating them. This is important because staff salaries and fringe benefits make up most direct educational costs. In the 2010-11 school year, for example, staff salaries and fringe benefits accounted for 90.2 percent of the total costs that were used to determine the per pupil transfer amount.

The following examples help to illustrate the implications of this issue. If 20 pupils transfer from District A to District B, but no more than 2 of them are in the same grade, District B would likely not need to hire additional instructional or other staff to serve them. Therefore, the actual cost of educating each of these pupils would be substantially less than $\$ 6,665$, which was the per pupil transfer amount in the 2010-11 school year. In contrast, if 8 of the 20 pupils were in the fifth grade and District B was already at capacity for fifth-grade instruction, it might need to hire additional staff to adequately serve the additional pupils. As a result, the actual cost of educating each of them could be substantially more than $\$ 6,665$. However, because districts are not required to accept pupils through the program if they do not have the existing capacity to serve them, it seems likely that in most instances a district would accept additional pupils only if it did not need to hire additional staff to educate them, or if the number of additional pupils was so large that the revenue generated exceeded the costs of any additional staff who would be needed.

Because information on the marginal costs of educating pupils is unavailable and such costs vary for each pupil, we based our alternatives on average costs. In addition, the marginal cost of educating a pupil may be most relevant in the first year a pupil transfers to a district. As noted, statutes allow a receiving district to require a pupil to reapply only once, which means that a receiving district likely needs to consider that an open enrollment pupil may continue to attend the district in future years. Further, equalization aid is not distributed to school districts based on marginal costs.

## Alternative 1: Including Additional Costs in the Per Pupil Transfer Amount

This alternative would increase the program's per pupil transfer amount by taking into account the costs of certain district activities that may be direct educational costs but are not currently included in the transfer amount's calculation:

- instructional activities for gifted or talented pupils;
- management of a school's operations, including activities of the principal, assistant principals, and other assistants in the general supervision of school operations;
- transportation for field trips and cocurricular activities; and
- purchasing, printing, and mail distribution services.

Table 6

## Summary of the Financial Effects of Alternative 1

2010-11 School Year

|  | What Would Have <br> Occurred if the <br> Alternative <br> What Actually <br> Occurred | Had Been in Effect |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Per Pupil Transfer Amount | $\$ 6,665$ | $\$ 7,389$ |
| Total Amount Transferred Statewide | $\$ 196.2$ million | $\$ 217.5$ million |

Compared to the amounts actually received under the program, the number of districts that would have received:

| more funding | 199 |
| :--- | :---: |
| less funding | 224 |
| the same amount of funding | $1^{1}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 2 4}$ |

[^1]Table 7 shows the districts with the largest net gains and net losses of pupils from the program and the financial effects on them if this alternative had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year. Compared to the actual transfer amounts, the ten districts with net gains would have gained more funds, while the ten districts with net losses would have lost more funds. For example, Wauwatosa would have gained an additional \$659,400, while Milwaukee would have lost an additional $\$ 3.3$ million. As a percentage of a district's revenue limit, the modified transfer amount would have been considerably higher for most of the ten districts with net pupil gains than it would have been for those with net pupil losses, largely because the districts with net gains tended to have fewer pupils than those with net losses. Appendix 3 shows how each of Wisconsin's 424 districts would have been financially affected if this alternative had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year.

Table 7
Alternative 1
Including Additional Costs in the Per Pupil Transfer Amount
Financial Effects on the Districts with the
Largest Net Gains and Net Losses of
Full-Time Equivalent Open Enrollment Pupils
2010-11 School Year

|  |  |  | Modified <br> Transfer Amount <br> as a Percentage <br> of a District's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Actual | Modified |  |

Districts with Net Gains

| Wauwatosa | $\$ 6,070,300$ | $\$ 6,729,700$ | $\$ 659,400$ | $10.7 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| McFarland | $5,383,600$ | $5,968,400$ | 584,800 | 27.3 |
| Appleton Area | $4,474,500$ | $4,960,500$ | 486,000 | 3.5 |
| Ashwaubenon | $3,604,600$ | $3,996,200$ | 391,600 | 15.2 |
| Northern Ozaukee | $3,496,900$ | $3,876,700$ | 379,800 | 44.1 |
| Greenfield | $3,443,800$ | $3,817,800$ | 374,000 | 12.4 |
| West Allis-West Milwaukee | $3,046,900$ | $3,377,900$ | 331,000 | 4.1 |
| Elmbrook | $2,973,600$ | $3,296,600$ | 323,000 | 4.2 |
| Grantsburg | $2,663,900$ | $2,953,300$ | 289,400 | 33.3 |
| Greendale | $2,352,700$ | $2,608,300$ | 255,600 | 10.5 |

Districts with Net Losses

| Milwaukee | $(30,457,000)$ | $(33,765,300)$ | $(3,308,300)$ | $(3.8)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Green Bay Area | $(4,685,900)$ | $(5,194,900)$ | $(509,000)$ | $(2.6)$ |
| Racine Unified | $(4,121,900)$ | $(4,569,600)$ | $(447,700)$ | $(2.1)$ |
| Madison Metropolitan | $(3,548,600)$ | $(3,934,100)$ | $(385,500)$ | $(1.4)$ |
| Kaukauna Area | $(1,982,600)$ | $(2,197,900)$ | $(215,300)$ | $(5.4)$ |
| Beloit | $(1,260,100)$ | $(1,397,000)$ | $(136,900)$ | $(2.0)$ |
| Delavan-Darien | $(1,008,000)$ | $(1,117,500)$ | $(109,500)$ | $(4.3)$ |
| Hartford J1 | $(983,200)$ | $(1,090,000)$ | $(106,800)$ | $(6.2)$ |
| Oconomowoc Area | $(975,900)$ | $(1,081,900)$ | $(106,000)$ | $(2.2)$ |
| Palmyra-Eagle Area | $(882,200)$ | $(978,000)$ | $(95,800)$ | $(7.8)$ |

Table 8 shows the districts where open enrollment pupils represented the largest percentage of membership and the financial effects on them if this alternative had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year. As a percentage of a district's revenue limit, the modified transfer amount would have been higher for most of the ten districts that had net pupil gains than it would have been for those with net pupil losses. As may be expected, districts where open enrollment pupils represented the largest percentage of the membership would have been financially affected to a greater extent than other districts, such as those shown in Table 7.

If implemented, this alternative would be relatively easy for DPI to administer and would be familiar to districts because it is based on the existing method of transferring state aid between districts. If the alternative had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year, 82 of the 224 districts with a net loss of pupils through the program would have retained at least $\$ 3,000$ in general state aid and property tax revenue for each pupil who transferred elsewhere. In contrast, 172 of the 224 districts actually retained at least $\$ 3,000$ in general state aid and property tax revenue per pupil in the 2010-11 school year.

Table 8
Alternative 1
Including Additional Costs in the Per Pupil Transfer Amount
Financial Effects on Districts Where Net Full-Time Equivalent Open Enrollment Pupil Transfers Represented the Largest Percentage of Membership

2010-11 School Year
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|cccc|}\hline & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Modified } \\
\\
\\
\text { District }\end{array}
$$ <br>
\& Actual \& Transfer Amount <br>
as a Percentage <br>

of a District's\end{array}\right]\)| Revenue Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Districts with Net Gains

| Northern Ozaukee | $\$ 3,496,900$ | $\$ 3,876,700$ | $\$ 379,800$ | $44.1 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Brighton \#1 | 451,200 | 500,200 | 49,000 | 31.1 |
| Rubicon J6 | 297,300 | 329,500 | 32,200 | 25.5 |
| Grantsburg | $2,663,900$ | $2,953,300$ | 289,400 | 33.3 |
| Geneva J4 | 308,300 | 341,700 | 33,400 | 21.5 |
| McFarland | $5,383,600$ | $5,968,400$ | 584,800 | 27.3 |
| Friess Lake | 545,000 | 604,200 | 59,200 | 23.3 |
| Saint Francis | $2,100,800$ | $2,329,000$ | 228,200 | 24.0 |
| Yorkville J2 | 659,700 | 731,300 | 71,600 | 19.4 |
| Ashwaubenon | $3,604,600$ | $3,996,200$ | 391,600 | 15.2 |

Districts with Net Losses

| Dover \#1 | $(231,900)$ | $(257,100)$ | $(25,200)$ | $(18.9)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| South Shore | $(283,900)$ | $(314,800)$ | $(30,900)$ | $(12.4)$ |
| Stockbridge | $(262,600)$ | $(291,100)$ | $(28,500)$ | $(10.9)$ |
| Richfield J1 | $(467,900)$ | $(518,700)$ | $(50,800)$ | $(11.6)$ |
| Winter | $(306,600)$ | $(339,900)$ | $(33,300)$ | $(7.6)$ |
| Wheatland J1 | $(400,000)$ | $(443,400)$ | $(43,400)$ | $(8.9)$ |
| Mercer | $(123,700)$ | $(137,100)$ | $(13,400)$ | $(6.8)$ |
| Neosho J3 | $(172,400)$ | $(191,100)$ | $(18,700)$ | $(8.9)$ |
| Washington-Caldwell | $(166,600)$ | $(184,700)$ | $(18,100)$ | $(6.6)$ |
| Palmyra-Eagle Area | $(882,200)$ | $(978,000)$ | $(95,800)$ | $(7.8)$ |

Alternative 2: Using Statewide Average Shared Costs as the Per Pupil Transfer Amount

Rather than basing the transfer amount on direct educational expenses, this alternative would increase the transfer amount by basing it on statewide average shared costs per pupil. Shared costs are district expenditures paid for by equalization aid and property tax revenue.

Table 9

## Summary of the Financial Effects of Alternative 2 <br> 2010-11 School Year

|  | What Would Have <br> Occurred if the <br> Alternative <br> What Actually <br> Occurred | Had Been in Effect |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Per Pupil Transfer Amount | $\$ 6,665$ | $\$ 10,332$ |
| Total Amount Transferred Statewide | $\$ 196.2$ million | $\$ 304.1$ million |

Compared to the amounts actually received under the program, the number of districts
that would have received:

| more funding | 199 |
| :--- | :---: |
| less funding | 224 |
| the same amount of funding | $\mathbf{1 1}^{1}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 2 4}$ |

[^2]Table 10 shows the districts with the largest net gains and net losses of pupils from the program and the financial effects on them if this alternative had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year. Compared to the actual transfer amounts, districts with net gains would have gained even more funds, while districts with net losses would have lost even more funds. For example, Wauwatosa would have gained an additional $\$ 3.3$ million, while Milwaukee would have lost an additional $\$ 16.8$ million. Compared to Alternative 1-Including Additional Costs in the Per Pupil Transfer Amount (see page 15)-this alternative would have increased considerably the amounts transferred, and the transfer amount as a percentage of a district's revenue limit would also have increased. Appendix 3 shows how each district statewide would have been financially affected if this alternative had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year.

Table 10
Alternative 2
Using Statewide Average Shared Costs as the Per Pupil Transfer Amount

> Financial Effects on the Districts with the Largest Net Gains and Net Losses of Full-Time Equivalent Open Enrollment Pupils
> 2010-11 School Year

|  |  |  | Modified <br>  <br> District |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual | Modified | Transfer Amount <br> as a Percentage <br> of a District's <br> Revenue Limit |  |

Districts with Net Gains

| Wauwatosa | $\$ 6,070,300$ | $\$ 9,410,200$ | $\$ 3,339,900$ | $14.9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| McFarland | $5,383,600$ | $8,345,600$ | $2,962,000$ | 38.1 |
| Appleton Area | $4,474,500$ | $6,936,200$ | $2,461,700$ | 4.9 |
| Ashwaubenon | $3,604,600$ | $5,587,800$ | $1,983,200$ | 21.2 |
| Northern Ozaukee | $3,496,900$ | $5,420,800$ | $1,923,900$ | 61.6 |
| Greenfield | $3,443,800$ | $5,338,400$ | $1,894,600$ | 17.3 |
| West Allis-West Milwaukee | $3,046,900$ | $4,723,300$ | $1,676,400$ | 5.7 |
| Elmbrook | $2,973,600$ | $4,609,600$ | $1,636,000$ | 5.8 |
| Grantsburg | $2,663,900$ | $4,129,500$ | $1,465,600$ | 46.6 |
| Greendale | $2,352,700$ | $3,647,200$ | $1,294,500$ | 14.7 |

Districts with Net Losses

| Milwaukee | $(30,457,000)$ | $(47,213,900)$ | $(16,756,900)$ | $(5.4)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Green Bay Area | $(4,685,900)$ | $(7,264,000)$ | $(2,578,100)$ | $(3.6)$ |
| Racine Unified | $(4,121,900)$ | $(6,389,700)$ | $(2,267,800)$ | $(3.0)$ |
| Madison Metropolitan | $(3,548,600)$ | $(5,501,000)$ | $(1,952,400)$ | $(1.9)$ |
| Kaukauna Area | $(1,982,600)$ | $(3,073,300)$ | $(1,090,700)$ | $(7.6)$ |
| Beloit | $(1,260,100)$ | $(1,953,400)$ | $(693,300)$ | $(2.7)$ |
| Delavan-Darien | $(1,008,000)$ | $(1,562,600)$ | $(554,600)$ | $(6.1)$ |
| Hartford J1 | $(983,200)$ | $(1,524,100)$ | $(540,900)$ | $(8.6)$ |
| Oconomowoc Area | $(975,900)$ | $(1,512,700)$ | $(536,800)$ | $(3.0)$ |
| Palmyra-Eagle Area | $(882,200)$ | $(1,367,500)$ | $(485,300)$ | $(10.9)$ |

Table 11 shows the districts where open enrollment pupils represented the largest percentage of membership and the financial effects on them if this alternative had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year. As may be expected, districts where open enrollment pupils represented the largest percentage of the membership would have been financially affected to a greater extent than other districts, such as those shown in Table 10.

If implemented, this alternative would be relatively easy for DPI to administer and would be familiar to districts because it would transfer state aid between districts, although the transfer amount would be based on shared costs, rather than on direct educational costs. If the alternative had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year, 4 of the 224 districts with a net loss of pupils through the program would have retained at least $\$ 3,000$ in general state aid and property tax revenue for each pupil who transferred elsewhere, but 136 districts would have lost more than their per pupil general state aid and property tax revenue for each pupil who transferred elsewhere. In contrast, 172 of the 224 districts actually retained at least $\$ 3,000$ in general state aid and property tax revenue per pupil in the 2010-11 school year.

A district's revenue limit divided equally among all district pupils is the per pupil revenue limit amount. Another option for modifying the program's transfer amount would be to transfer a sending district's per pupil revenue limit amount to the receiving district. Because the amounts that most districts would transfer under this option would be similar to the amounts that would be transferred if shared costs were used as the basis for determining the transfer amount, we do not separately present an alternative based on revenue limit amounts. Basing the transfer amount on either shared costs or revenue limit amounts would transfer almost all of a sending district's revenue associated with pupils participating in the program.

Table 11
Alternative 2
Using Statewide Average Shared Costs as the Per Pupil Transfer Amount
Financial Effects on Districts Where Net Full-Time Equivalent Open Enrollment Pupil Transfers Represented the Largest Percentage of Membership 2010-11 School Year

| District | Actual <br> Transfer Amount | Modified <br> Transfer Amount | Difference | Modified <br> Transfer Amount as a Percentage of a District's Revenue Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Districts with Net Gains

| Northern Ozaukee | $\$ 3,496,900$ | $\$ 5,420,800$ | $\$ 1,923,900$ | $61.6 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Brighton \#1 | 451,200 | 699,500 | 248,300 | 43.5 |
| Rubicon J6 | 297,300 | 460,800 | 163,500 | 35.6 |
| Grantsburg | $2,663,900$ | $4,129,500$ | $1,465,600$ | 46.6 |
| Geneva J4 | 308,300 | 477,900 | 169,600 | 30.1 |
| McFarland | $5,383,600$ | $8,345,600$ | $2,962,000$ | 38.1 |
| Friess Lake | 545,000 | 844,900 | 299,900 | 32.5 |
| Saint Francis | $2,100,800$ | $3,256,600$ | $1,155,800$ | 33.5 |
| Yorkville J2 | 659,700 | $1,022,600$ | 362,900 | 27.2 |
| Ashwaubenon | $3,604,600$ | $5,587,800$ | $1,983,200$ | 21.2 |

Districts with Net Losses

| Dover \#1 | $(231,900)$ | $(359,600)$ | $(127,700)$ | $(26.4)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| South Shore | $(283,900)$ | $(440,100)$ | $(156,200)$ | $(17.4)$ |
| Stockbridge | $(262,600)$ | $(407,100)$ | $(144,500)$ | $(15.2)$ |
| Richfield J1 | $(467,900)$ | $(725,300)$ | $(257,400)$ | $(16.2)$ |
| Winter | $(306,600)$ | $(475,300)$ | $(168,700)$ | $(10.7)$ |
| Wheatland J1 | $(400,000)$ | $(620,000)$ | $(220,000)$ | $(12.5)$ |
| Mercer | $(123,700)$ | $(191,700)$ | $(68,000)$ | $(9.5)$ |
| Neosho J3 | $(172,400)$ | $(267,200)$ | $(94,800)$ | $(12.5)$ |
| Washington-Caldwell | $(166,600)$ | $(258,300)$ | $(91,700)$ | $(9.3)$ |
| Palmyra-Eagle Area | $(882,200)$ | $(1,367,500)$ | $(485,300)$ | $(10.9)$ |

# Alternative 3: Removing Certain Costs from the Per Pupil Transfer Amount 

This alternative would decrease the program's per pupil transfer amount by removing from consideration district costs for instructional staff support services, which include curriculum development, staff training, and library services. These costs are currently included in the transfer amount's calculation but may not be directly related to educating pupils.

Table 12
Summary of the Financial Effects of Alternative 3
2010-11 School Year

|  | What Would Have <br> Occurred if the <br> Alternative <br> What Actually <br> Occurred | Had Been in Effect |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Per Pupil Transfer Amount | $\$ 6,665$ | $\$ 6,098$ |
| Total Amount Transferred Statewide | $\$ 196.2$ million | $\$ 179.5$ million |

Compared to the amounts actually received under the program, the number of districts that would have received:

| more funding | 224 |
| :--- | :---: |
| less funding | 199 |
| the same amount of funding | $1^{1}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 2 4}$ |

[^3]Table 13 shows the districts with the largest net gains and net losses of pupils from the program and the financial effects on them if this alternative had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year. In contrast to Alternative 1—Including Additional Costs in the Per Pupil Transfer Amount (see page 15)—and Alternative 2—Using the Statewide Average Shared Costs as the Per Pupil Transfer Amount (see page 23)-decreasing the per pupil transfer amount would reduce each district's total transfer amount and the transfer amount as a percentage of a district's revenue limit. Compared to the actual transfer amounts, districts with net gains would have gained less funding, while districts with net losses would have lost less. For example, Wauwatosa would have gained $\$ 516,400$ less, while Milwaukee would have lost $\$ 2.6$ million less. Appendix 3 shows how each district statewide would have been financially affected if this alternative had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year.

Table 13
Alternative 3
Removing Certain Costs from the Per Pupil Transfer Amount
Financial Effects on the Districts with the Largest Net Gains and Net Losses of Full-Time Equivalent Open Enrollment Pupils

2010-11 School Year

|  |  |  | Modified <br>  <br> District |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Modified | Transfer Amount <br> as a Percentage <br> of a District's |
| Renser Amount | Transfer Amount | Difference | Revenue Limit |


| Districts with Net Gains |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wauwatosa | $5,070,300$ | $\$ 5,553,900$ | $\$(516,400)$ | $8.8 \%$ |
| McFarland | $5,383,600$ | $4,925,600$ | $(458,000)$ | 22.5 |
| Appleton Area | $3,604,600$ | $4,093,800$ | $(380,700)$ | 2.9 |
| Ashwaubenon | $3,496,900$ | $3,199,400$ | $(297,500)$ | 36.4 |
| Northern Ozaukee | $3,443,800$ | $3,150,800$ | $(293,000)$ | 10.2 |
| Greenfield | $3,046,900$ | $2,787,700$ | $(259,200)$ | 3.4 |
| West Allis-West Milwaukee | $2,973,600$ | $2,720,600$ | $(253,000)$ | 3.4 |
| Elmbrook | $2,663,900$ | $2,437,300$ | $(226,600)$ | 27.5 |
| Grantsburg | $2,352,700$ | $2,152,600$ | $(200,100)$ | 8.7 |
| Greendale |  |  |  | 12.5 |

Districts with Net Losses

| Milwaukee | $(30,457,000)$ | $(27,865,900)$ | $2,591,100$ | $(3.2)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Green Bay Area | $(4,685,900)$ | $(4,287,200)$ | 398,700 | $(2.2)$ |
| Racine Unified | $(4,121,900)$ | $(3,771,200)$ | 350,700 | $(1.8)$ |
| Madison Metropolitan | $(3,548,600)$ | $(3,246,700)$ | 301,900 | $(1.1)$ |
| Kaukauna Area | $(1,982,600)$ | $(1,813,900)$ | 168,700 | $(4.5)$ |
| Beloit | $(1,260,100)$ | $(1,152,900)$ | 107,200 | $(1.6)$ |
| Delavan-Darien | $(1,008,000)$ | $(922,300)$ | 85,700 | $(3.6)$ |
| Hartford J1 | $(983,200)$ | $(899,600)$ | 83,600 | $(5.1)$ |
| Oconomowoc Area | $(975,900)$ | $(892,800)$ | 83,100 | $(1.8)$ |
| Palmyra-Eagle Area | $(882,200)$ | $(807,100)$ | 75,100 | $(6.4)$ |

Table 14 shows the districts where open enrollment pupils represented the largest percentage of membership and the financial effects on them if this alternative had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year. As may be expected, districts where open enrollment pupils represented the largest percentage of the membership would have been financially affected to a greater extent than other districts, such as those shown in Table 13.

If implemented, this alternative would be relatively easy for DPI to administer and would be familiar to districts because it is based on the existing method of transferring state aid between districts. It would allow districts to retain more of their general state aid and property tax revenue for pupils who transfer elsewhere. If the alternative had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year, 221 of the 224 districts with a net loss of pupils through the program would have retained at least $\$ 3,000$ in general state aid and property tax revenue for each pupil who transferred elsewhere. In contrast, 172 of the 224 districts actually retained at least $\$ 3,000$ in general state aid and property tax revenue per pupil in the 2010-11 school year.

Table 14
Alternative 3
Removing Certain Costs from the Per Pupil Transfer Amount
Financial Effects on Districts Where Net Full-Time Equivalent Open Enrollment Pupil Transfers Represented the Largest Percentage of Membership 2010-11 School Year

|  |  |  | Modified <br> Transfer Amount <br> as a Percentage <br> of a District's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Actual | Modified |  |
| Revenue Limit |  |  |  |

Districts with Net Gains

| Northern Ozaukee | $\$ 3,496,900$ | $\$ 3,199,400$ | $\$(297,500)$ | $36.4 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Brighton \#1 | 451,200 | 412,800 | $(38,400)$ | 25.6 |
| Rubicon J6 | 297,300 | 272,000 | $(25,300)$ | 21.0 |
| Grantsburg | $2,663,900$ | $2,437,300$ | $(226,600)$ | 27.5 |
| Geneva J4 | 308,300 | 282,000 | $(26,300)$ | 17.8 |
| McFarland | $5,383,600$ | $4,925,600$ | $(458,000)$ | 22.5 |
| Friess Lake | 545,000 | 498,600 | $(46,400)$ | 19.2 |
| Saint Francis | $2,100,800$ | $1,922,100$ | $(178,700)$ | 19.8 |
| Yorkville J2 | 659,700 | 603,600 | $(56,100)$ | 16.0 |
| Ashwaubenon | $3,604,600$ | $3,298,000$ | $(306,600)$ | 12.5 |

Districts with Net Losses

| Dover \#1 | $(231,900)$ | $(212,200)$ | 19,700 | $(15.6)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| South Shore | $(283,900)$ | $(259,800)$ | 24,100 | $(10.3)$ |
| Stockbridge | $(262,600)$ | $(240,300)$ | 22,300 | $(9.0)$ |
| Richfield J1 | $(467,900)$ | $(428,100)$ | 39,800 | $(9.5)$ |
| Winter | $(306,600)$ | $(280,500)$ | 26,100 | $(6.3)$ |
| Wheatland J1 | $(400,000)$ | $(365,900)$ | 34,100 | $(7.4)$ |
| Mercer | $(123,700)$ | $(113,200)$ | 10,500 | $(5.6)$ |
| Neosho J3 | $(162,400)$ | $(157,700)$ | 14,700 | $(7.4)$ |
| Washington-Caldwell | $(882,200)$ | $(807,100)$ | 75,100 | $(5.5)$ |
| Palmyra-Eagle Area |  |  | $(6.4)$ |  |

Alternative 4: Using the Receiving District's Direct Educational Costs as the Per Pupil Transfer Amount

For each pupil participating in the program, this alternative would require the sending district to transfer an amount equivalent to the receiving district's per pupil direct educational costs, as currently defined. As a result, the per pupil transfer amount would vary depending on the receiving district and would reflect the actual amount spent on direct educational costs in a given district. Districts with higher direct educational costs would benefit under this alternative, while districts with lower direct educational costs would benefit less.

Table 15

## Summary of the Financial Effects of Alternative 4 <br> 2010-11 School Year

|  | What Would Have <br> Occurred if the <br> Alternative <br> What Actually <br> Occurred | Had Been in Effect |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Per Pupil Transfer Amount | $\$ 6,665$ | Varies by District |
| Total Amount Transferred Statewide | $\$ 196.2$ million | $\$ 203.3$ million |
|  |  |  |
| Compared to the amounts actually received <br> under the program, the number of districts <br> that would have received: | 193 |  |
| more funding | 230 |  |
| less funding | $1{ }^{1}$ |  |
| the same amount of funding | 424 |  |
| Total |  |  |

As indicated in Figure 3, 341 districts' per pupil direct educational costs were within $\$ 1,000$ of the $\$ 6,665$ statewide average in the 2010-11 school year.

Figure 3
Per Pupil Direct Educational Costs in Individual School Districts 2010-11 School Year


Table 16 shows the districts with the largest net gains and net losses of pupils from the program and the financial effects on them if this alternative had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year. For example, Northern Ozaukee would have gained 60.1 percent more than the actual transfer amount because its per pupil direct educational costs of $\$ 9,912$ exceeded both the $\$ 6,665$ statewide average and the $\$ 6,491$ average per pupil direct educational costs of the districts to which its pupils transferred. Compared to the actual transfer amounts, three of the ten districts with net gains would have gained less under the alternative, and three of the ten districts with net losses would have lost less. For example, West Allis-West Milwaukee would have gained 14.0 percent less than the actual transfer amount because its per pupil direct educational costs of $\$ 6,306$ were lower than both the $\$ 6,665$ statewide average and the $\$ 7,183$ average per pupil direct educational costs of the districts to which its pupils transferred. Appendix 3 shows how each district statewide would have been financially affected if this alternative had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year.

Table 16
Alternative 4
Using the Receiving District's Direct Educational Costs as the Per Pupil Transfer Amount

> Financial Effects on the Districts with the Largest Net Gains and Net Losses of Full-Time Equivalent Open Enrollment Pupils
> 2010-11 School Year

|  |  |  | Modified <br> Transfer Amount <br> as a Percentage <br> of a District's <br> Revenue Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Actual | Modified |  |
| Transfer Amount |  |  |  |$\quad$| Transfer Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | Difference | Percentage |
| :---: |
| Difference |

Districts with Net Gains

| Wauwatosa | $\$ 6,070,300$ | $\$ 7,135,600$ | $\$ 1,065,300$ | $17.5 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| McFarland | $5,383,600$ | $5,599,000$ | 215,400 | 4.0 | 25.6 |
| Appleton Area | $4,474,500$ | $4,426,400$ | $(48,100)$ | $(1.1)$ | 3.1 |
| Ashwaubenon | $3,604,600$ | $4,207,000$ | 602,400 | 16.7 | 16.0 |
| Northern Ozaukee | $3,496,900$ | $5,597,500$ | $2,100,600$ | 60.1 | 63.6 |
| Greenfield | $3,443,800$ | $3,276,300$ | $(167,500)$ | $(4.9)$ | 10.6 |
| West Allis-West Milwaukee | $3,046,900$ | $2,621,600$ | $(425,300)$ | $(14.0)$ | 3.2 |
| Elmbrook | $2,973,600$ | $3,681,200$ | 707,600 | 23.8 | 4.7 |
| Grantsburg | $2,663,900$ | $3,620,200$ | 956,300 | 35.9 | 40.8 |
| Greendale | $2,352,700$ | $2,830,700$ | 478,000 | 20.3 | 11.4 |

Districts with Net Losses

| Milwaukee | $(30,457,000)$ | $(33,348,300)$ | $(2,891,300)$ | 9.5 | $(3.8)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Green Bay Area | $(4,685,900)$ | $(5,035,600)$ | $(349,700)$ | 7.5 | $(2.5)$ |
| Racine Unified | $(4,121,900)$ | $(4,384,500)$ | $(262,600)$ | 6.4 | $(2.0)$ |
| Madison Metropolitan | $(3,548,600)$ | $(3,750,500)$ | $(201,900)$ | 5.7 | $(1.3)$ |
| Kaukauna Area | $(1,982,600)$ | $(1,891,700)$ | 90,900 | $(4.6)$ | $(4.7)$ |
| Beloit | $(1,260,100)$ | $(1,077,300)$ | 182,800 | $(14.5)$ | $(1.5)$ |
| Delavan-Darien | $(1,008,000)$ | $(1,181,700)$ | $(173,700)$ | 17.2 | $(4.6)$ |
| Hartford J1 | $(983,200)$ | $(1,115,600)$ | $(132,400)$ | 13.5 | $(6.3)$ |
| Oconomowoc Area | $(975,900)$ | $(1,269,400)$ | $(293,500)$ | 30.1 | $(2.5)$ |
| Palmyra-Eagle Area | $(882,200)$ | $(871,700)$ | 10,500 | $(1.2)$ | $(6.9)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 17 shows the districts where open enrollment pupils represented the largest percentage of membership and the financial effects on them if this alternative had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year. Compared to the actual transfer amounts, all ten districts with net gains would have received additional funds under the alternative. Six of the ten districts with net losses would have transferred more funding than they actually did, while four would have transferred less.

If implemented, this alternative would require complex calculations to determine each district's transfer amount, but DPI maintains the necessary information. Districts may find this alternative less predictable than other alternatives because the amount transferred from a given district would depend on the particular districts to which pupils transferred. Because the transfer amount would vary for each pupil under this alternative, the per pupil amount of general state aid and property tax revenue retained would also vary for each pupil. It should be noted that the alternative could encourage increased spending because districts with higher direct educational costs would benefit.

If the alternative had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year, 14 districts that had net pupil gains would not have received any additional funding but would have instead transferred state aid to other districts. This would have occurred, for example, in districts that had a net gain of fewer than ten pupils and had per pupil direct educational costs that were lower than the average per pupil direct educational costs in the districts to which their pupils transferred. Conversely, seven districts that had net losses of pupils through the program would not have lost any state aid, but would have instead gained funding from other districts. This would have occurred because each of the seven districts had a net loss of fewer than ten pupils and had per pupil direct educational costs that were higher than the average per pupil direct educational costs in the districts to which its pupils transferred. These 21 districts are listed in Appendix 4.

Table 17

## Alternative 4

Using the Receiving District's Direct Educational Costs as the Per Pupil Transfer Amount

## Financial Effects on Districts Where Net Full-Time Equivalent Open Enrollment Pupil Transfers Represented the Largest Percentage of Membership 2010-11 School Year

|  |  |  | Modified <br> Transfer Amount <br> as a Percentage <br> of a District's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Actual | Modified |  |$\quad$| Percentage |
| :---: |
| Revenue Limit |

Districts with Net Gains

| Northern Ozaukee | $\$ 3,496,900$ | $\$ 5,597,500$ | $\$ 2,100,600$ | $60.1 \%$ | $63.6 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Brighton \#1 | 451,200 | 509,600 | 58,400 | 12.9 | 31.7 |
| Rubicon J6 | 297,300 | 422,400 | 125,100 | 42.1 | 32.7 |
| Grantsburg | $2,663,900$ | $3,620,200$ | 956,300 | 35.9 | 40.8 |
| Geneva J4 | 308,300 | 505,400 | 197,100 | 63.9 | 31.9 |
| McFarland | $5,383,600$ | $5,599,000$ | 215,400 | 4.0 | 25.6 |
| Friess Lake | 545,000 | 790,500 | 245,500 | 45.0 | 30.4 |
| Saint Francis | $2,100,800$ | $2,798,300$ | 697,500 | 33.2 | 28.8 |
| Yorkville J2 | 659,700 | 732,600 | 72,900 | 11.1 | 19.5 |
| Ashwaubenon | $3,604,600$ | $4,207,000$ | 602,400 | 16.7 | 16.0 |

Districts with Net Losses

| Dover \#1 | $(231,900)$ | $(250,000)$ | $(18,100)$ | 7.8 | $(18.3)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| South Shore | $(283,900)$ | $(248,100)$ | 35,800 | $(12.6)$ | $(9.8)$ |
| Stockbridge | $(262,600)$ | $(239,700)$ | 22,900 | $(8.7)$ | $(8.9)$ |
| Richfield J1 | $(467,900)$ | $(641,100)$ | $(173,200)$ | 37.0 | $(14.3)$ |
| Winter | $(306,600)$ | $(345,900)$ | $(39,300)$ | 12.8 | $(7.8)$ |
| Wheatland J1 | $(400,000)$ | $(415,100)$ | $(15,100)$ | 3.8 | $(8.4)$ |
| Mercer | $(123,700)$ | $(169,600)$ | $(45,900)$ | 37.1 | $(8.4)$ |
| Neosho J3 | $(172,400)$ | $(211,900)$ | $(39,500)$ | 22.9 | $(9.9)$ |
| Washington-Caldwell | $(166,600)$ | $(163,200)$ | 3,400 | $(2.0)$ | $(5.9)$ |
| Palmyra-Eagle Area | $(882,200)$ | $(871,700)$ | 10,500 | $(1.2)$ | $(6.9)$ |

# Alternative 5: Using the Receiving District's 

Equalization Aid as the Per Pupil Transfer Amount

For each pupil who transferred elsewhere under the program, this alternative would require the sending district to transfer an amount equivalent to the receiving district's per pupil equalization aid. As a result, the per pupil transfer amount would vary, depending on the receiving district. The alternative would ensure that districts receiving more equalization aid for their own pupils would receive a higher per pupil transfer amount, while those receiving less aid would receive a lower transfer amount. Districts with a lower per pupil property tax valuation tend to receive more equalization aid per pupil and would, therefore, benefit under this alternative. Conversely, districts with a higher per pupil property tax valuation tend to receive less equalization aid per pupil and would, therefore, benefit less.

Table 18

## Summary of the Financial Effects of Alternative 5 2010-11 School Year

|  | What Would Have <br> Occurred if the <br> Alternative <br> What Actually <br> Occurred | Had Been in Effect |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Per Pupil Transfer Amount | $\$ 196.2$ million | $\$ 138.5$ million |
| Total Amount Transferred Statewide | Varies by District |  |
|  |  |  |
| Compared to the amounts actually received <br> under the program, the number of districts <br> that would have received: | 252 |  |
| more funding | 171 |  |
| less funding | $1{ }^{1}$ |  |
| the same amount of funding | 424 |  |
| Total |  |  |

Table 19 shows the districts with the largest net gains and net losses of pupils from the program and the financial effects on them if this alternative had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year. Compared to the actual transfer amounts, nine of the ten districts with net gains would have gained less funding under this alternative. Elmbrook would have lost $\$ 21,500$ rather than gained $\$ 3.0$ million because even though it had a net gain of 446 pupils, its equalization aid of $\$ 383$ per pupil was lower than the average per pupil equalization aid of the districts to which its pupils transferred. Each of the ten districts with net losses would have lost less under this alternative because the receiving districts' per pupil equalization aid tended to be lower than the $\$ 6,665$ that was actually transferred in the 2010-11 school year. Appendix 3 shows how each district statewide would have been financially affected if this alternative had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year.

If this alternative had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year, 36 districts that had net gains of pupils from the program would have lost funding, rather than gained funding. In contrast, 17 districts that had net losses of pupils would have gained funding, rather than lost funding. These 53 districts are listed in Appendix 5.

Table 19
Alternative 5
Using the Receiving District's Equalization Aid as the Per Pupil Transfer Amount

# Financial Effects on the Districts with the Largest Net Gains and Net Losses of Full-Time Equivalent Open Enrollment Pupils <br> 2010-11 School Year 

|  |  |  | Modified |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Actual | Modified |  |
| Transfer Amount |  |  |  |$\quad$| Transfer Amount |
| :---: |
| as a Percentage |
| of a District's |
| Tevenue Limit |

Districts with Net Gains

| Wauwatosa | $\$ 6,070,300$ | $\$ 2,540,300$ | $\$(3,530,000)$ | $(58.2) \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| McFarland | $5,383,600$ | $4,378,300$ | $(1,005,300)$ | $(18.7)$ | 20.0 |
| Appleton Area | $4,474,500$ | $3,902,900$ | $(571,600)$ | $(12.8)$ | 2.8 |
| Ashwaubenon | $3,604,600$ | $2,384,300$ | $(1,220,300)$ | $(33.9)$ | 9.1 |
| Northern Ozaukee | $3,496,900$ | $1,957,800$ | $(1,539,100)$ | $(44.0)$ | 22.2 |
| Greenfield | $3,443,800$ | $1,342,100$ | $(2,101,700)$ | $(61.0)$ | 4.4 |
| West Allis-West Milwaukee | $3,046,900$ | $2,097,700$ | $(949,200)$ | $(31.2)$ | 2.5 |
| Elmbrook | $2,973,600$ | $(21,500)$ | $(2,995,100)$ | $(100.7)$ | $(0.1)$ |
| Grantsburg | $2,663,900$ | $2,478,800$ | $(185,100)$ | $(6.9)$ | 28.0 |
| Greendale | $2,352,700$ | $1,542,400$ | $(810,300)$ | $(34.4)$ | 6.2 |

Districts with Net Losses

| Milwaukee | $(30,457,000)$ | $(14,256,200)$ | $16,200,800$ | $(53.2)$ | $(1.6)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Green Bay Area | $(4,685,900)$ | $(3,573,500)$ | $1,112,400$ | $(23.7)$ | $(1.8)$ |
| Racine Unified | $(4,121,900)$ | $(2,783,400)$ | $1,338,500$ | $(32.5)$ | $(1.3)$ |
| Madison Metropolitan | $(3,548,600)$ | $(2,726,200)$ | 822,400 | $(23.2)$ | $(0.9)$ |
| Kaukauna Area | $(1,982,600)$ | $(1,953,900)$ | 28,700 | $(1.4)$ | $(4.8)$ |
| Beloit | $(1,260,100)$ | $(1,124,600)$ | 135,500 | $(10.8)$ | $(1.6)$ |
| Delavan-Darien | $(1,008,000)$ | $(484,000)$ | 524,000 | $(52.0)$ | $(1.9)$ |
| Hartford J1 | $(983,200)$ | $(419,200)$ | 564,000 | $(57.4)$ | $(2.4)$ |
| Oconomowoc Area | $(975,900)$ | $(418,000)$ | 557,900 | $(57.2)$ | $(0.8)$ |
| Palmyra-Eagle Area | $(882,200)$ | $(534,300)$ | 347,900 | $(39.4)$ | $(4.2)$ |

Table 20 shows the districts where open enrollment pupils represented the largest percentage of membership and the financial effects on them if this alternative had been in effect for the 2010-11 school year. Compared to the actual transfer amounts, nine of the ten districts with net gains would have gained less funding under the alternative. Geneva J4 would have lost funding under this alternative because even though it had a net gain of 47 pupils from the program, it received no equalization aid. Therefore, it would not have received any funding for pupils transferring into the district but would have paid for its pupils who transferred to other districts. Nine of the ten districts with net losses would have lost less under the alternative, while Stockbridge would have lost more.

If implemented, this alternative would require complex calculations to determine each district's transfer amount, but DPI maintains the necessary information. Districts may find this alternative less predictable than other alternatives because the amount transferred out of a given district would depend on the particular districts to which its pupils transferred. Because the transfer amount would vary for each pupil under this alternative, the per pupil amount of general state aid and property tax revenue retained would also vary.

One option for modifying the transfer amount would be to transfer a sending district's per pupil equalization aid to the receiving district. However, 21 districts did not receive any equalization aid in the 2010-11 school year, 62 districts received $\$ 2,665$ or less per pupil, and 64 districts received between $\$ 2,666$ and $\$ 4,665$ per pupil. Such districts would transfer little or no funding when their pupils transferred elsewhere, which may reduce the incentive for those sending districts to improve the instructional quality of their schools. In addition, receiving districts that accepted pupils from districts with little or no equalization aid would be financially penalized. Therefore, we did not examine this option in greater detail.

Table 20
Alternative 5
Using the Receiving District's Equalization Aid as the Per Pupil Transfer Amount
Financial Effects on Districts Where Net Full-Time Equivalent Open Enrollment Pupil Transfers Represented the Largest Percentage of Membership 2010-11 School Year

| District | Actual <br> Transfer Amount | Modified <br> Transfer Amount | Difference | Percentage Difference | Modified Transfer Amount as a Percentage of a District's Revenue Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Districts with Net Gains

| Northern Ozaukee | $\$ 3,496,900$ | $\$ 1,957,800$ | $\$(1,539,100)$ | $(44.0) \%$ | $22.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Brighton \#1 | 451,200 | 74,400 | $(376,800)$ | $(83.5)$ | 4.6 |
| Rubicon J6 | 297,300 | 222,200 | $(75,100)$ | $(25.3)$ | 17.2 |
| Grantsburg | $2,663,900$ | $2,478,800$ | $(185,100)$ | $(6.9)$ | 28.0 |
| Geneva J4 | 308,300 | $(33,600)$ | $(341,900)$ | $(110.9)$ | $(2.1)$ |
| McFarland | $5,383,600$ | $4,378,300$ | $(1,005,300)$ | $(18.7)$ | 20.0 |
| Friess Lake | 545,000 | 20,200 | $(524,800)$ | $(96.3)$ | 0.8 |
| Saint Francis | $2,100,800$ | $1,169,700$ | $(931,100)$ | $(44.3)$ | 12.0 |
| Yorkville J2 | 659,700 | 112,500 | $(547,200)$ | $(82.9)$ | 3.0 |
| Ashwaubenon | $3,604,600$ | $2,384,300$ | $(1,220,300)$ | $(33.9)$ | 9.1 |

Districts with Net Losses

| Dover \#1 | $(231,900)$ | $(160,900)$ | 71,000 | $(30.6)$ | $(11.8)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| South Shore | $(283,900)$ | $(226,100)$ | 57,800 | $(20.4)$ | $(8.9)$ |
| Stockbridge | $(262,600)$ | $(301,300)$ | $(38,700)$ | 14.7 | $(11.2)$ |
| Richfield J1 | $(467,900)$ | $(101,900)$ | 366,000 | $(78.2)$ | $(2.3)$ |
| Winter | $(306,600)$ | $(43,200)$ | 263,400 | $(85.9)$ | $(1.0)$ |
| Wheatland J1 | $(400,000)$ | $(222,600)$ | 177,400 | $(44.4)$ | $(4.5)$ |
| Mercer | $(123,700)$ | $(30,300)$ | 93,400 | $(75.5)$ | $(1.5)$ |
| Neosho J3 | $(172,400)$ | $(121,200)$ | 51,200 | $(29.7)$ | $(5.7)$ |
| Washington-Caldwell | $(166,600)$ | $(113,700)$ | 52,900 | $(31.8)$ | $(4.1)$ |
| Palmyra-Eagle Area | $(882,200)$ | $(534,300)$ | 347,900 | $(39.4)$ | $(4.2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 1

## Pupils Receiving Special Education Services through Open Enrollment

|  | Pupils <br> Receiving Special <br> Education Services | Total <br> Open Enrollment <br> Pupils | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1998-99$ | 171 |  |  |
| $1999-2000$ | 367 | 2,464 | $6.9 \%$ |
| $2000-01$ | 514 | 4,859 | 7.6 |
| $2001-02$ | 789 | 7,214 | 7.1 |
| $2002-03$ | 987 | 9,602 | 8.2 |
| $2003-04$ | 1,271 | 12,378 | 8.0 |
| $2004-05$ | 1,512 | 15,409 | 8.2 |
| $2005-06$ | 1,810 | 18,215 | 8.3 |
| $2006-07$ | 2,115 | 21,028 | 8.6 |
| $2007-08$ | 2,405 | 23,406 | 9.0 |
| $2008-09$ | 2,566 | 25,899 | 9.3 |
| $2009-10$ | 2,928 | 28,012 | 9.2 |
| $2010-11$ | 3,235 | 31,891 | 9.2 |

## Appendix 2

## Full-Time Equivalent Open Enrollment Pupil Transfers, by District

2010-11 School Year

|  | Pupils Who | Pupils Who | Net Pupil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Transferred In | Transferred Out | Transfers |


| Abbotsford | 50 | 19 | 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adams-Friendship Area | 12 | 41 | (29) |
| Albany | 8 | 48 | (40) |
| Algoma | 2 | 31 | (29) |
| Alma | 32 | 6 | 26 |
| Alma Center | 47 | 21 | 26 |
| Almond-Bancroft | 21 | 37 | (16) |
| Altoona | 107 | 52 | 55 |
| Amery | 50 | 78 | (28) |
| Antigo Unified | 24 | 65 | (41) |
| Appleton Area | 873 | 202 | 671 |
| Arcadia | 29 | 8 | 21 |
| Argyle | 13 | 18 | (5) |
| Arrowhead UHS | 137 | 32 | 105 |
| Ashland | 46 | 49 | (3) |
| Ashwaubenon | 571 | 30 | 541 |
| Athens | 3 | 14 | (11) |
| Auburndale | 81 | 43 | 38 |
| Augusta | 47 | 28 | 19 |
| Baldwin-Woodville Area | 50 | 40 | 10 |
| Bangor | 36 | 32 | 4 |
| Baraboo | 71 | 72 | (1) |
| Barneveld | 28 | 22 | 6 |
| Barron Area | 51 | 126 | (75) |
| Bayfield | 5 | 36 | (31) |
| Beaver Dam Unified | 77 | 38 | 39 |
| Beecher-Dunbar-Pembine | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| Belleville | 20 | 42 | (22) |
| Belmont Community | 31 | 17 | 14 |
| Beloit | 90 | 279 | (189) |
| Beloit Turner | 173 | 93 | 80 |
| Benton | 7 | 26 | (19) |
| Berlin Area | 57 | 55 | 2 |


|  | Pupils Who | Pupils Who | Net Pupil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Transferred In | Transferred Out | Transfers |


| Big Foot UHS | 22 | 27 | (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Birchwood | 53 | 13 | 40 |
| Black Hawk | 18 | 28 | (10) |
| Black River Falls | 13 | 54 | (41) |
| Blair-Taylor | 14 | 31 | (17) |
| Bloomer | 35 | 35 | 0 |
| Bonduel | 32 | 61 | (29) |
| Boscobel Area | 14 | 26 | (12) |
| Bowler | 3 | 31 | (28) |
| Boyceville Community | 39 | 73 | (34) |
| Brighton \#1 | 71 | 3 | 68 |
| Brillion | 59 | 23 | 36 |
| Bristol \#1 | 102 | 27 | 75 |
| Brodhead | 35 | 32 | 3 |
| Brown Deer | 153 | 73 | 80 |
| Bruce | 9 | 42 | (33) |
| Burlington Area | 95 | 150 | (55) |
| Butternut | 32 | 33 | (1) |
| Cadott Community | 30 | 39 | (9) |
| Cambria-Friesland | 14 | 31 | (17) |
| Cambridge | 45 | 39 | 6 |
| Cameron | 143 | 35 | 108 |
| Campbellsport | 39 | 75 | (36) |
| Cashton | 28 | 23 | 5 |
| Cassville | 4 | 24 | (20) |
| Cedar Grove-Belgium Area | 23 | 36 | (13) |
| Cedarburg | 123 | 59 | 64 |
| Central/Westosha UHS | 48 | 62 | (14) |
| Chequamegon | 41 | 54 | (13) |
| Chetek-Weyerhaeuser Area | 13 | 92 | (79) |
| Chilton | 73 | 25 | 48 |
| Chippewa Falls Area Unified | 69 | 145 | (76) |
| Clayton | 42 | 14 | 28 |
| Clear Lake | 30 | 28 | 2 |
| Clinton Community | 90 | 34 | 56 |
| Clintonville | 46 | 48 | (2) |
| Cochrane-Fountain City | 9 | 15 | (6) |
| Colby | 32 | 68 | (36) |


|  | Pupils Who | Pupils Who | Net Pupil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Transferred In | Transferred Out | Transfers |


| Coleman | 27 | 20 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Colfax | 34 | 25 | 9 |
| Columbus | 48 | 29 | 19 |
| Cornell | 10 | 25 | (15) |
| Crandon | 2 | 23 | (21) |
| Crivitz | 29 | 24 | 5 |
| Cuba City | 38 | 20 | 18 |
| Cudahy | 128 | 88 | 40 |
| Cumberland | 44 | 24 | 20 |
| D.C. Everest Area | 114 | 120 | (6) |
| Darlington Community | 32 | 24 | 8 |
| De Forest Area | 65 | 60 | 5 |
| De Pere | 162 | 68 | 94 |
| De Soto Area | 9 | 37 | (28) |
| Deerfield Community | 34 | 36 | (2) |
| Delavan-Darien | 25 | 176 | (151) |
| Denmark | 67 | 27 | 40 |
| Dodgeland | 18 | 45 | (27) |
| Dodgeville | 39 | 45 | (6) |
| Dover \#1 | 17 | 51 | (34) |
| Drummond Area | 13 | 23 | (10) |
| Durand | 23 | 59 | (36) |
| East Troy Community | 44 | 88 | (44) |
| Eau Claire Area | 135 | 221 | (86) |
| Edgar | 27 | 18 | 9 |
| Edgerton | 34 | 41 | (7) |
| Elcho | 14 | 25 | (11) |
| Eleva-Strum | 32 | 22 | 10 |
| Elk Mound Area | 65 | 37 | 28 |
| Elkhart Lake-Glenbeulah | 25 | 57 | (32) |
| Elkhorn Area | 113 | 66 | 47 |
| Ellsworth Community | 15 | 31 | (16) |
| Elmbrook | 510 | 64 | 446 |
| Elmwood | 24 | 22 | 2 |
| Erin | 72 | 23 | 49 |
| Evansville Community | 34 | 45 | (11) |
| Fall Creek | 59 | 29 | 30 |
| Fall River | 22 | 27 | (5) |


|  | Pupils Who | Pupils Who | Net Pupil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Transferred In | Transferred Out | Transfers |


| Fennimore Community | 17 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flambeau | 45 | 43 | 2 |
| Florence County | 2 | 51 | (49) |
| Fond du Lac | 161 | 180 | (19) |
| Fontana J8 | 39 | 23 | 16 |
| Fort Atkinson | 130 | 47 | 83 |
| Fox Point J2 | 72 | 12 | 60 |
| Franklin | 277 | 87 | 190 |
| Frederic | 9 | 56 | (47) |
| Freedom Area | 68 | 102 | (34) |
| Friess Lake | 89 | 7 | 82 |
| Galesville-Ettrick-Trempealeau | 10 | 40 | (30) |
| Geneva J4 | 56 | 9 | 47 |
| Genoa City J2 | 11 | 55 | (44) |
| Germantown | 48 | 60 | (12) |
| Gibraltar Area | 13 | 6 | 7 |
| Gillett | 15 | 48 | (33) |
| Gilman | 6 | 33 | (27) |
| Gilmanton | 12 | 19 | (7) |
| Glendale-River Hills | 111 | 32 | 79 |
| Glenwood City | 22 | 34 | (12) |
| Goodman-Armstrong Creek | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Grafton | 143 | 57 | 86 |
| Granton Area | 25 | 46 | (21) |
| Grantsburg | 413 | 13 | 400 |
| Green Bay Area | 92 | 795 | (703) |
| Green Lake | 38 | 47 | (9) |
| Greendale | 375 | 22 | 353 |
| Greenfield | 629 | 112 | 517 |
| Greenwood | 7 | 26 | (19) |
| Gresham | 17 | 16 | 1 |
| Hamilton | 73 | 109 | (36) |
| Hartford J1 | 25 | 173 | (148) |
| Hartford UHS | 26 | 82 | (56) |
| Hartland-Lakeside J3 | 55 | 106 | (51) |
| Hayward Community | 97 | 24 | 73 |
| Herman \#22 | 10 | 9 | 1 |
| Highland | 14 | 8 | 6 |


|  | Pupils Who | Pupils Who | Net Pupil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Transferred In | Transferred Out | Transfers |


| Hilbert | 40 | 45 | (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hillsboro | 37 | 20 | 17 |
| Holmen | 81 | 122 | (41) |
| Horicon | 12 | 78 | (66) |
| Hortonville Area | 72 | 108 | (36) |
| Howard-Suamico | 176 | 67 | 109 |
| Howards Grove | 41 | 57 | (16) |
| Hudson | 33 | 33 | 0 |
| Hurley | 6 | 9 | (3) |
| Hustisford | 18 | 35 | (17) |
| Independence | 12 | 14 | (2) |
| Iola-Scandinavia | 37 | 36 | 1 |
| lowa-Grant | 13 | 28 | (15) |
| Ithaca | 55 | 24 | 31 |
| Janesville | 227 | 169 | 58 |
| Jefferson | 60 | 115 | (55) |
| Johnson Creek | 41 | 46 | (5) |
| Juda | 32 | 21 | 11 |
| Kaukauna Area | 52 | 350 | (298) |
| Kenosha | 46 | 161 | (115) |
| Kettle Moraine | 235 | 96 | 139 |
| Kewaskum | 74 | 127 | (53) |
| Kewaunee | 33 | 31 | 2 |
| Kickapoo Area | 44 | 14 | 30 |
| Kiel Area | 65 | 41 | 24 |
| Kimberly Area | 222 | 155 | 67 |
| Kohler | 105 | 37 | 68 |
| La Crosse | 198 | 97 | 101 |
| La Farge | 23 | 11 | 12 |
| Lac du Flambeau \#1 | 6 | 24 | (18) |
| Ladysmith | 68 | 36 | 32 |
| Lake Country | 93 | 9 | 84 |
| Lake Geneva J1 | 120 | 94 | 26 |
| Lake Geneva-Genoa City UHS | 82 | 34 | 48 |
| Lake Holcombe | 13 | 27 | (14) |
| Lake Mills Area | 39 | 39 | 0 |
| Lakeland UHS | 16 | 11 | 5 |
| Lancaster Community | 19 | 18 | 1 |


|  | Pupils Who | Pupils Who | Net Pupil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Transferred In | Transferred Out | Transfers |


| Laona | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lena | 14 | 37 | (23) |
| Linn J4 | 18 | 14 | 4 |
| Linn J6 | 27 | 17 | 10 |
| Little Chute Area | 94 | 56 | 38 |
| Lodi | 35 | 52 | (17) |
| Lomira | 47 | 43 | 4 |
| Loyal | 16 | 28 | (12) |
| Luck | 44 | 42 | 2 |
| Luxemburg-Casco | 36 | 37 | (1) |
| Madison Metropolitan | 139 | 672 | (533) |
| Manawa | 29 | 42 | (13) |
| Manitowoc | 58 | 130 | (72) |
| Maple | 80 | 17 | 63 |
| Maple Dale-Indian Hill | 54 | 14 | 40 |
| Marathon City | 49 | 28 | 21 |
| Marinette | 15 | 103 | (88) |
| Marion | 19 | 51 | (32) |
| Markesan | 29 | 24 | 5 |
| Marshall | 51 | 24 | 27 |
| Marshfield Unified | 173 | 118 | 55 |
| Mauston | 61 | 55 | 6 |
| Mayville | 68 | 34 | 34 |
| McFarland | 819 | 11 | 808 |
| Medford Area | 41 | 18 | 23 |
| Mellen | 10 | 14 | (4) |
| Melrose-Mindoro | 20 | 48 | (28) |
| Menasha Joint | 112 | 167 | (55) |
| Menominee Indian | 7 | 83 | (76) |
| Menomonee Falls | 192 | 48 | 144 |
| Menomonie Area | 53 | 100 | (47) |
| Mequon-Thiensville | 31 | 42 | (11) |
| Mercer | 6 | 25 | (19) |
| Merrill Area | 20 | 44 | (24) |
| Merton Community | 90 | 26 | 64 |
| Middleton-Cross Plains Area | 147 | 50 | 97 |
| Milton | 117 | 203 | (86) |
| Milwaukee | 331 | 4,900 | $(4,569)$ |


|  | Pupils Who | Pupils Who | Net Pupil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Transferred In | Transferred Out | Transfers |


| Mineral Point Unified | 19 | 34 | (15) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minocqua J1 | 37 | 58 | (21) |
| Mishicot | 38 | 42 | (4) |
| Mondovi | 34 | 42 | (8) |
| Monona Grove | 174 | 34 | 140 |
| Monroe | 298 | 60 | 238 |
| Montello | 24 | 62 | (38) |
| Monticello | 22 | 44 | (22) |
| Mosinee | 42 | 72 | (30) |
| Mount Horeb Area | 72 | 46 | 26 |
| Mukwonago | 169 | 138 | 31 |
| Muskego-Norway | 135 | 62 | 73 |
| Necedah Area | 19 | 29 | (10) |
| Neenah Joint | 139 | 169 | (30) |
| Neillsville | 30 | 20 | 10 |
| Nekoosa | 41 | 57 | (16) |
| Neosho J3 | 9 | 35 | (26) |
| New Auburn | 30 | 16 | 14 |
| New Berlin | 129 | 68 | 61 |
| New Glarus | 42 | 21 | 21 |
| New Holstein | 19 | 90 | (71) |
| New Lisbon | 65 | 48 | 17 |
| New London | 36 | 75 | (39) |
| New Richmond | 51 | 63 | (12) |
| Niagara | 50 | 2 | 48 |
| Nicolet UHS | 16 | 10 | 6 |
| Norris | 0 | 1 | (1) |
| North Cape | 18 | 35 | (17) |
| North Crawford | 19 | 28 | (9) |
| North Fond du Lac | 90 | 107 | (17) |
| North Lake | 36 | 18 | 18 |
| North Lakeland | 17 | 5 | 12 |
| Northern Ozaukee | 642 | 118 | 524 |
| Northland Pines | 54 | 63 | (9) |
| Northwood | 3 | 22 | (19) |
| Norwalk-Ontario-Wilton | 25 | 16 | 9 |
| Norway J7 | 18 | 17 | 1 |
| Oak Creek-Franklin Joint | 256 | 182 | 74 |


|  | Pupils Who | Pupils Who | Net Pupil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Transferred In | Transferred Out | Transfers |


| Oakfield | 45 | 30 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oconomowoc Area | 97 | 244 | (147) |
| Oconto Falls | 77 | 89 | (12) |
| Oconto Unified | 15 | 49 | (34) |
| Omro | 71 | 42 | 29 |
| Onalaska | 130 | 96 | 34 |
| Oostburg | 19 | 51 | (32) |
| Oregon | 87 | 39 | 48 |
| Osceola | 94 | 41 | 53 |
| Oshkosh Area | 53 | 130 | (77) |
| Osseo-Fairchild | 30 | 48 | (18) |
| Owen-Withee | 17 | 9 | 8 |
| Palmyra-Eagle Area | 20 | 152 | (132) |
| Pardeeville Area | 27 | 91 | (64) |
| Paris J1 | 43 | 20 | 23 |
| Parkview | 32 | 63 | (31) |
| Pecatonica Area | 9 | 45 | (36) |
| Pepin Area | 0 | 10 | (10) |
| Peshtigo | 103 | 14 | 89 |
| Pewaukee | 189 | 49 | 140 |
| Phelps | 14 | 24 | (10) |
| Phillips | 18 | 52 | (34) |
| Pittsville | 37 | 28 | 9 |
| Platteville | 29 | 26 | 3 |
| Plum City | 24 | 9 | 15 |
| Plymouth Joint | 85 | 85 | 0 |
| Port Edwards | 41 | 53 | (12) |
| Port Washington-Saukville | 90 | 85 | 5 |
| Portage Community | 140 | 57 | 83 |
| Potosi | 12 | 11 | 1 |
| Poynette | 48 | 51 | (3) |
| Prairie du Chien Area | 14 | 39 | (25) |
| Prairie Farm | 38 | 20 | 18 |
| Prentice | 35 | 14 | 21 |
| Prescott | 8 | 36 | (28) |
| Princeton | 11 | 39 | (28) |
| Pulaski Community | 87 | 102 | (15) |
| Racine Unified | 16 | 634 | (618) |


|  | Pupils Who | Pupils Who | Net Pupil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Transferred In | Transferred Out | Transfers |


| Randall J1 | 95 | 30 | 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Randolph | 49 | 19 | 30 |
| Random Lake | 23 | 92 | (69) |
| Raymond \#14 | 68 | 10 | 58 |
| Reedsburg | 49 | 89 | (40) |
| Reedsville | 27 | 71 | (44) |
| Rhinelander | 31 | 70 | (39) |
| Rib Lake | 3 | 11 | (8) |
| Rice Lake Area | 66 | 84 | (18) |
| Richfield J1 | 7 | 77 | (70) |
| Richland | 52 | 84 | (32) |
| Richmond | 23 | 16 | 7 |
| Rio Community | 20 | 30 | (10) |
| Ripon Area | 75 | 34 | 41 |
| River Falls | 81 | 22 | 59 |
| River Ridge | 21 | 10 | 11 |
| River Valley | 20 | 57 | (37) |
| Riverdale | 15 | 35 | (20) |
| Rosendale-Brandon | 73 | 41 | 32 |
| Rosholt | 25 | 16 | 9 |
| Royall | 7 | 48 | (41) |
| Rubicon J6 | 51 | 6 | 45 |
| Saint Croix Central | 29 | 50 | (21) |
| Saint Croix Falls | 73 | 88 | (15) |
| Saint Francis | 353 | 38 | 315 |
| Salem | 31 | 129 | (98) |
| Sauk Prairie | 82 | 26 | 56 |
| Seneca | 22 | 24 | (2) |
| Sevastopol | 26 | 77 | (51) |
| Seymour Community | 60 | 58 | 2 |
| Sharon 111 | 12 | 7 | 5 |
| Shawano | 131 | 63 | 68 |
| Sheboygan Area | 193 | 151 | 42 |
| Sheboygan Falls | 81 | 98 | (17) |
| Shell Lake | 42 | 8 | 34 |
| Shiocton | 24 | 34 | (10) |
| Shorewood | 200 | 8 | 192 |
| Shullsburg | 15 | 20 | (5) |


|  | Pupils Who | Pupils Who | Net Pupil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Transferred In | Transferred Out | Transfers |


| Silver Lake J1 | 55 | 21 | 34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Siren | 30 | 34 | (4) |
| Slinger | 211 | 35 | 176 |
| Solon Springs | 9 | 17 | (8) |
| Somerset | 43 | 23 | 20 |
| South Milwaukee | 248 | 94 | 154 |
| South Shore | 4 | 47 | (43) |
| Southern Door County | 58 | 70 | (12) |
| Southwestern Wisconsin | 15 | 28 | (13) |
| Sparta Area | 24 | 73 | (49) |
| Spencer | 27 | 74 | (47) |
| Spooner Area | 9 | 88 | (79) |
| Spring Valley | 39 | 40 | (1) |
| Stanley-Boyd Area | 22 | 24 | (2) |
| Stevens Point Area | 58 | 123 | (65) |
| Stockbridge | 10 | 49 | (39) |
| Stone Bank | 65 | 22 | 43 |
| Stoughton Area | 36 | 118 | (82) |
| Stratford | 48 | 56 | (8) |
| Sturgeon Bay | 123 | 59 | 64 |
| Sun Prairie Area | 59 | 111 | (52) |
| Superior | 12 | 56 | (44) |
| Suring | 21 | 22 | (1) |
| Swallow | 45 | 10 | 35 |
| Thorp | 41 | 19 | 22 |
| Three Lakes | 62 | 44 | 18 |
| Tigerton | 11 | 24 | (13) |
| Tomah Area | 25 | 60 | (35) |
| Tomahawk | 29 | 26 | 3 |
| Tomorrow River | 44 | 24 | 20 |
| Trevor-Wilmot Consolidated | 16 | 25 | (9) |
| Tri-County Area | 20 | 29 | (9) |
| Turtle Lake | 49 | 53 | (4) |
| Twin Lakes \#4 | 19 | 65 | (46) |
| Two Rivers | 41 | 43 | (2) |
| Union Grove J1 | 109 | 30 | 79 |
| Union Grove UHS | 173 | 17 | 156 |
| Unity | 41 | 88 | (47) |


|  | Pupils Who | Pupils Who | Net Pupil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Transferred In | Transferred Out | Transfers |


| Valders Area | 59 | 48 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Verona Area | 212 | 57 | 155 |
| Viroqua Area | 47 | 38 | 9 |
| Wabeno Area | 12 | 11 | 1 |
| Walworth J1 | 29 | 41 | (12) |
| Washburn | 37 | 35 | 2 |
| Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Washington-Caldwell | 12 | 37 | (25) |
| Waterford Graded J1 | 89 | 58 | 31 |
| Waterford UHS | 30 | 52 | (22) |
| Waterloo | 12 | 49 | (37) |
| Watertown Unified | 37 | 143 | (106) |
| Waukesha | 690 | 473 | 217 |
| Waunakee Community | 68 | 33 | 35 |
| Waupaca | 71 | 49 | 22 |
| Waupun | 10 | 100 | (90) |
| Wausau | 138 | 114 | 24 |
| Wausaukee | 5 | 30 | (25) |
| Wautoma Area | 45 | 46 | (1) |
| Wauwatosa | 988 | 77 | 911 |
| Wauzeka-Steuben | 23 | 7 | 16 |
| Webster | 28 | 32 | (4) |
| West Allis-West Milwaukee | 762 | 305 | 457 |
| West Bend | 98 | 191 | (93) |
| West De Pere | 54 | 146 | (92) |
| West Salem | 73 | 69 | 4 |
| Westby Area | 24 | 69 | (45) |
| Westfield | 21 | 91 | (70) |
| Weston | 16 | 25 | (9) |
| Weyauwega-Fremont | 32 | 58 | (26) |
| Wheatland J1 | 18 | 78 | (60) |
| White Lake | 7 | 8 | (1) |
| Whitefish Bay | 76 | 13 | 63 |
| Whitehall | 19 | 27 | (8) |
| Whitewater Unified | 24 | 61 | (37) |
| Whitnall | 152 | 54 | 98 |
| Wild Rose | 16 | 44 | (28) |
| Williams Bay | 56 | 38 | 18 |


| District | Pupils Who <br> Transferred In | Pupils Who <br> Transferred Out | Net Pupil <br> Transfers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wilmot UHS | 30 |  |  |
| Winneconne Community | 78 | 54 | $(24)$ |
| Winter | 0 | 74 | 4 |
| Wisconsin Dells | 49 | 46 | $(46)$ |
| Wisconsin Heights | 7 | 56 | $(7)$ |
| Wisconsin Rapids | 88 | 60 | $(53)$ |
| Wittenberg-Birnamwood | 55 | 104 | $(16)$ |
| Wonewoc-Union Center | 19 | 42 | 13 |
| Woodruff J1 | 76 | 55 | $(36)$ |
| Wrightstown Community | 63 | 17 | 59 |
| Yorkville J2 | 104 | 24 | 39 |

Appendix 3
Financial Effects on Districts, by Transfer Amount Alternative ${ }^{1}$
2010-11 School Year


|  | District | Actual Transfer Amount | Increase or Decrease from Actual Transfer Amount |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Alternative 1 | Alternative 2 | Alternative 3 | Alternative 4 | Alternative 5 |
|  |  |  | Including <br> Additional Costs (see p. 15) | Statewide Average Shared Costs (see p. 23) | Removing Certain Costs (see p. 31) | Receiving District's Direct Educational Costs (see p. 39) | Receiving District's Equalization Aid (see p. 47) |
| B | Baldwin-Woodville Area | \$ 66,100 | \$ $+7,200$ | \$ +36,400 | \$ -5,600 | \$ +3,900 | \$ +22,200 |
|  | Bangor | 24,200 | +2,600 | +13,300 | -2,100 | -2,300 | +28,900 |
|  | Baraboo | $(7,400)$ | -900 | -4,100 | +600 | -65,200 | +86,400 |
|  | Barneveld | 38,500 | +4,200 | +21,200 | -3,300 | +31,300 | +15,700 |
|  | Barron Area | $(499,400)$ | -54,200 | -274,700 | +42,500 | -73,300 | +297,700 |
|  | Bayfield | $(206,200)$ | -22,400 | -113,500 | +17,500 | +25,100 | +31,100 |
|  | Beaver Dam Unified | 261,300 | +28,400 | +143,800 | -22,200 | -16,500 | -19,500 |
|  | Beecher-Dunbar-Pembine | (100) | -100 | -100 | +100 | +12,400 | -30,100 |
|  | Belleville | $(148,000)$ | -16,000 | -81,400 | +12,600 | -13,600 | +31,800 |
|  | Belmont Community | 93,900 | +10,200 | +51,700 | -8,000 | +21,800 | +1,700 |
|  | Beloit | $(1,260,100)$ | -136,900 | -693,300 | +107,200 | +182,800 | +135,500 |
|  | Beloit Turner | 529,500 | +57,500 | +291,300 | -45,100 | -198,600 | -79,400 |
|  | Benton | $(126,000)$ | -13,700 | -69,300 | +10,700 | -100 | +17,000 |
|  | Berlin Area | 11,900 | +1,300 | +6,500 | -1,000 | -32,700 | +80,300 |
|  | Big Foot UHS | $(32,000)$ | -3,500 | -17,600 | +2,700 | +28,200 | +6,400 |
|  | Birchwood | 268,500 | +29,200 | +147,800 | -22,800 | +128,800 | -322,300 |
|  | Black Hawk | $(63,600)$ | -6,900 | -35,000 | +5,400 | +24,000 | +7,600 |
|  | Black River Falls | $(277,300)$ | -30,200 | -152,600 | +23,500 | -15,400 | -38,300 |
|  | Blair-Taylor | $(114,100)$ | -12,400 | -62,700 | +9,700 | -4,400 | +900 |
|  | Bloomer | 4,700 | +500 | +2,500 | -400 | -26,600 | +55,800 |
|  | Bonduel | $(191,900)$ | -20,900 | -105,600 | +16,300 | +29,300 | -13,800 |
|  | Boscobel Area | $(77,800)$ | -8,400 | -42,700 | +6,700 | -6,200 | -1,700 |
|  | Bowler | $(184,100)$ | -20,000 | -101,300 | +15,700 | +7,900 | +17,700 |
|  | Boyceville Community | $(227,700)$ | -24,700 | -125,300 | +19,400 | -21,700 | +28,200 |


| District | Actual Transfer Amount | Increase or Decrease from Actual Transfer Amount |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Alternative 1 | Alternative 2 | Alternative 3 | Alternative 4 | Alternative 5 |
|  |  | Including <br> Additional Costs (see p. 15) | Statewide Average Shared Costs (see p. 23) | Removing Certain Costs (see p. 31) | Receiving District's Direct Educational Costs (see p. 39) | Receiving District's Equalization Aid (see p. 47) |
| Brighton \#1 | \$ 451,200 | \$ +49,000 | \$ +248,300 | \$ -38,400 | \$ +58,400 | \$ -376,800 |
| Brillion | 238,200 | +25,900 | +131,100 | -20,200 | -37,800 | +28,600 |
| Bristol \#1 | 498,000 | +54,100 | +274,000 | -42,400 | -64,800 | -253,500 |
| Brodhead | 22,600 | +2,500 | +12,500 | -1,900 | -51,900 | +16,800 |
| Brown Deer | 531,100 | +57,600 | +292,100 | -45,200 | +17,000 | -230,000 |
| Bruce | $(215,700)$ | -23,500 | -118,700 | +18,300 | -33,300 | +29,700 |
| Burlington Area | $(366,700)$ | -39,800 | -201,700 | +31,200 | +9,100 | +182,300 |
| Butternut | $(7,800)$ | -900 | -4,400 | +600 | +59,500 | +15,200 |
| Cadott Community | $(60,900)$ | -6,700 | -33,600 | +5,100 | +5,700 | +28,700 |
| Cambria-Friesland | $(116,500)$ | -12,700 | -64,100 | +9,900 | -11,100 | +62,800 |
| Cambridge | 39,500 | +4,300 | +21,700 | -3,400 | -28,200 | -63,100 |
| Cameron | 722,700 | +78,500 | +397,600 | -61,500 | +72,700 | +45,700 |
| Campbellsport | $(241,700)$ | -26,300 | -133,000 | +20,500 | -19,900 | +22,000 |
| Cashton | 28,300 | +3,100 | +15,600 | -2,400 | -8,500 | +18,800 |
| Cassville | $(130,600)$ | -14,200 | -71,900 | +11,100 | -15,900 | -18,300 |
| Cedar Grove-Belgium Area | $(89,600)$ | -9,800 | -49,400 | +7,600 | -61,500 | +39,500 |
| Cedarburg | 428,800 | +46,600 | +235,900 | -36,500 | -69,800 | -209,300 |
| Central/Westosha UHS | $(93,200)$ | -10,200 | -51,300 | +7,900 | -13,500 | +74,200 |
| Chequamegon | $(87,200)$ | -9,500 | -48,000 | +7,400 | -70,300 | +3,900 |
| Chetek-Weyerhaeuser Area | $(526,200)$ | -57,100 | -289,500 | +44,800 | -13,300 | +51,800 |
| Chilton | 320,400 | +34,800 | +176,200 | -27,300 | -17,000 | +42,500 |
| Chippewa Falls Area Unified | $(512,300)$ | -55,700 | -281,900 | +43,600 | -23,300 | +3,000 |
| Clayton | 191,600 | +20,800 | +105,400 | -16,300 | +30,000 | +47,000 |
| Clear Lake | 17,100 | +1,900 | +9,500 | -1,400 | -27,500 | +20,000 |





|  | District | Actual Transfer Amount | Increase or Decrease from Actual Transfer Amount |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Alternative 1 | Alternative 2 | Alternative 3 | Alternative 4 | Alternative 5 |
|  |  |  | Including Additional Costs (see p. 15) | Statewide Average Shared Costs (see p. 23) | Removing Certain Costs (see p. 31) | Receiving District's Direct Educational Costs (see p. 39) | Receiving District's Equalization Aid (see p. 47) |
|  | Gresham | \$ 4,500 | \$ +500 | \$ +2,400 | \$ -400 | \$ -2,000 | \$ +2,800 |
| H | Hamilton | $(240,800)$ | -26,200 | -132,500 | +20,500 | -125,900 | +261,900 |
|  | Hartford J1 | $(983,200)$ | -106,800 | -540,900 | +83,600 | -132,400 | +564,000 |
|  | Hartford UHS | $(368,800)$ | -40,000 | -202,800 | +31,400 | -11,600 | +176,900 |
|  | Hartland-Lakeside J3 | $(336,900)$ | -36,600 | -185,400 | +28,600 | -160,800 | +350,200 |
|  | Hayward Community | 484,000 | +52,600 | +266,300 | -41,100 | +18,400 | -544,800 |
|  | Herman \#22 | 9,200 | +1,000 | +5,000 | -800 | +9,900 | -13,800 |
|  | Highland | 42,700 | +4,600 | +23,400 | -3,700 | +2,300 | +15,000 |
|  | Hilbert | $(30,700)$ | -3,300 | -16,800 | +2,600 | +13,700 | +2,000 |
|  | Hillsboro | 118,000 | +12,800 | +64,900 | -10,100 | -10,600 | +10,400 |
|  | Holmen | $(274,200)$ | -29,800 | -150,800 | +23,300 | -76,500 | +190,100 |
|  | Horicon | $(441,600)$ | -48,000 | -243,000 | +37,500 | +7,700 | +71,400 |
|  | Hortonville Area | $(236,200)$ | -25,700 | -130,000 | +20,100 | -50,200 | +9,900 |
|  | Howard-Suamico | 730,600 | +79,300 | +401,900 | -62,200 | -143,200 | -6,300 |
|  | Howards Grove | $(107,300)$ | -11,700 | -59,000 | +9,100 | -37,100 | -1,900 |
|  | Hudson | 1,300 | +100 | +700 | -100 | -33,700 | -35,000 |
|  | Hurley | $(17,600)$ | -1,900 | -9,700 | +1,500 | -25,300 | +24,400 |
|  | Hustisford | $(113,800)$ | -12,400 | -62,600 | +9,700 | -2,000 | +16,400 |
| I | Independence | $(16,600)$ | -1,800 | -9,200 | +1,400 | -10,600 | -400 |
|  | Iola-Scandinavia | 9,300 | +1,000 | +5,200 | -800 | -22,900 | -3,100 |
|  | Iowa-Grant | $(104,000)$ | -11,300 | -57,200 | +8,900 | -400 | +31,500 |
|  | Ithaca | 205,300 | +22,300 | +112,900 | -17,500 | +36,600 | +54,800 |
| J | Janesville | 387,900 | +42,200 | +213,500 | -33,000 | +45,400 | +111,600 |
|  | Jefferson | $(360,600)$ | -39,200 | -198,400 | +30,700 | +25,500 | +153,600 |



| District | Actual Transfer Amount | Increase or Decrease from Actual Transfer Amount |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Alternative 1 | Alternative 2 | Alternative 3 | Alternative 4 | Alternative 5 |
|  |  | Including Additional Costs (see p. 15) | Statewide Average Shared Costs (see p. 23) | Removing Certain Costs (see p. 31) | Receiving District's Direct Educational Costs (see p. 39) | Receiving District's Equalization Aid (see p. 47) |
| Linn J4 | \$ 22,400 | \$ $+2,400$ | \$ +12,300 | \$ -1,900 | \$ +49,800 | \$ -58,700 |
| Linn J6 | 65,300 | +7,100 | +36,000 | -5,500 | +41,300 | -84,000 |
| Little Chute Area | 248,600 | +27,000 | +136,700 | -21,200 | +11,000 | +147,100 |
| Lodi | $(113,600)$ | -12,300 | -62,400 | +9,700 | -7,800 | +16,400 |
| Lomira | 24,800 | +2,700 | +13,700 | -2,100 | +9,900 | +51,900 |
| Loyal | $(78,400)$ | -8,500 | -43,100 | +6,700 | +4,400 | +11,000 |
| Luck | 7,800 | +800 | +4,300 | -700 | -8,800 | +55,200 |
| Luxemburg-Casco | $(3,600)$ | -300 | -1,900 | +300 | -31,200 | +25,400 |
| Madison Metropolitan | $(3,548,600)$ | -385,500 | -1,952,400 | +301,900 | -201,900 | +822,400 |
| Manawa | $(91,100)$ | -9,900 | -50,200 | +7,700 | +15,000 | +51,600 |
| Manitowoc | $(475,900)$ | -51,700 | -261,900 | +40,500 | +6,900 | +9,600 |
| Maple | 419,400 | +45,600 | +230,800 | -35,700 | -93,700 | -132,500 |
| Maple Dale-Indian Hill | 266,600 | +29,000 | +146,700 | -22,700 | +115,100 | -267,900 |
| Marathon City | 137,600 | +15,000 | +75,800 | -11,700 | -20,600 | -51,600 |
| Marinette | $(583,600)$ | -63,400 | -321,100 | +49,700 | +37,700 | +7,500 |
| Marion | $(210,600)$ | -22,900 | -115,900 | +17,900 | -3,800 | +8,100 |
| Markesan | 31,800 | +3,500 | +17,500 | -2,700 | -10,300 | -109,100 |
| Marshall | 179,400 | +19,500 | +98,700 | -15,300 | +35,400 | +89,300 |
| Marshfield Unified | 361,400 | +39,300 | +198,900 | -30,700 | -42,100 | -112,300 |
| Mauston | 42,400 | +4,600 | +23,300 | -3,600 | -1,200 | +88,000 |
| Mayville | 223,400 | +24,200 | +122,800 | -19,000 | -54,700 | -6,800 |
| McFarland | 5,383,600 | +584,800 | +2,962,000 | -458,000 | +215,400 | -1,005,300 |
| Medford Area | 153,900 | +16,700 | +84,700 | -13,100 | +7,500 | -13,800 |
| Mellen | $(26,700)$ | -2,900 | -14,600 | +2,300 | +3,100 | +14,900 |


| District | Actual Transfer Amount | Increase or Decrease from Actual Transfer Amount |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Alternative 1 | Alternative 2 | Alternative 3 | Alternative 4 | Alternative 5 |
|  |  | Including <br> Additional Costs (see p. 15) | Statewide Average Shared Costs (see p. 23) | Removing Certain Costs (see p. 31) | Receiving District's Direct Educational Costs (see p. 39) | Receiving District's Equalization Aid (see p. 47) |
| Melrose-Mindoro | \$ $(189,000)$ | \$ -20,600 | \$ -104,000 | \$ +16,100 | \$ +100 | \$ -23,800 |
| Menasha Joint | $(368,300)$ | -40,000 | -202,700 | +31,300 | +5,300 | +171,500 |
| Menominee Indian | $(503,900)$ | -54,700 | -277,200 | +42,900 | +66,900 | +61,900 |
| Menomonee Falls | 958,300 | +104,100 | +527,300 | -81,500 | +182,700 | -660,100 |
| Menomonie Area | $(317,500)$ | -34,500 | -174,700 | +27,000 | +8,500 | -32,300 |
| Mequon-Thiensville | $(76,300)$ | -8,300 | -42,000 | +6,500 | -42,700 | -60,900 |
| Mercer | $(123,700)$ | -13,400 | -68,000 | +10,500 | -45,900 | +93,400 |
| Merrill Area | $(161,600)$ | -17,500 | -88,900 | +13,800 | -9,400 | +58,700 |
| Merton Community | 429,300 | +46,600 | +236,200 | -36,500 | +36,300 | -30,900 |
| Middleton-Cross Plains Area | 646,400 | +70,300 | +355,700 | -55,000 | +50,800 | -616,000 |
| Milton | $(573,700)$ | -62,400 | -315,700 | +48,800 | -76,500 | -16,600 |
| Milwaukee | $(30,457,000)$ | -3,308,300 | -16,756,900 | +2,591,100 | -2,891,300 | +16,200,800 |
| Mineral Point Unified | $(96,100)$ | -10,400 | -52,900 | +8,200 | -15,000 | +26,000 |
| Minocqua J1 | $(138,700)$ | -15,100 | -76,300 | +11,800 | -29,600 | +114,200 |
| Mishicot | $(30,100)$ | -3,300 | -16,600 | +2,600 | +26,100 | -22,000 |
| Mondovi | $(52,700)$ | -5,800 | -29,000 | +4,500 | -5,400 | +25,700 |
| Monona Grove | 933,500 | +101,400 | +513,600 | -79,400 | +34,600 | -313,200 |
| Monroe | 1,589,100 | +172,500 | +874,200 | -135,200 | -156,700 | +87,700 |
| Montello | $(250,200)$ | -27,200 | -137,700 | +21,300 | -14,500 | +33,500 |
| Monticello | $(147,200)$ | -16,000 | -81,000 | +12,500 | +5,800 | +9,100 |
| Mosinee | $(201,400)$ | -21,800 | -110,700 | +17,200 | -30,300 | -500 |
| Mount Horeb Area | 174,600 | +19,000 | +96,000 | -14,900 | -68,700 | +2,300 |
| Mukwonago | 204,500 | +22,300 | +112,600 | -17,400 | -15,300 | +99,400 |
| Muskego-Norway | 487,000 | +52,800 | +267,900 | -41,500 | +7,500 | -155,400 |


|  | District | Actual Transfer Amount | Increase or Decrease from Actual Transfer Amount |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Alternative 1 | Alternative 2 | Alternative 3 | Alternative 4 | Alternative 5 |
|  |  |  | Including <br> Additional Costs (see p. 15) | Statewide Average Shared Costs (see p. 23) | Removing Certain Costs (see p. 31) | Receiving District's Direct Educational Costs (see p. 39) | Receiving District's Equalization Aid (see p. 47) |
| N | Necedah Area | \$ $(63,300)$ | \$ -6,900 | \$ -34,800 | \$ +5,400 | \$ -12,900 | \$ $+4,400$ |
|  | Neenah Joint | $(200,000)$ | -21,700 | -110,000 | +17,100 | -37,000 | -83,800 |
|  | Neillsville | 64,300 | +7,000 | +35,300 | -5,500 | -33,400 | -11,700 |
|  | Nekoosa | $(110,500)$ | -12,000 | -60,800 | +9,400 | -75,000 | -136,600 |
|  | Neosho J3 | $(172,400)$ | -18,700 | -94,800 | +14,700 | -39,500 | +51,200 |
|  | New Auburn | 96,000 | +10,400 | +52,800 | -8,200 | +11,900 | -101,500 |
|  | New Berlin | 406,800 | +44,100 | +223,700 | -34,700 | -178,300 | -484,800 |
|  | New Glarus | 141,300 | +15,300 | +77,700 | -12,100 | +3,100 | -10,100 |
|  | New Holstein | $(472,500)$ | -51,400 | -260,000 | +40,200 | +11,400 | +17,500 |
|  | New Lisbon | 116,400 | +12,700 | +64,100 | -9,900 | -3,300 | -73,200 |
|  | New London | $(262,000)$ | -28,500 | -144,200 | +22,200 | +7,300 | +68,200 |
|  | New Richmond | $(82,800)$ | -9,000 | -45,500 | +7,100 | -18,700 | +24,800 |
|  | Niagara | 322,400 | +35,000 | +177,300 | -27,500 | +52,400 | +64,400 |
|  | Nicolet UHS | 37,200 | +4,100 | +20,500 | -3,200 | +38,500 | -79,000 |
|  | Norris | $(6,700)$ | -700 | -3,600 | +600 | +300 | +2,200 |
|  | North Cape | $(116,600)$ | -12,700 | -64,200 | +9,900 | -23,400 | +43,300 |
|  | North Crawford | $(61,300)$ | -6,700 | -33,800 | +5,200 | -14,500 | +18,000 |
|  | North Fond du Lac | $(109,900)$ | -11,900 | -60,400 | +9,400 | -16,900 | +89,500 |
|  | North Lake | 117,900 | +12,800 | +64,900 | -10,000 | -3,400 | -107,000 |
|  | North Lakeland | 82,900 | +9,000 | +45,600 | -7,100 | +66,100 | -83,300 |
|  | Northern Ozaukee | 3,496,900 | +379,800 | +1,923,900 | -297,500 | +2,100,600 | -1,539,100 |
|  | Northland Pines | $(57,300)$ | -6,300 | -31,600 | +4,900 | -116,000 | +45,700 |
|  | Northwood | $(126,600)$ | -13,800 | -69,700 | +10,700 | -8,100 | +85,700 |
|  | Norwalk-Ontario-Wilton | 56,900 | +6,200 | +31,300 | -4,800 | +14,800 | +27,200 |


|  | District | Actual Transfer Amount | Increase or Decrease from Actual Transfer Amount |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Alternative 1 | Alternative 2 | Alternative 3 | Alternative 4 | Alternative 5 |
|  |  |  | Including Additional Costs (see p. 15) | Statewide <br> Average <br> Shared Costs <br> (see p. 23) | Removing Certain Costs (see p. 31) | Receiving District's Direct Educational Costs (see p. 39) | Receiving District's Equalization Aid (see p. 47) |
|  | Norway J7 | \$ 6,700 | \$ +700 | \$ +3,600 | \$ -600 | \$ +33,400 | \$ -69,600 |
| 0 | Oak Creek-Franklin Joint | 490,600 | +53,300 | +269,900 | -41,800 | -274,500 | -206,400 |
|  | Oakfield | 100,600 | +10,900 | +55,400 | -8,600 | +19,000 | +32,200 |
|  | Oconomowoc Area | $(975,900)$ | -106,000 | -536,800 | +83,100 | -293,500 | +557,900 |
|  | Oconto Falls | $(76,100)$ | -8,200 | -41,800 | +6,500 | +6,900 | +56,400 |
|  | Oconto Unified | $(225,900)$ | -24,600 | -124,400 | +19,200 | +15,600 | +25,400 |
|  | Omro | 191,200 | +20,700 | +105,200 | -16,300 | -50,800 | +45,100 |
|  | Onalaska | 230,600 | +25,100 | +126,900 | -19,600 | -72,700 | -114,700 |
|  | Oostburg | $(217,100)$ | -23,600 | -119,500 | +18,400 | -26,400 | +33,900 |
|  | Oregon | 319,100 | +34,600 | +175,500 | -27,200 | -18,000 | -14,800 |
|  | Osceola | 356,200 | +38,700 | +196,000 | -30,300 | -44,200 | -13,900 |
|  | Oshkosh Area | $(513,200)$ | -55,800 | -282,400 | +43,600 | -6,300 | +66,900 |
|  | Osseo-Fairchild | $(119,700)$ | -13,100 | -65,900 | +10,100 | -26,000 | +11,000 |
|  | Owen-Withee | 51,200 | +5,600 | +28,200 | -4,300 | +15,700 | +15,800 |
| P | Palmyra-Eagle Area | $(882,200)$ | -95,800 | -485,300 | +75,100 | +10,500 | +347,900 |
|  | Pardeeville Area | $(424,300)$ | -46,100 | -233,500 | +36,100 | +9,600 | +68,900 |
|  | Paris J1 | 153,300 | +16,700 | +84,400 | -13,000 | +4,200 | -191,900 |
|  | Parkview | $(203,800)$ | -22,100 | -112,100 | +17,300 | +8,900 | +13,500 |
|  | Pecatonica Area | $(241,300)$ | -26,200 | -132,700 | +20,600 | +16,400 | +28,700 |
|  | Pepin Area | $(69,200)$ | -7,500 | -38,000 | +5,900 | -6,500 | +4,400 |
|  | Peshtigo | 589,600 | +64,100 | +324,400 | -50,100 | -71,500 | +76,200 |
|  | Pewaukee | 934,200 | +101,500 | +514,000 | -79,500 | -32,100 | -1,000,100 |
|  | Phelps | $(66,700)$ | -7,200 | -36,600 | +5,700 | +62,200 | +66,700 |
|  | Phillips | $(230,200)$ | -25,000 | -126,600 | +19,600 | -48,600 | +49,800 |


| District |  | Actual Transfer Amount | Increase or Decrease from Actual Transfer Amount |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Alternative 1 | Alternative 2 | Alternative 3 | Alternative 4 | Alternative 5 |
|  |  | Including Additional Costs (see p. 15) | Statewide <br> Average Shared Costs (see p. 23) | Removing Certain Costs (see p. 31) | Receiving District's Direct Educational Costs (see p. 39) | Receiving District's Equalization Aid (see p. 47) |
|  | Pittsville |  | \$ 62,100 | \$ +6,700 | \$ +34,100 | \$ -5,300 | \$ -33,700 | \$ -7,400 |
|  | Platteville |  | 22,800 | +2,400 | +12,500 | -2,000 | -8,800 | -25,300 |
|  | Plum City | 101,300 | +11,000 | +55,700 | -8,600 | +24,000 | -1,100 |
|  | Plymouth Joint | 1,500 | +200 | +800 | -100 | -97,800 | +32,700 |
|  | Port Edwards | $(78,100)$ | -8,500 | -43,000 | +6,700 | +9,500 | +83,300 |
|  | Port Washington-Saukville | 36,600 | +4,000 | +20,100 | -3,100 | -7,000 | +80,500 |
|  | Portage Community | 551,400 | +59,900 | +303,400 | -46,900 | -6,700 | -26,500 |
|  | Potosi | 12,000 | +1,300 | +6,600 | -1,000 | +13,600 | +12,400 |
|  | Poynette | $(18,600)$ | -2,000 | -10,200 | +1,600 | +14,600 | +5,200 |
|  | Prairie du Chien Area | $(165,000)$ | -17,900 | -90,700 | +14,100 | -19,100 | +17,100 |
|  | Prairie Farm | 119,100 | +12,900 | +65,500 | -10,200 | +12,900 | +54,600 |
|  | Prentice | 141,900 | +15,400 | +78,100 | -12,000 | +24,700 | -35,700 |
|  | Prescott | $(186,600)$ | -20,300 | -102,700 | +15,900 | +18,600 | +53,200 |
|  | Princeton | $(188,600)$ | -20,500 | -103,800 | +16,000 | -53,300 | +93,900 |
|  | Pulaski Community | $(95,900)$ | -10,400 | -52,800 | +8,200 | -34,000 | +66,400 |
| R | Racine Unified | $(4,121,900)$ | -447,700 | -2,267,800 | +350,700 | -262,600 | +1,338,500 |
|  | Randall J1 | 431,800 | +46,900 | +237,500 | -36,800 | +41,400 | -163,800 |
|  | Randolph | 196,800 | +21,400 | +108,300 | -16,700 | +24,400 | -6,700 |
|  | Random Lake | $(459,400)$ | -49,900 | -252,700 | +39,100 | -118,200 | +157,400 |
|  | Raymond \#14 | 386,600 | +42,000 | +212,700 | -32,900 | +84,700 | -197,500 |
|  | Reedsburg | $(263,800)$ | -28,700 | -145,200 | +22,400 | -17,300 | +87,100 |
|  | Reedsville | $(292,300)$ | -31,800 | -160,900 | +24,800 | +23,300 | -6,900 |
|  | Rhinelander | $(261,100)$ | -28,300 | -143,600 | +22,200 | -56,400 | +197,000 |
|  | Rib Lake | $(58,300)$ | -6,400 | -32,100 | +4,900 | -2,900 | +5,600 |



| District | Actual Transfer Amount | Increase or Decrease from Actual Transfer Amount |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Alternative 1 | Alternative 2 | Alternative 3 | Alternative 4 | Alternative 5 |
|  |  | Including <br> Additional Costs (see p. 15) | Statewide <br> Average Shared Costs (see p. 23) | Removing Certain Costs (see p. 31) | Receiving District's Direct Educational Costs (see p. 39) | Receiving District's Equalization Aid (see p. 47) |
| Sheboygan Area | \$ 284,500 | \$ +31,000 | \$ +156,600 | \$ -24,200 | \$ +80,400 | \$ +528,200 |
| Sheboygan Falls | $(112,100)$ | -12,100 | -61,600 | +9,600 | -95,800 | +121,000 |
| Shell Lake | 225,000 | +24,500 | +123,800 | -19,100 | +2,200 | -72,500 |
| Shiocton | $(70,100)$ | -7,600 | -38,500 | +6,000 | +7,700 | +27,200 |
| Shorewood | 1,281,800 | +139,200 | +705,200 | -109,100 | +222,700 | -1,013,700 |
| Shullsburg | $(33,600)$ | -3,700 | -18,500 | +2,800 | -2,200 | +3,500 |
| Silver Lake J1 | 227,900 | +24,800 | +125,500 | -19,300 | +25,800 | -35,800 |
| Siren | $(30,600)$ | -3,300 | -16,800 | +2,700 | +10,800 | +3,700 |
| Slinger | 1,171,800 | +127,300 | +644,700 | -99,700 | -19,900 | -313,900 |
| Solon Springs | $(52,700)$ | -5,700 | -28,900 | +4,500 | +14,800 | +15,600 |
| Somerset | 132,600 | +14,400 | +72,900 | -11,300 | +1,400 | -2,600 |
| South Milwaukee | 1,025,400 | +111,400 | +564,200 | -87,200 | -6,800 | +102,100 |
| South Shore | $(283,900)$ | -30,900 | -156,200 | +24,100 | +35,800 | +57,800 |
| Southern Door County | $(84,200)$ | -9,200 | -46,300 | +7,200 | -27,200 | -58,100 |
| Southwestern Wisconsin | $(89,300)$ | -9,700 | -49,100 | +7,600 | -9,700 | -6,300 |
| Sparta Area | $(327,600)$ | -35,600 | -180,300 | +27,800 | -2,300 | +16,300 |
| Spencer | $(314,600)$ | -34,200 | -173,100 | +26,800 | +3,300 | +70,700 |
| Spooner Area | $(526,700)$ | -57,200 | -289,800 | +44,800 | -36,100 | +345,800 |
| Spring Valley | $(5,500)$ | -600 | -3,000 | +500 | -20,700 | +10,100 |
| Stanley-Boyd Area | $(15,500)$ | -1,700 | -8,500 | +1,300 | -13,700 | +7,400 |
| Stevens Point Area | $(433,500)$ | -47,100 | -238,500 | +36,900 | -15,100 | -19,600 |
| Stockbridge | $(262,600)$ | -28,500 | -144,500 | +22,300 | +22,900 | -38,700 |
| Stone Bank | 289,900 | +31,500 | +159,500 | -24,700 | +78,000 | -317,700 |
| Stoughton Area | $(545,200)$ | -59,200 | -299,900 | +46,400 | -41,400 | +115,200 |


| District |  | Actual Transfer Amount | Increase or Decrease from Actual Transfer Amount |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Alternative 1 | Alternative 2 | Alternative 3 | Alternative 4 | Alternative 5 |
|  |  | Including Additional Costs (see p. 15) | Statewide Average Shared Costs (see p. 23) | Removing Certain Costs (see p. 31) | Receiving District's Direct Educational Costs (see p. 39) | Receiving District's Equalization Aid (see p. 47) |
|  | Stratford |  | \$ $(48,100)$ | \$ -5,300 | \$ -26,500 | \$ $+4,100$ | \$ -11,800 | \$ +15,200 |
|  | Sturgeon Bay |  | 426,300 | +46,300 | +234,500 | -36,300 | $+20,100$ | -33,500 |
|  | Sun Prairie Area | $(344,200)$ | -37,400 | -189,400 | +29,300 | -33,800 | +65,100 |
|  | Superior | $(298,300)$ | -32,400 | -164,100 | +25,400 | -9,800 | +105,300 |
|  | Suring | $(8,800)$ | -1,000 | -4,900 | +700 | +14,600 | -61,300 |
|  | Swallow | 234,200 | +25,400 | +128,900 | -19,900 | -12,000 | -187,200 |
| T | Thorp | 149,300 | +16,200 | +82,100 | -12,700 | +10,800 | +10,100 |
|  | Three Lakes | 120,400 | +13,100 | +66,200 | -10,200 | +84,100 | -170,800 |
|  | Tigerton | $(83,800)$ | -9,100 | -46,000 | +7,200 | +8,200 | +17,800 |
|  | Tomah Area | $(230,400)$ | -25,100 | -126,800 | +19,600 | -20,800 | +76,300 |
|  | Tomahawk | 19,700 | +2,200 | +10,900 | -1,600 | -1,700 | -54,600 |
|  | Tomorrow River | 131,600 | +14,300 | +72,400 | -11,200 | -7,900 | +6,700 |
|  | Trevor-Wilmot Consolidated | $(58,200)$ | -6,300 | -32,000 | +4,900 | +18,600 | +68,100 |
|  | Tri-County Area | $(60,900)$ | -6,700 | -33,600 | $+5,100$ | $+6,400$ | +46,500 |
|  | Turtle Lake | $(25,100)$ | -2,800 | -13,900 | $+2,100$ | +13,500 | -280,900 |
|  | Twin Lakes \#4 | $(304,200)$ | -33,100 | -167,400 | $+25,900$ | -41,300 | +82,000 |
|  | Two Rivers | $(18,600)$ | -2,000 | -10,200 | +1,600 | -53,400 | +74,300 |
| U | Union Grove J1 | 524,200 | +56,900 | +288,400 | -44,600 | -93,600 | +168,500 |
|  | Union Grove UHS | 1,035,400 | +112,400 | +569,600 | -88,100 | +86,300 | -308,700 |
|  | Unity | $(312,800)$ | -34,000 | -172,200 | +26,600 | +14,800 | -17,600 |
| V | Valders Area | 70,200 | +7,600 | +38,600 | -6,000 | -22,800 | -7,300 |
|  | Verona Area | 1,029,000 | +111,800 | +566,200 | -87,500 | +85,700 | -134,600 |
|  | Viroqua Area | 57,800 | $+6,300$ | +31,800 | -4,900 | -22,000 | -4,200 |



${ }^{1}$ Shaded cells indicate alternatives that would have resulted in the district receiving more funds than it actually did.

# Financial Effects of Alternative 4 on Selected Districts 

```
If Alternative 4-Using the Receiving District's Direct
Educational Costs as the Per Pupil Transfer Amount-
Had Been in Effect in the 2010-11 School Year:
Fourteen districts that had a net gain of pupils
would have lost funding
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Berlin Area & Lake Mills Area \\
Bloomer & Lancaster Community \\
Brodhead & Luck \\
Clear Lake & Plymouth Joint \\
Hudson & Wabeno Area \\
lola-Scandinavia & Washburn \\
Kewaunee & West Salem
\end{tabular}
```

Seven districts that had a net loss of pupils would have gained funding

Beecher-Dunbar-Pembine Seneca
Butternut
Suring
Green Lake White Lake
Johnson Creek

## Financial Effects of Alternative 5 on Selected Districts

\author{

If Alternative 5-Using the Receiving District's Equalization Aid as the Per Pupil Transfer AmountHad Been in Effect in the 2010-11 School Year: <br> Thirty-six districts that had a net gain of pupils would have lost funding <br> | Birchwood $^{1}$ | Linn J6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cambridge | Maple Dale-Indian Hill |
| Crivitz | Markesan |
| Elmbrook | New Auburn |
| Flambeau | New Berlin |
| Fontana J8 $^{1}$ | Nicolet UHS |
| Geneva J4 $^{1}$ | North Lakeland $^{1}$ |
| Gibraltar Area $^{1}$ | Norway J7 |
| Glendale-River Hills | Paris J1 |
| Hayward Community | Pewaukee |
| Herman \#22 | Platteville |
| Hudson | Stone Bank |
| Kohler | Three Lakes |
| Lake Country | Tomahawk |
| Lake Geneva-Genoa City UHS | Wabeno Area |
| Lake Mills Area | Washburn |
| Lakeland UHS | Williams Bay ${ }^{1}$ |
| Linn J4 | Winneconne Community |

}

## Seventeen districts that had a net loss of pupils would have gained funding

Ashland
Baraboo
Butternut
Clintonville
D.C. Everest Area

Deerfield Community
Hamilton
Hartland-Lakeside J3
Hurley

Johnson Creek
Luxemburg-Casco
Port Edwards
Sheboygan Falls
Spring Valley
Trevor-Wilmot Consolidated
Two Rivers
Walworth J1

[^4]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Washington district did not gain or lose any pupils (excluding those receiving special education services).

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Washington district did not gain or lose any pupils (excluding those receiving special education services).

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Washington district did not gain or lose any pupils (excluding those receiving special education services).

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Washington district did not gain or lose any pupils (excluding those receiving special education services).

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ District did not receive any equalization aid.

