Appendix 1

Profiles of 17 W-2 Agencies

This appendix describes the organization, primary services, and expenditures of the 17 W-2 agencies we visited during the course of our evaluation. The information is organized by the county in which services are delivered and is categorized as follows:

- AGENCY—names the W-2 provider or providers for the county, which may be a county social or human service agency or a private agency;
- SERVICE DELIVERY AREA—may be a county or portion of a county;
- USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE INITIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT—provides information on expenditures under the contract in effect from September 1997 through December 1999, the agency's planned use of unrestricted profits, and planned use of community reinvestment funds;
- USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE CURRENT IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT—provides information on current contract expenditures, potential bonus funds available under the current contract, and progress in meeting established performance standards;
- CASELOAD INFORMATION—shows changes in cash benefit and case management cases from September 1997 to September 2000;
- SANCTIONS—indicates the number and dollar amount of participant sanctions issued in one month, September 2000; and
- FACT-FINDING REVIEWS—summarizes the number, type, and outcome of fact-finding reviews requested by participants.

BROWN COUNTY

AGENCY: Brown County Department of Human Services

SERVICE DELIVERY AREA: Brown County

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE INITIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

The Brown County Department of Human Services spent \$6.3 million under the initial implementation contract, of which the agency reports \$2.6 million (41.3 percent) was subcontracted service expenditures. As a result of unexpended initial implementation contract funding, the agency received profits of \$2.4 million and community reinvestment funds of \$4.9 million.

Initial Implementation Contract Expenditures

	Expenditures	Percentage of Total
Direct Services:		
Work activities	\$1,257,395	20.0%
Eligibility determination	1,370,243	21.8
Case management	363,711	5.8
FSET services	530,351	8.4
Skills training	72,059	1.2
Post-employment services	1,254,620	19.9
Educational activities	177,592	2.8
Subtotal	\$5,025,971	79.9%
Cash Benefits:		
Community service jobs	\$ 330,525	5.3%
Transitional jobs	267,176	4.2
Sanctions*	13,422	0.2
Trial jobs	<u>16,972</u>	<u>0.3</u>
Subtotal	\$ 628,095	10.0%
Administration	\$ 548,416	8.7%
Additional Services:		
Contracted child care	\$ 0	0.0%
Job access loans	800	< 0.1
Emergency assistance	85,036	1.4
Other FSET services	2,928	<u><0.1</u>
Subtotal	\$ 88,764	1.4%
Total	\$6,291,246	100.0%

^{*} Except in Milwaukee County, sanctions of participants' grants were counted as expenditures and were charged against an agency's contract. Milwaukee agencies retained all sanctioned funds from the implementation contract.

The Brown County Department of Human Services reports that it is using its \$2.4 million in unrestricted profits to offset the 1999, 2000, and 2001 county tax levy.

Planned Use of Community Reinvestment Funds Under the Initial Implementation Contract

Supplement to W-2 and related programs	\$1,417,416
Services to children at risk for placement in institutional settings and their families, to help maintain the child in the community and to prevent institutional placements	1,000,000
Funds provided to the United Way for grants to community-based organizations and	
educational institutions for legal services, occupational training, and enhanced child	
care referrals	999,999
Services to families with newborns, including home visits, parent education, early	
childhood education and development screenings, and social activities	747,696
Job retention bonuses for participants	300,000
Parenting education program	236,542
Case management services to pregnant and parenting teens, to help them to stay in	
school, graduate, and become self-sufficient	88,200
Kinship Care Program—case management	64,155
Kinship Care Program—support group	10,000
Total	\$4,864,008

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE CURRENT IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

The Brown County Department of Human Services' current W-2 contract is for \$3.8 million to provide W-2 and related services from January 2000 through December 2001. Between January 2000 and September 2000, \$1,312,759, or 34.5 percent of the contract budget, was spent. An additional \$267,000 in bonus funds will be available to the Brown County Department of Human Services if it meets established performance standards.

Current Implementation Contract Expenditures

			Total Expenditures
		Percentage of Total	as a Percentage of
	Expenditures	Expenditures	Contract Budget
Direct Services	\$1,069,645	81.5%	
Cash Benefits	72,301	5.5	
Administration	137,694	10.5	
Additional Services	33,119	2.5	
Total	\$1,312,759	100.0%	34.5%

Available after December 31, 2001

Total Performance Bonus Funds Available:		<u>\$266,763</u>
Restricted bonus	\$114,327	
Unrestricted bonuses	152,436	
Projected Bonus Based on Performance Standards through December 2000:		\$254,060
Restricted bonus	\$114,327	
Unrestricted bonuses	139,733	

Progress in Meeting Performance Standards Under the Current Implementation ContractAs of December 31, 2000

	Meeting Performance Standards for Restricted	Meeting Performance Standards for First Unrestricted	Meeting Performance Standards for Second Unrestricted
	Bonus?	Bonus?	Bonus?
Entered Employment	Yes	Yes	Yes
Average Wage Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—30 Days	Yes	No	No
Job Retention—180 Days	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health Insurance Benefits	Yes	Yes	Yes
Full and Appropriate Engagement	Yes	Yes	Yes
Basic Educational Activities	Yes	Yes	Yes
Faith-Based Contract (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes
Basic Skills/Job Skills (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	No

CASELOAD INFORMATION: Overall, the participant caseload declined 86.1 percent from September 1997 to September 2000.

	September 1997	September 2000	Percentage Change
Cash benefit cases	260	18	
Case management cases	<u>13</u>	<u>20</u>	
Total	273	38	(86.1%)

SANCTIONS: The Brown County Department of Human Services sanctioned one community service jobs participant for \$542 in September 2000.

FACT-FINDING REVIEWS: Between May 1999 and September 2000, 11 participants requested 13 fact-finding reviews. Information on complaint topics and outcomes is summarized in the following table:

Topic of Complaint	Ruled in Favor of Agency	Ruled in Favor of Applicant	Request <u>Withdrawn</u>
Employment	1	1	2
Child care	5	1	2
Emergency assistance	1	0	0
Job access loan	0	0	0
24-month extension	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	7	2	4

DANE COUNTY

AGENCY: Dane County Department of Human Services

SERVICE DELIVERY AREA: Dane County

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE INITIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

The Dane County Department of Human Services spent \$18.7 million under the initial implementation contract, of which the agency reports \$6.1 million (32.6 percent) was subcontracted service expenditures. As a result of unexpended initial implementation contract funding, the agency received profits of \$2.6 million and community reinvestment funds of \$3.1 million.

Initial Implementation Contract Expenditures

	Expenditures	Percentage of Total
Direct Services:		
Work activities	\$ 2,983,879	15.9%
Eligibility determination	4,054,596	21.7
Case management	759,803	4.1
FSET services	1,372,215	7.3
Skills training	452,935	2.4
Post-employment services	135,794	0.7
Educational activities	230,145	1.2
Subtotal	\$ 9,989,367	53.3%
Cash Benefits:		
Community service jobs	\$ 3,681,512	19.7%
Transitional jobs	1,891,899	10.1
Sanctions*	546,808	2.9
Trial jobs	51,680	0.3
Subtotal	\$ 6,171,899	33.0%
Administration	\$ 1,835,464	9.8%
Additional Services:		
Contracted child care	\$ 188,400	1.0%
Job access loans	232,089	1.2
Emergency assistance	313,806	1.7
Other FSET services	0	0.0
Subtotal	<u>\$ 734,295</u>	3.9%
Total	\$18,731,025	100.0%

^{*} Except in Milwaukee County, sanctions of participants' grants were counted as expenditures and were charged against an agency's contract. Milwaukee agencies retained all sanctioned funds from the implementation contract.

The Dane County Department of Human Services reports that of its \$2.6 million in unrestricted profits:

- \$1,257,000 was used to provide housing services, including counseling and rental assistance, during 2000 and 2001;
- \$994,000 was used to fund medical services for general assistance clients during 1999 and 2000; and
- \$349,000 has not been budgeted for a specific purpose.

Planned Use of Community Reinvestment Funds Under the Initial Implementation Contract

Case management and AODA treatment for non-custodial parents leaving jail	\$	710,884
Services to link individuals to full-time employment and advancement opportunities		370,697
Housing vouchers to prevent evictions or secure housing		439,641
Culturally appropriate services for Southeast Asian adults with disabilities		290,500
Youth employment services		279,000
Supplement to W-2 and related programs		255,036
Development of employee assistance services at employment sites		212,712
Coordination of job center and neighborhood services		168,000
Employment services for absent parents		149,574
Expansion of emergency food and clothing services		82,400
Neighborhood stabilization and housing counseling services		65,000
Transportation services		60,000
Culturally appropriate case management services for families moving to employment	_	40,000
Total	\$3	3,123,444

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE CURRENT IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

Dane County Department of Human Services' current W-2 contract is for \$17.0 million to provide W-2 and related services from January 2000 through December 2001. Between January 2000 and September 2000, \$6,574,128, or 38.7 percent of the contract budget, was spent. An additional \$1.2 million in bonus funds will be available to the Dane County Department of Human Services if it meets established performance standards.

Current Implementation Contract Expenditures

			Total Expenditures
		Percentage of Total	as a Percentage of
	Expenditures	Expenditures	Contract Budget
Direct Services	\$3,563,202	54.2%	
Cash Benefits	1,480,265	22.5	
Administration	690,286	10.5	
Additional Services	840,375	12.8	
Total	\$6,574,128	100.0%	38.7%

Available after December 31, 2001

Total Performance Bonus Funds Available:		\$1,189,057
Restricted bonus	\$509,597	
Unrestricted bonuses	679,460	
Projected Bonus Based on Performance Standards through December 2000:		<u>\$1,132,434</u>
Restricted bonus	\$509,597	
Unrestricted bonuses	622,837	

Progress in Meeting Performance Standards Under the Current Implementation ContractAs of December 31, 2000

	Meeting Performance Standards for Restricted Bonus?	Meeting Performance Standards for First Unrestricted Bonus?	Meeting Performance Standards for Second Unrestricted Bonus?
Entered Employment	Yes	Yes	Yes
Average Wage Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—30 Days	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—180 Days	Yes	No	No
Health Insurance Benefits	Yes	Yes	Yes
Full and Appropriate Engagement	Yes	Yes	Yes
Basic Educational Activities	Yes	Yes	Yes
Faith-Based Contract (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes
Basic Skills/Job Skills (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	No

CASELOAD INFORMATION: Overall, the participant caseload declined 42.6 percent from September 1997 to September 2000.

	September 1997	September 2000	Percentage Change
Cash benefit cases	940	305	
Case management cases	5	<u>237</u>	
Total	945	542	(42.6%)

SANCTIONS: The Dane County Department of Human Services sanctioned 75 participants in September 2000. Information on the type of cases sanctioned and average sanction amount is summarized in the following table:

Placement Type	Number of Participants Sanctioned	Average Sanction Amount
Community service job	58	\$289
Transitional placement	17	195
Other	_0	0
Total	75	268

FACT-FINDING REVIEWS: Between May 1999 and September 2000, 12 participants requested 12 fact-finding reviews. Information on complaint topics and outcomes is summarized in the following table:

Topic of Complaint	Ruled in Favor of <u>Agency</u>	Ruled in Favor of <u>Participant</u>	Request Withdrawn	Request <u>Dismissed</u>
Employment	5	1	1	1
Child care	3	0	1	0
Emergency assistance	0	0	0	0
Job access loan	0	0	0	0
24-month extension	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	8	1	2	1

EAU CLAIRE COUNTY

AGENCY: Eau Claire County Department of Human Services

SERVICE DELIVERY AREA: Eau Claire County

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE INITIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

The Eau Claire County Department of Human Services spent \$4.1 million under the initial implementation contract, of which the agency reports \$0.7 million (17.1 percent) was on subcontracted service expenditures. As a result of unexpended initial implementation contract funding, the agency received profits of \$1.5 million and community reinvestment funds of \$3.0 million.

Initial Implementation Contract Expenditures

	<u>Expenditures</u>	Percentage of Total
Direct Services:		
Work activities	\$ 704,800	17.3%
Eligibility determination	784,165	19.3
Case management	280	< 0.1
FSET services	277,760	6.8
Skills training	329,929	8.1
Post-employment services	0	0.0
Educational activities	<u>122,384</u>	3.0
Subtotal	\$2,219,318	54.5%
Cash Benefits:		
Community service jobs	\$ 393,295	9.7%
Transitional jobs	350,119	8.6
Sanctions*	22,371	0.5
Trial jobs	<u>8,471</u>	0.2
Subtotal	\$ 774,256	19.0%
Administration	\$ 992,746	24.4%
Additional Services:		
Contracted child care	\$ 0	0.0%
Job access loans	1,550	< 0.1
Emergency assistance	84,882	2.1
Other FSET services	0	0.0
Subtotal	<u>\$ 86,432</u>	2.1%
Total	\$4,072,752	100.0%

^{*} Except in Milwaukee County, sanctions of participants' grants were counted as expenditures and were charged against an agency's contract. Milwaukee agencies retained all sanctioned funds from the implementation contract.

The Eau Claire County Department of Human Services reports that it is using its \$1.5 million in unrestricted profits for the following activities:

- \$592,000 for a youth assessment center, to provide services to delinquent youth and prevent out-of-home placements;
- \$536,000 to provide case management services, activities, and other services to adjudicated delinquents and youth in out-of-home placements, to reduce recidivism, truancy, and placements in more restrictive settings;
- \$152,000 for development and start-up costs for the county job center;
- \$150,000 for funding Department of Human Services operations in 1999; and
- \$35,000 for miscellaneous projects and services.

Planned Use of Community Reinvestment Funds Under the Initial Implementation Contract

	18,000
Child care resource development staff to work with businesses and providers to	00 000
A A	80,000
	35,000 70,000
Parent education and support services provided through the Family Resource Center Emergency shelter, case management, and post-shelter support services for families	70,000
	60,000
In-home family counseling and education for families at risk of disintegration or	
	20,000
	81,960
	80,000
·	76,000
·	75,000
Deferred prosecution services to reduce family violence and assist with maintaining	
1 7	75,000
	75,000
, , ,	75,000
Quality assurance and monitoring activities related to the administration of	
	73,000
Parenting education, classes, and activities for families in the Augusta School	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	70,000
	67,436
Counseling, family stabilization services, and employment assistance for victims of	
·	65,000
	61,000
Expansion of W-2 related services to include individuals ineligible for W-2 services	
	60,000
	55,000
	50,000
Employment enhancement services for newly employed Hmong workers and	
Hmong youth	50,000
	50,000
Education services to increase employability of community members	50,000
*	47,088
	40,000
Emergency food and household items for needy families	31,875

Planned Use of Community Reinvestment Funds Under the Initial Implementation Contract

Supportive services provided by a free medical clinic	\$	29,685
Child abuse prevention services, including assessment and parenting skills training		25,000
Building rehabilitation and installation of a security system for a domestic violence		
shelter	_	5,000
Total	\$2	.951.044

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE CURRENT IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

The Eau Claire County Department of Human Services' current W-2 contract is for \$3.2 million to provide W-2 and related services from January 2000 through December 2001. Between January 2000 and September 2000, \$966,790, or 30.2 percent of the contract budget, was spent. An additional \$223,000 in bonus funds will be available to the Eau Claire County Department of Human Services if it meets established performance standards.

Current Implementation Contract Expenditures

January 2000 through September 2000

			Total Expenditures
		Percentage of Total	as a Percentage of
	Expenditures	Expenditures	Contract Budget
Direct Services	\$608,236	62.9%	
Cash Benefits	79,799	8.2	
Administration	219,257	22.7	
Additional Services	59,498	6.2	
Total	\$966,790	100.0%	30.2%

Potential Bonus Funds Under the Current Implementation Contract

Available after December 31, 2001

Total Possible Performance Bonus Funds Available:		\$223,097
Restricted bonus	\$ 95,613	
Unrestricted bonuses	127,484	
Projected Bonus Based on Performance Standards through December 2000:		\$191,226
Restricted bonus	\$ 95,613	
Unrestricted bonuses	95,613	

Progress in Meeting Performance Standards Under the Current Implementation ContractAs of December 31, 2000

	Meeting Performance Standards for Restricted Bonus?	Meeting Performance Standards for First Unrestricted Bonus?	Meeting Performance Standards for Second Unrestricted Bonus?
Entered Employment	Yes	Yes	Yes
Average Wage Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—30 Days	Yes	Yes	No
Job Retention—180 Days	Yes	Yes	No
Health Insurance Benefits	Yes	Yes	Yes
Full and Appropriate Engagement	Yes	Yes	Yes
Basic Educational Activities	Yes	No	No
Faith-Based Contract (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes
Basic Skills/Job Skills (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	No

CASELOAD INFORMATION: Overall, the participant caseload declined 85.4 percent from September 1997 to September 2000.

	September 1997	September 2000	Percentage Change
Cash benefit cases	198	16	
Case management cases	<u> </u>	<u>13</u>	
Total	199	29	(85.4%)

SANCTIONS: The Eau Claire County Department of Human Services sanctioned two participants in September 2000. Information on the type of cases sanctioned and average sanction amount is summarized in the following table:

Placement Type	Number of Participants Sanctioned	Average Sanction Amount
Community service job	1	\$216
Transitional placement	1	72
Other	<u>0</u>	0
Total	$\overline{2}$	144

FACT-FINDING REVIEWS: Between May 1999 and September 2000, two participants requested two fact-finding reviews. Information on complaint topics and outcomes is summarized in the following table:

Topic of Complaint	Ruled in Favor of Agency	Ruled in Favor of Participant	Request Withdrawn
Employment	0	0	0
Child care	2	0	0
Emergency assistance	0	0	0
Job access loan	0	0	0
24-month extension	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Totals	2	0	0

FOND DU LAC COUNTY

AGENCY: Fond du Lac County Department of Social Services

SERVICE DELIVERY AREA: Fond du Lac County

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE INITIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

The Fond du Lac County Department of Social Services spent \$1.9 million under the initial implementation contract, of which the agency reports approximately \$12,000 (0.6 percent) was subcontracted service expenditures. As a result of unexpended initial implementation contract funding, the agency received profits of \$465,000 and community reinvestment funds of \$833,000.

Initial Implementation Contract Expenditures

	Expenditures	Percentage of Total
Direct Services:		
Work activities	\$ 173,855	9.3%
Eligibility determination	134,163	7.2
Case management	219,257	11.8
FSET services	125,775	6.8
Post-employment services	92,171	5.0
Skills training	101,787	5.5
Educational activities	<u>46,816</u>	2.5
Subtotal	\$ 893,824	48.1%
Cash Benefits:		
Community service jobs	\$ 279,746	15.0%
Transitional jobs	245,272	13.2
Sanctions*	10,110	0.5
Trial jobs	691	<u><0.1</u>
Subtotal	\$ 535,819	28.7%
Administration	\$ 359,553	19.3%
Additional Services:		
Contracted child care	\$ 0	0.0%
Job access loans	50,294	2.7
Emergency assistance	15,844	0.9
Other FSET services	5,374	0.3
Subtotal	<u>\$ 71,512</u>	3.9%
Total	\$1,860,708	100.0%

^{*} Except in Milwaukee County, sanctions of participants' grants were counted as expenditures and were charged against an agency's contract. Milwaukee agencies retained all sanctioned funds from the implementation contract.

The Fond du Lac County Department of Social Services reports that it is using its \$465,000 in unrestricted profits to expand agency services available to low-income individuals and families by:

- funding basic county social services in an effort to offset increases in the county tax levy;
- increasing agency staffing to provide more contact and support to low-income families seeking services:
- providing an agency social worker to assist with a skills-building class for teens at the Boys and Girls Club; and
- providing small grants to families to address employment barriers.

Planned Use of Community Reinvestment Funds Under the Initial Implementation Contract

Supplement to W-2 and related programs	\$305,600
Family support services to assist with time management, child care, and budgeting	84,880
Emergency loans for food, shelter, utilities, child care, and other items	80,000
Clinical social worker for consultations with W-2 participants	67,102
Support services for families with emotionally disturbed children	60,000
Domestic abuse services	50,000
After-school activities for children	43,991
Services for sexual assault/abuse victims and their families	40,000
Transitional living services	36,747
Respite child care	20,080
Retention training for employed individuals	16,000
Individualized development accounts for home ownership	15,000
Interpreter services	10,000
Information and referral system for community services	4,000
Total	\$833,400

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE CURRENT IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

Fond du Lac County Department of Social Services' current W-2 contract is for \$2.8 million to provide W-2 and related services from January 2000 through December 2001. Between January 2000 and September 2000, approximately \$549,383, or 19.6 percent of the contract budget, was spent. An additional \$194,000 in bonus funds will be available to the Fond du Lac County Department of Social Services if it meets established performance standards.

Current Implementation Contract Expenditures

			Total Expenditures
		Percentage of Total	as a Percentage of
	Expenditures	Expenditures	Contract Budget
Direct Services	\$270,928	49.3%	
Cash Benefits	182,714	33.2	
Administration	61,331	11.2	
Additional Services	<u>34,410</u>	6.3	
Total	\$549,383	100.0%	19.6%

Available after December 31, 2001

Total Possible Performance Bonus Funds Available:		<u>\$193,886</u>
Restricted bonus	\$ 83,094	
Unrestricted bonuses	110,792	
Projected Bonus Based on Performance Standards through December 2000:		\$189,270
Restricted bonus	\$ 83,094	
Unrestricted bonuses	106,176	

Progress in Meeting Performance Standards Under the Current Implementation ContractAs of December 31, 2000

	Meeting Performance Standards for Restricted	Meeting Performance Standards for First Unrestricted	Meeting Performance Standards for Second Unrestricted
	Bonus?	Bonus?	Bonus?
Entered Employment	Yes	Yes	Yes
Average Wage Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—30 Days	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—180 Days	Yes	Yes	No
Health Insurance Benefits	Yes	Yes	Yes
Full and Appropriate Engagement	Yes	Yes	Yes
Basic Educational Activities	Yes	Yes	Yes
Faith-Based Contract (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes
Basic Skills/Job Skills (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	No

CASELOAD INFORMATION: Overall, the participant caseload declined 1.5 percent from September 1997 to September 2000.

	September 1997	September 2000	Percentage Change
Cash benefit cases	65	40	
Case management cases	<u>3</u>	<u>27</u>	
Total	68	67	(1.5%)

SANCTIONS: The Fond du Lac County Department of Social Services sanctioned one community service job participant for \$191 in September 2000.

FACT-FINDING REVIEWS: Between May 1999 and September 2000, one participant requested a fact-finding review related to child care. The case was resolved in favor of the agency.

GRANT COUNTY

AGENCY: Grant County Department of Social Services, which is a member of and administrative agency for the Southwest Consortium, a collaborative effort by Grant, Green, Iowa, Lafayette, and Richland counties to provide W-2 services.

SERVICE DELIVERY AREA: Grant County

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE INITIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

The Grant County Department of Social Services spent \$560,000 under the initial implementation contract. Because Grant County was one of five counties in the Southwest Consortium, it was not possible to determine the amount of funds subcontracted specifically for services in Grant County. As a result of unexpended initial implementation contract funding, the agency received profits that amounted to approximately \$223,000 and community reinvestment funds that amounted to approximately \$376,000.

Initial Implementation Contract Expenditures

	Expenditures	Percentage of Total
Direct Services:		
Work activities	\$ 15,501	2.8%
Eligibility determination	195,868	35.0
Case management	1,647	0.3
FSET services	113,900	20.3
Skills training	12,652	2.3
Post-employment services	4,017	0.7
Educational activities	2,354	_0.4
Subtotal	\$345,939	61.8%
Cash Benefits:		
Community service jobs	\$ 44,490	7.9%
Transitional jobs	47,003	8.4
Sanctions*	686	0.1
Trial jobs	0	0.0
Subtotal	\$ 92,179	16.4%
Administration	\$102,671	18.3%
Additional Services:		
Contracted child care	\$ 0	0.0
Job access loans	0	0.0
Emergency assistance	300	0.1
Other FSET services	<u>19,120</u>	3.4
Subtotal	<u>\$ 19,420</u>	3.5%
Total	\$560,209	100.0%

^{*} Except in Milwaukee County, sanctions of participants' grants were counted as expenditures and were charged against an agency's contract. Milwaukee agencies retained all sanctioned funds from the implementation contract.

The Southwest Consortium reports that the \$223,000 in unrestricted profits earned by the Grant County Department of Social Services has been placed in a reserve fund for future use related to W-2.

Planned Use of Community Reinvestment Funds Under the Initial Implementation Contract

Grants to community-based organizations and educational institutions for family	
resource centers, parenting services, adult basic education services, and AODA and	
mental health services	\$ 98,140
Intergenerational family literacy program	90,251
Outreach and services to employers to supplement Job Center services	44,537
Outreach to churches to develop programming for W-2 participants	36,000
Workplace vocational training	30,000
Assistance with transportation and work-related expenses for low-income individuals	25,000
Work program services to teen parents, including classes and home visits	15,318
Job coaching to assist working individuals in developing skills at work and home	10,000
Youth recreation and child care services	10,000
Funds used for processing vendor reimbursements for transportation and work-	
related expense vouchers	5,819
Assistance to child care providers to start or expand child care services	5,000
Staff development training for social service and job center staff	5,000
Mentoring services for low-income families	1,284
Total	\$376,349

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE CURRENT IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

The Southwest Consortium's current W-2 contract is for \$2.5 million to provide W-2 and related services from January 2000 through December 2001. Of the \$2.5 million, approximately \$754,000 is budgeted for use by the Grant County Department of Social Services. Between January 2000 and September 2000, \$340,658, or 45.2 percent of the amount budgeted for Grant County, was spent by the Grant County Department of Social Services.

Approximately \$175,000 in additional bonus funding will be available to the Southwest Consortium if it meets established performance standards, of which approximately \$53,000 will be made available to the Grant County Department of Social Services.

Current Implementation Contract Expenditures

			Total Expenditures as
		Percentage of Total	a Percentage of
	Expenditures	Expenditures	Contract Budget
Direct Services	\$239,542	70.3%	
Cash Benefits	21,438	6.3	
Administration	74,669	21.9	
Additional Services	5,009	<u>1.5</u>	
Total	\$340,658	100.0%	45.2%

Available after December 31, 2001

Total Performance Bonus Funds Available:		<u>\$52,799</u>
Restricted bonus	\$22,628	
Unrestricted bonuses	30,171	
Projected Bonus Based on Performance Standards through December 2000:		<u>\$52,799</u>
Restricted bonus	\$22,628	
Unrestricted bonuses	30,171	

Progress in Meeting Performance Standards Under the Current Implementation Contract*As of December 31, 2000

	Meeting Performance Standards for Restricted Bonus?	Meeting Performance Standards for First Unrestricted Bonus?	Meeting Performance Standards for Second Unrestricted Bonus?
Entered Employment	Yes	Yes	No
Average Wage Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—30 Days	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—180 Days	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health Insurance Benefits	Yes	Yes	Yes
Full and Appropriate Engagement	Yes	Yes	Yes
Basic Educational Activities	Yes	Yes	Yes
Faith-Based Contract (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes
Basic Skills/Job Skills (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	No

^{*} Reported information is for the entire Southwest Consortium.

CASELOAD INFORMATION: Overall, the participant caseload declined 89.7 percent from September 1997 to September 2000.

	September 1997	September 2000	Percentage Change
Cash benefit cases	29	3	
Case management cases	_1	<u>2</u>	
Total	30	5	(83.3%)

SANCTIONS: The Grant County Department of Social Services sanctioned no participants in September 2000.

FACT-FINDING REVIEWS: Between May 1999 and September 2000, three participants requested five fact-finding reviews. Information on complaint topics and outcomes is summarized in the following table:

	Ruled in Favor of Agency	Ruled in Favor of Participant	Request Withdrawn
Topic of Complaint			
Employment	2	1	0
Child care	0	0	0
Emergency assistance	0	0	0
Job access loan	1	1	0
24-month extension	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Totals	3	2	0

KENOSHA COUNTY

AGENCY: Kenosha County Department of Human Services

SERVICE DELIVERY AREA: Kenosha County

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE INITIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

The Kenosha County Department of Human Services spent \$16.4 million under the initial implementation contract, of which the agency reports \$5.1 million (31.1 percent) was subcontracted service expenditures. As a result of unexpended initial implementation contract funding, the agency received profits of \$1.6 million and community reinvestment funds of \$1.1 million.

Initial Implementation Contract Expenditures

	<u>Expenditures</u>	Percentage of Total
Direct Services:		
Work activities	\$ 2,905,580	17.7%
Eligibility determination	6,223,414	38.0
Case management	0	0.0
FSET services	418	< 0.1
Skills training	228,335	1.4
Post-employment services	1,198,311	7.3
Educational activities	<u> 556,876</u>	3.4
Subtotal	\$11,112,934	67.8%
Cash Benefits:		
Community service jobs	\$ 1,894,029	11.6%
Transitional jobs	641,079	3.9
Sanctions	293,879	1.8
Trial jobs	7,255	<u><0.1</u>
Subtotal	\$ 2,836,242	17.3%
Administration	\$ 1,751,727	10.7%
Additional Services:		
Contracted child care	\$ 396,255	2.4%
Job access loans	118,765	0.7
Emergency assistance	173,483	1.1
Other FSET services	6,623	<0.1
Subtotal	<u>\$ 695,126</u>	4.2%
Total	\$16,396,029	100.0%

^{*} Except in Milwaukee County, sanctions of participants' grants were counted as expenditures and were charged against an agency's contract. Milwaukee agencies retained all sanctioned funds from the implementation contract.

The Kenosha County Department of Human Services reports transferring \$881,000 of the \$1.6 million in unrestricted profits to Kenosha County's general fund, and using \$738,000 to fund out-of-home placements for children in need of protective services.

Planned Use of Community Reinvestment Funds Under the Initial Implementation Contract

According to the Kenosha County Department of Human Services, all \$1.1 million in community reinvestment funds will be used to supplement W-2 and related programs.

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE CURRENT IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

Kenosha County Department of Human Services' current W-2 contract is for \$9.3 million to provide W-2 and related services from January 2000 through December 2001. Between January 2000 and September 2000, \$3,780,690, or 40.7 percent of the contract budget, was spent. An additional \$650,000 in bonus funds will be available to the Kenosha County Department of Human Services if it meets established performance standards.

Current Implementation Contract Expenditures

January 2000 through September 2000

			Total Expenditures
		Percentage of Total	as a Percentage of
	Expenditures	Expenditures	Contract Budget
Direct Services	\$2,042,132	54.0%	
Cash Benefits	1,101,850	29.1	
Administration	418,714	11.1	
Additional Services	217,994	<u>5.8</u>	
Total	\$3,780,690	100.0%	40.7%

Potential Bonus Funds Under the Current Implementation Contract

Available after December 31, 2001

<u>\$6</u>	<u> 549,995</u>
78,569	
71,426	
<u>\$5</u>	541,662
78,569	
3	278,569 371,426

Performance Standards Under the Current Implementation Contract

As of December 31, 2000

	Meeting	Meeting	Meeting
	Performance	Performance	Performance
	Standards for	Standards for	Standards for
	Restricted	First Unrestricted	Second Unrestricted
	Bonus?	Bonus?	Bonus?
Entered Employment	Yes	No	No
Average Wage Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—30 Days	Yes	Yes	No
Job Retention—180 Days	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health Insurance Benefits	Yes	Yes	No
Full and Appropriate Engagement	Yes	Yes	Yes
Basic Educational Activities	Yes	Yes	Yes
Faith-Based Contract (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes
Basic Skills/Job Skills (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	No

CASELOAD INFORMATION: Overall, the participant caseload declined 36.9 percent from September 1997 to September 2000.

	September 1997	September 2000	Percentage Change
Cash benefit cases	486	195	
Case management cases	<u>78</u>	<u>161</u>	
Total	564	356	(36.9%)

SANCTIONS: The Kenosha County Department of Human Services sanctioned 52 participants in September 2000. Information on the type of cases sanctioned and average sanction amount is summarized in the following table:

Placement Type	Number of Participants Sanctioned	Average Sanction Amount
Community service job	46	\$303
Transitional placement	6	231
Other	<u>0</u>	0
Total	52	295

FACT-FINDING REVIEWS: Between May 1999 and September 2000, 19 participants requested 19 fact-finding reviews. Information on complaint topics and outcomes is summarized in the following table:

Topic of Complaint	Ruled in Favor of <u>Agency</u>	Ruled in Favor of <u>Participant</u>	Request Resolved	Request Dismissed	Request Pending
Employment	3	3	1	4	1
Child care	2	0	1	1	1
Emergency assistance	0	0	0	0	0
Job access loan	1	0	1	0	0
24-month extension	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	6	3	3	5	2

MANITOWOC COUNTY

AGENCY: Manitowoc County Human Services Department

SERVICE DELIVERY AREA: Manitowoc County

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE INITIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

The Manitowoc County Human Services Department spent \$1.7 million under the initial implementation contract, of which the agency reports \$426,000 (25.1 percent) was subcontracted service expenditures. As a result of unexpended initial implementation contract funding, the agency received profits of \$605,000 and community reinvestment funds of \$1.2 million.

Initial Implementation Contract Expenditures

	Expenditures	Percentage of Total
Direct Services:		
Work activities	\$ 805,719	46.3%
Eligibility determination	184,236	10.6
Case management	32,982	1.9
FSET services	94,893	5.4
Skills training	26,669	1.5
Post-employment services	26,075	1.5
Educational activities	<u>7,235</u>	0.4
Subtotal	\$1,177,809	67.6%
Cash Benefits:		
Community service jobs	\$ 60,224	3.4%
Transitional jobs	50,062	2.9
Sanctions*	3,054	0.2
Trial jobs	0	0.0
Subtotal	\$ 113,340	6.5%
Administration	\$ 447,637	25.7%
Additional Services:		
Contracted child care	\$ 0	0.0%
Job access loans	800	< 0.1
Emergency assistance	1,702	0.1
Other FSET services	500	<u><0.1</u>
Subtotal	\$ 3,002	0.2%
Total	\$1,741,788	100.0%

^{*} Except in Milwaukee County, sanctions of participants' grants were counted as expenditures and were charged against an agency's contract. Milwaukee agencies retained all sanctioned funds from the implementation contract.

The Manitowoc County Human Services Department reports that it used its \$605,000 in unrestricted profits to offset a budget deficit in alternate care programs for children and juveniles that resulted from funding shortfalls from other state programs.

Planned Use of Community Reinvestment Funds Under the Initial Implementation Contract

Supplement to W-2 and related programs	\$ 575,000
Counseling services related to child welfare, AODA, and mental health	145,602
Administration of programs receiving community reinvestment funds	93,360
Coordination of services to Hispanic community	70,998
Temporary basic needs assistance	54,000
Enhanced services through food pantries	50,000
Learning club for academically at-risk children	46,800
Teen pregnancy prevention	28,700
Mentoring program for juvenile offenders and child abuse/neglect victims	24,473
Transportation assistance	22,204
Auto repairs	20,000
Group and individual therapy	18,300
On-site child care center	17,465
Legal services to eliminate employment barriers	12,000
Outreach and case management for Hmong community	10,500
Supplemental services to non-custodial parents	10,000
Total	\$1,199,402

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE CURRENT IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

Manitowoc County Human Services Department's current contract is for \$826,000 to provide W-2 and related services from January 2000 through December 2001. Between January 2000 and September 2000, \$436,255, or 52.8 percent of the contract budget, was spent. An additional \$58,000 in bonus funds will be available to the Manitowoc County Human Services Department if it meets established performance standards.

Current Implementation Contract Expenditures

			Total Expenditures
		Percentage of Total	as a Percentage of
	Expenditures	Expenditures	Contract Budget
Direct Services	\$336,403	77.1%	
Cash Benefits	7,682	1.8	
Administration	77,900	17.8	
Additional Services	<u>14,270</u>	3.3	
Total	\$436,255	100.0%	52.8%

Available after December 31, 2001

Total Possible Performance Bonus Funds Available:		<u>\$57,804</u>
Restricted bonus	\$24,773	
Unrestricted bonuses	33,031	
Projected Bonus Based on Performance Standards through December 2000:		<u>\$53,674</u>
Restricted bonus	\$24,773	
Unrestricted bonuses	28,901	

Progress in Meeting Performance Standards Under the Current Implementation ContractAs of December 31, 2000

	Meeting Performance Standards for Restricted Bonus?	Meeting Performance Standards for First Unrestricted Bonus?	Meeting Performance Standards for Second Unrestricted Bonus?
Entered Employment	Yes	Yes	Yes
Average Wage Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—30 Days	Yes	Yes	No
Job Retention—180 Days	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health Insurance Benefits	Yes	Yes	No
Full and Appropriate Engagement	Yes	Yes	Yes
Basic Educational Activities	Yes	Yes	Yes
Faith-Based Contract (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes
Basic Skills/Job Skills (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	No

CASELOAD INFORMATION: Overall, the participant caseload declined 81.4 percent from September 1997 to September 2000.

	September 1997	September 2000	Percentage Change
Cash benefit cases	43	3	
Case management cases	_0	<u>5</u>	
Total	43	8	(81.4%)

SANCTIONS: The Manitowoc County Human Services Department sanctioned no participants in September 2000.

FACT-FINDING REVIEWS: Between May 1999 and September 2000, no participants requested fact-finding reviews.

MILWAUKEE COUNTY—EMPLOYMENT SOLUTIONS, INC.

AGENCY: Employment Solutions, Inc., a private nonprofit organization

SERVICE DELIVERY AREA: Milwaukee County Regions 4 and 5

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE INITIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

Employment Solutions spent \$94.8 million under the initial implementation contract, of which the agency reports \$12.7 million (13.4 percent) was subcontracted service expenditures. As a result of unexpended initial implementation contract funding, the agency received profits of \$9.5 million and community reinvestment funds of \$5.4 million.

Initial Implementation Contract Expenditures

	Expenditures	Percentage of Total
Direct Services:		
Work activities	\$33,556,736	35.4%
Eligibility determination	3,159,670	3.3
Case management	(874)	0.0
FSET services	517,937	0.6
Skills training	0	0.0
Post-employment services	0	0.0
Educational activities	0	0.0
Subtotal	\$37,233,469	39.3%
Cash Benefits:		
Community service jobs	\$44,844,299	47.3%
Transitional jobs	6,200,737	6.5
Sanctions*	0	0.0
Trial jobs	35,412	<0.1
Subtotal	\$51,080,448	53.9%
Administration	\$ 3,765,679	4.0%
Additional Services:		
Additional Milwaukee services**	\$ 1,533,978	1.6%
Contracted child care	649,006	0.7
Job access loans	409,469	0.4
Emergency assistance	0	0.0
Other FSET services	102,506	0.1
Subtotal	\$ 2,694,959	
Total	\$94,774,555	100.0%

^{*} Although Milwaukee County agencies did sanction their participants, sanctions are reported as \$0 because the agencies were allowed to retain sanctioned funds from the implementation contract.

^{**} These Milwaukee services include the MATC Learning Labs and funding for facilities that house county workers who determine eligibility for the Medical Assistance, Food Stamp, and Wisconsin Shares Child Care Subsidy programs.

Employment Solutions reports that it is using \$4.7 million of the \$9.5 million in unrestricted profits for the following activities:

- \$3.2 million to provide services to disadvantaged and disabled residents;
- \$1.2 million to provide services to senior citizens; and
- \$0.3 million to provide services to families and children residing in Milwaukee County.

Information on how the remaining \$4.8 million in unrestricted profits will be used was not provided.

Planned Use of Community Reinvestment Funds Under the Initial Implementation Contract

Contribution to Milwaukee County Department of Human Services for 2001 funding of	
services to prevent out-of-home placements of juveniles, shelter and services for	
homeless families, and services to developmentally disabled infants and toddlers and	
their families	\$3,800,000
Grants to families to prevent eviction or to use as a security deposit	510,072
Courses to improve basic reading and computer skills of adults and children, educate	
families on a variety of topics, and provide computers for families with perfect	
attendance during the 9-week course	465,425
Services to assist with development of technology-related employment skills	465,425
One-time grants to families facing gas or electrical service disconnection	200,000
Subsidized tax preparation, credit rating, and money management services	4,819
Grants to assist individuals with continuing education and career advancement	1,100
Total	\$5,446,841

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE CURRENT IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

Employment Solutions' current W-2 contract is for \$87.5 million to provide W-2 and related services from January 2000 through December 2001. Between January 2000 and September 2000, \$27,522,338, or 31.5 percent of the contract budget, was spent. An additional \$6.1 million in bonus funds will be available to Employment Solutions if it meets established performance standards.

Current Implementation Contract Expenditures

			Total Expenditures as
		Percentage of Total	a Percentage of
	Expenditures	Expenditures	Contract Budget
Direct Services	\$15,452,156	56.1%	
Cash Benefits	10,151,604	36.9	
Administration	1,428,847	5.2	
Additional Services	489,731	1.8	
Total	\$27,522,338	100.0%	31.5%

Available after December 31, 2001

Total Performance Bonus Funds Available:		\$6,122,707
Restricted bonus	\$2,624,017	
Unrestricted bonuses	3,498,690	
Projected Bonus Based on Performance Standards through December 2000:		\$5,831,150
Restricted bonus	\$2,624,017	
Unrestricted bonus	3,207,133	

Progress in Meeting Performance Standards Under the Current Implementation ContractAs of December 31, 2000

	Meeting Performance Standards for Restricted	Meeting Performance Standards for First Unrestricted	Meeting Performance Standards for Second Unrestricted
	Bonus?	Bonus?	Bonus?
Entered Employment	Yes	Yes	No
Average Wage Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—30 Days	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention —180 Days	Yes	Yes	Yes
Available Health Insurance	Yes	Yes	Yes
Full and Appropriate Engagement	Yes	Yes	Yes
Basic Educational Activities	Yes	Yes	Yes
Faith-Based Contract (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes
Basic Skills/Job Skills (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	No

CASELOAD INFORMATION: Overall, the participant caseload declined 48.6 percent from September 1997 to September 2000.

	September 1997	September 2000	Percentage Change
Cash benefit cases	5,590	1,713	
Case management cases	<u>13</u>	<u>1,169</u>	
Total	5,603	2,882	(48.6%)

SANCTIONS: Employment Solutions sanctioned 469 participants in September 2000. Information on the type of cases sanctioned and average sanction amount is summarized in the following table:

<u>Placement Type</u>	Number of Participants Sanctioned	Average Sanction Amount
Community service job	399	\$414
Transitional placement	65	275
Other	<u>5</u>	231
Total	469	393

FACT-FINDING REVIEWS: Between May 1999 and September 2000, 414 participants requested 528 fact-finding reviews. Information on complaint topics and outcomes is summarized in the following table:

Topic of Complaint	Ruled in Favor of Agency	Ruled in Favor of Participant	Split Decision	Request Resolved	Request <u>Dismissed</u>	Request Withdrawn
Employment	71	12	30	5	86	264
Child care	4	3	2	0	8	6
Emergency assistance	4	0	0	0	0	4
Job access loan	1	1	0	0	4	13
24-month extension	_3	_0	_0	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	_2
Total	83	16	32	6	102	289

MILWAUKEE COUNTY—MAXIMUS, INC.

AGENCY: Maximus, Inc., a private for-profit organization

SERVICE DELIVERY AREA: Milwaukee County Region 6

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE INITIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

Maximus spent \$54.8 million under the initial implementation contract, of which the agency reports \$4.6 million (8.4 percent) was subcontracted service expenditures. As a result of unexpended initial implementation contract funding, the agency received profits of \$4.4 million and community reinvestment funds of \$0.6 million.

Initial Implementation Contract Expenditures

September 1997 through December 1999

	Expenditures	Percentage of Total
Direct Services:		
Work activities	\$11,840,569	21.6%
Eligibility determination	2,957,380	5.4
Case management	1,869,919	3.4
FSET services	1,782,911	3.3
Skills training	1,752,175	3.2
Post-employment services	562,390	1.0
Educational activities	1,339,108	2.5
Subtotal	\$22,104,452	40.4%
Cash Benefits:		
Community service jobs	\$21,494,386	39.2%
Transitional jobs	4,708,635	8.6
Sanctions*	0	0.0
Trial jobs	2,550	<u><0.1</u>
Subtotal	\$26,205,571	47.8%
Administration	\$ 4,342,987	7.9%
Additional Services:		
Additional Milwaukee services**	\$ 1,629,787	3.0%
Contracted child care	228,293	0.4
Job access loans	254,308	0.5
Emergency assistance	0	0.0
Other FSET services	0	0.0
Subtotal	\$ 2,112,388	3.9%
Total	\$54,765,398	100.0%

^{*} Although Milwaukee County agencies did sanction their participants, sanctions are reported as \$0 because the agencies were allowed to retain sanctioned funds from the implementation contract.

Maximus 1-34

^{**} These Milwaukee services include the Job Center Network and MATC Learning Labs.

Maximus reports that it is using its \$4.4 million in unrestricted profits for the following activities:

- \$1,489,000 for payment of expenses that were not billed to DWD under the initial W-2 implementation contract;
- \$1,139,000 for reinvestment in company growth;
- \$792,000 for payment of federal and state taxes owed;
- \$500,000 in donations to 26 community organizations to address issues related to homelessness, emergency food, adult literacy, community business initiatives, domestic violence, and services to youth and first-time mothers; and
- \$485,000 for payment of expenses that were billed to DWD and disallowed under the initial W-2 implementation contract, many of which were reported in our audit of Maximus expenditures in July 2000.

Planned Use of Community Reinvestment Funds Under the Initial Implementation Contract

Supplement to W-2 and related programs	\$232,228
Child care resource and referral services	80,000
Rent subsidies to refugees newly enrolled in the W-2 program	60,500
Expansion of food pantry services and meal programs	50,000
Expansion of shelter and services available to single women and women with children	50,000
Parenting services to women recently released from incarceration	28,289
Screening and case management services to new parents	25,000
Expansion of services to Southeast Asian residents to resolve problems and improve	
neighborhoods	25,000
Expansion of services that provides job-appropriate interview and work attire for	
women entering the job market	25,000
Outreach and mentoring services to assist families with achieving self-sufficiency	25,000
Expansion of services at a family resource center that provides counseling, parenting	
classes, case management, job readiness assistance, and placement services to men	15,000
Total	\$616,017

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE CURRENT IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

Maximus' current W-2 contract is for \$45.1 million to provide W-2 and related services from January 2000 through December 2001. Between January 2000 and September 2000, \$14,475,753, or 32.1 percent of the contract budget, was spent. An additional \$3.2 million in bonus funds will be available to Maximus if it meets the established performance standards.

Current Implementation Contract Expenditures

January 2000 through September 2000

			Total Expenditures
		Percentage of Total	as a Percentage of
	Expenditures	Expenditures	Contract Budget
Direct Services	\$ 7,196,745	49.7%	
Cash Benefits	5,954,649	41.1	
Administration	917,451	6.4	
Additional Services	406,908	2.8	
Total	\$14,475,753	100.0%	32.1%

1-35 Maximus

Available after December 31, 2001

Total Performance Bonus Funds Available:		\$3,155,863
Restricted bonus	\$1,352,513	
Unrestricted bonuses	1,803,350	
Projected Bonus Based on Performance Standards through December 2000:		\$2,554,746
Restricted bonus	\$1,352,513	
Unrestricted bonuses	1,202,233	

Progress in Meeting Performance Standards Under the Current Implementation ContractAs of December 31, 2000

	Meeting Performance Standards for Restricted Bonus?	Meeting Performance Standards for First Unrestricted Bonus?	Meeting Performance Standards for Second Unrestricted Bonus?
Entered Employment	Yes	Yes	No
Average Wage Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—30 Days	Yes	Yes	No
Job Retention—180 Days	Yes	Yes	No
Health Insurance Benefits	Yes	Yes	Yes
Full and Appropriate Engagement	Yes	Yes	Yes
Basic Educational Activities	Yes	No	No
Faith-Based Contract (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes
Basic Skills/Job Skills (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	No

CASELOAD INFORMATION: Overall, the participant caseload declined 44.4 percent from September 1997 to September 2000.

	September 1997	September 2000	Percentage Change
Cash benefit cases	2,854	1,068	
Case management cases	7	_524	
Total	2,861	1,592	(44.4%)

SANCTIONS: Maximus sanctioned 163 participants in September 2000. Information on the type of cases sanctioned and average sanction amount is summarized in the following table:

Placement Type	Number of Participants Sanctioned	Average Sanction Amount
Community service job	123	\$447
Transitional placement	36	347
Other	4	134
Total	163	417

Maximus 1-36

FACT-FINDING REVIEWS: Between May 1999 and September 2000, 132 participants requested 170 fact-finding reviews. Information on complaint topics and outcomes is summarized in the following table:

Topic of Complaint	Ruled in Favor of <u>Agency</u>	Ruled in Favor of Participant	Split <u>Decision</u>	Request Resolved	Request <u>Dismissed</u>	Request Withdrawn
Employment	36	22	1	19	23	50
Child care	1	0	0	1	0	2
Emergency assistance	3	1	0	0	0	1
Job access loan	2	2	0	0	1	3
24-month extension	_0	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	_0	_0
Total	42	26	1	21	24	56

1-37 Maximus

MILWAUKEE COUNTY—OPPORTUNITIES INDUSTRIALIZATION CENTER OF GREATER MILWAUKEE

AGENCY: Opportunities Industrialization Center of Greater Milwaukee (OIC-GM), a private nonprofit organization

SERVICE DELIVERY AREA: Milwaukee County Region 3

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE INITIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

OIC-GM spent \$50.8 million under the initial implementation contract, of which \$4.9 million (9.6 percent) was subcontracted service expenditures. As a result of unexpended initial implementation contract funding, the agency received profits of \$4.6 million and community reinvestment funds of \$2.0 million.

Initial Implementation Contract Expenditures

September 1997 through December 1999

	Expenditures	Percentage of Total
Direct Services:		
Work activities	\$15,237,527	30.0%
Eligibility determination	1,613,408	3.2
Case management	37,307	0.1
FSET services	201,344	0.4
Skills training	476,884	0.9
Post-employment services	641,924	1.2
Educational activities	593,336	_1.2
Subtotal	\$18,801,730	37.0%
Cash Benefits:		
Community service jobs	\$23,705,362	46.7%
Transitional jobs	3,401,383	6.7
Sanctions*	0	0.0
Trial jobs	25,419	< 0.1
Subtotal	\$27,132,164	53.4%
Administration	\$ 2,723,585	5.4%
Additional Services:		
Additional Milwaukee services**	\$ 684,870	1.3%
Contracted child care	1,218,274	2.4
Job access loans	249,829	0.5
Emergency assistance	0	0.0
Other FSET services	0	0.0
Subtotal	\$ 2,152,973	4.2%
Total	\$50,810,452	100.0%

^{*} Although Milwaukee County agencies did sanction their participants, sanctions are reported as \$0 because the agencies were allowed to retain sanctioned funds from the implementation contract.

OIC-GM 1-38

^{**} These Milwaukee services include the MATC Learning Labs and funding for facilities that house county workers who determine eligibility for the Medical Assistance, Food Stamp, and Wisconsin Shares Child Care Subsidy programs.

OIC-GM reports that it is using its \$4.6 million in unrestricted profits to purchase a cellular communication business located in central-city Milwaukee and to develop a food service program to provide meals to child care providers, after-school programs, and other community meal programs. In addition, OIC-GM reports that it is collaborating with Manpower International and Wisconsin Electric Power to develop a computer technology academy for middle school and high school age youth, with the goal of increasing academic achievement and expanding career opportunities.

Planned Use of Community Reinvestment Funds Under the Initial Implementation Contract

Housing services for families in crisis, including rent, security deposits, utility	
payments, telephone service, and personal care, not to exceed 4 months in duration	\$ 325,000
Personnel, operational, and administrative costs associated with implementing	
community reinvestment-funded projects	315,135
Support services for individuals eligible for occupational skills training	300,000
Contribution to Milwaukee County Department of Human Services for 2001 funding of	
services to prevent out-of-home placements of juveniles, shelter and services for	
homeless families, and services to developmentally disabled infants and toddlers and	
their families	300,000
Enrichment services to youth, including job mentoring, work experience, tutorial	
sessions, computer courses, and field trips	250,000
Education and training services for non-custodial parents	125,000
Funding for Sullivan and Teutonia Job Centers	102,595
Training program in lead-abatement rehabilitation	50,000
Counseling services for families entering the work environment	50,000
AODA and mental health counseling for families	50,000
Coordination of local food pantries	25,000
Transportation services for employed families	25,000
Nonrecurring services, including vouchers for food, clothing, and other emergencies	25,000
Financial education services related to credit, budgeting, and savings	21,740
Unknown	24
Total	\$1,964,494

1-39 OIC-GM

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE CURRENT IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

OIC-GM's current W-2 contract is for \$47.1 million to provide W-2 and related services from January 2000 through December 2001. Between January 2000 and September 2000, \$15,862,666, or 33.7 percent of the contract budget, was spent. An additional \$3.3 million in bonus funds will be available to OIC-GM if it meets established performance standards.

Current Implementation Contract Expenditures

January 2000 through September 2000

			Total Expenditures
		Percentage of Total	as a Percentage of
	Expenditures	Expenditures	Contract Budget
Direct Services	\$ 8,174,106	51.5%	
Cash Benefits	5,517,794	34.8	
Administration	1,045,549	6.6	
Additional Services	1,125,217	<u>7.1</u>	
Total	\$15,862,666	100.0%	33.7%

Potential Bonus Funds Under the Current Implementation Contract

Available after December 31, 2001

Total Performance Bonus Funds Available:		<u>\$3,299,809</u>
Restricted bonus	\$1,414,204	
Unrestricted bonuses	1,885,605	
Projected Bonus Based on Performance Standards through December 2000:		\$2,828,407
Restricted bonus	\$1,414,204	
Unrestricted bonuses	1,414,203	

Progress in Meeting Performance Standards Under the Current Implementation ContractAs of December 31, 2000

		Meeting	Meeting	Meeting
		Performance	Performance	Performance
		Standards for	Standards for First	Standards for
		Restricted	Unrestricted	Second Unrestricted
		Bonus?	Bonus?	Bonus?
Entered I	Employment	Yes	Yes	No
Average	Wage Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Reter	ntion—30 Days	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Reter	ntion—180 Days	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health In	surance Benefits	Yes	Yes	Yes
Full and	Appropriate Engagement	Yes	Yes	No
Basic Ed	ucational Activities	Yes	Yes	No
Faith-Bas	sed Contract (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes
Basic Sk	ills/Job Skills (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	No

OIC-GM 1-40

CASELOAD INFORMATION: Overall, the participant caseload declined 40.5 percent from September 1997 to September 2000.

	September 1997	September 2000	Percentage Change
Cash benefit cases	2,771	1,082	
Case management cases	<u>12</u>	<u>573</u>	
Total	2,783	1,655	(40.5%)

SANCTIONS: OIC-GM sanctioned 256 participants in September 2000. Information on the type of cases sanctioned and average sanction amount is summarized in the following table:

<u>Placement Type</u>	Number of Participants Sanctioned	Average Sanction Amount
Community service job	171	\$397
Transitional placement	82	254
Other	3	403
Total	256	351

FACT-FINDING REVIEWS: Between May 1999 and September 2000, 288 participants requested 370 fact-finding reviews. Information on complaint topics and outcomes is summarized in the following table:

Topic of Complaint	Ruled in Favor of Agency	Ruled in Favor of <u>Participant</u>	Split Decision	Request Resolved	Request <u>Dismissed</u>	Request Withdrawn
Employment	44	105	6	22	60	69
Child care	7	8	0	3	4	6
Emergency assistance	2	1	0	1	2	1
Job access loan	0	3	0	0	2	1
24-month extension	<u>4</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>0</u>	_0	<u>3</u>	_1
Total	57	132	6	26	71	78

1-41 OIC-GM

MILWAUKEE COUNTY— UNITED MIGRANT OPPORTUNITY SERVICES, INC.

AGENCY: United Migrant Opportunity Services, Inc. (UMOS), a private nonprofit organization

SERVICE DELIVERY AREA: Milwaukee County Region 2

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE INITIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

UMOS spent \$42.7 million under the initial implementation contract, of which \$3.9 million (9.1 percent) was subcontracted service expenditures. As a result of unexpended initial implementation contract funding, the agency received profits of \$4.3 million and community reinvestment funds of \$2.7 million.

Initial Implementation Contract Expenditures

September 1997 through December 1999

	Expenditures	Percentage of Total
Direct Services:		
Work activities	\$ 6,041,521	14.2%
Eligibility determination	2,061,652	4.8
Case management	8,637,578	20.2
FSET services	198,246	0.5
Skills training	1,587,688	3.7
Post-employment services	933,377	2.2
Educational activities	<u>696,014</u>	<u>1.6</u>
Subtotal	\$20,156,076	47.2%
Cash Benefits:		
Community service jobs	15,125,798	35.4
Transitional jobs	3,549,757	8.3
Sanctions*	0	0.0
Trial jobs	86,641	<u>0.2</u>
Subtotal	\$18,762,196	43.9%
Administration	\$ 2,353,868	5.5%
Additional Services:		
Additional Milwaukee services**	684,870	1.6
Contracted child care	645,541	1.5
Job access loans	88,426	0.2
Emergency assistance	0	0.0
Other FSET services	39,352	0.1
Subtotal	<u>\$ 1,458,189</u>	3.4%
Total	\$42,730,329	100.0%

^{*} Although Milwaukee County agencies did sanction their participants, sanctions are reported as \$0 because the agencies were allowed to retain sanctioned funds from the implementation contract.

UMOS 1-42

^{**} These Milwaukee services include the MATC Learning Labs and funding for facilities that house county workers who determine eligibility for the Medical Assistance, Food Stamp, and Wisconsin Shares Child Care Subsidy programs.

UMOS reports that it used its \$4.3 million in unrestricted profits for the following activities:

- \$3.2 million to establish a reserve account from which one-half of the earnings may be used annually to fund needed charitable services that are consistent with the UMOS mission, and for which public funds do not exist;
- \$668,000 invested in a money market fund to meet future agency operating needs;
- \$411,000 to retire two mortgages for buildings used for operations; and
- \$39,000 to purchase a vacant lot in the city of Milwaukee for future development, potentially for low-income housing.

Planned Use of Community Reinvestment Funds Under the Initial Implementation Contract

Supplement W-2 and related programs	\$1,534,452
AODA, mental health, family violence, and legal services to assist participants with succeeding in the workplace	723,170
Outreach to churches to increase awareness of services provided by UMOS and other	
community organizations	142,000
Outreach provided by the Hunger Task Force to increase awareness about the food	
stamp program	65,000
Community outreach to increase public awareness of programs and services offered	
through W-2 and Milwaukee Job Centers	62,379
Administration of community reinvestment funded projects	56,931
Employment training and basic education services to non-custodial parents	50,000
Child care transportation services	25,000
Total	\$2,658,932

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE CURRENT IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

UMOS's current W-2 contract is for \$37.0 million to provide W-2 and related services from January 2000 through December 2001. Between January 2000 and September 2000, \$14,685,437, or 39.7 percent of the contract budget, was spent. An additional \$2.6 million in bonus funds will be available to UMOS if it meets established performance standards.

Current Implementation Contract Expenditures

January 2000 through September 2000

			Total Expenditures as
		Percentage of Total	a Percentage of
	Expenditures	Expenditures	Contract Budget
Direct Services	\$ 8,250,457	56.2%	
Cash Benefits	4,526,200	30.8	
Administration	1,411,041	9.6	
Additional Services	497,739	3.4	
Total	\$14,685,437	100.0%	39.7%

1-43 UMOS

${\bf Potential\ Bonus\ Funds\ Under\ the\ Current\ Implementation\ Contract}$

Available after December 31, 2001

Total Performance Bonus Funds Available:		\$2,591,908
Restricted bonus	\$1,110,818	
Unrestricted bonuses	1,481,090	
Projected Bonus Based on Performance Standards through December 2000:		\$2,036,499
Restricted bonus	\$1,110,818	
Unrestricted bonuses	925,681	

Progress in Meeting Performance Standards Under the Current Implementation ContractAs of December 31, 2000

	Meeting Performance Standards for Restricted	Meeting Performance Standards for First Unrestricted	Meeting Performance Standards for Second Unrestricted
	Bonus?	Bonus?	Bonus?
Entered Employment	Yes	No	No
Average Wage Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—30 Days	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—180 Days	Yes	Yes	No
Health Insurance Benefits	Yes	Yes	Yes
Full and Appropriate Engagement	Yes	Yes	No
Basic Educational Activities	Yes	Yes	No
Faith-Based Contract (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes
Basic Skills/Job Skills (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	No

CASELOAD INFORMATION: Overall, the participant caseload declined 37.8 percent from September 1997 to September 2000.

	September 1997	September 2000	Percentage Change
Cash benefit cases	2,316	844	
Case management cases	0	<u>597</u>	
Total	2,316	1,441	(37.8%)

SANCTIONS: UMOS sanctioned 145 participants in September 2000. Information on the type of cases sanctioned and average sanction amount is summarized in the following table:

<u>Placement Type</u>	Number of Participants Sanctioned	Average Sanction Amount
Community service job	105	\$394
Transitional placement	39	293
Other	_1	673
Total	145	369

UMOS 1-44

FACT-FINDING REVIEWS: Between May 1999 and September 2000, 63 participants requested 73 fact-finding reviews. Information on complaint topics and outcomes is summarized in the following table:

Topic of Complaint	Ruled in Favor of Agency	Ruled in Favor of <u>Participant</u>	Split <u>Decision</u>	Request Resolved	Request <u>Dismissed</u>	Request Withdrawn
Employment	9	16	1	11	6	25
Child care	0	0	0	0	0	0
Emergency assistance	2	1	0	0	0	0
Job access loan	2	0	0	0	0	0
24-month extension	_0	_0	0	_0	<u>0</u>	_0
Total	13	17	1	11	6	25

1-45 UMOS

MILWAUKEE COUNTY—YW WORKS

AGENCY: YW Works is a private nonprofit organization. During the initial implementation contract period, the YWCA of Greater Milwaukee was the managing member of a limited-liability corporation, YW Works, that included two for-profit organizations: CNR Health, Inc., and Kaiser Group, Inc. Since January 1, 2000, YW Works has been a nonprofit limited-liability corporation wholly owned by the YWCA of Greater Milwaukee.

SERVICE DELIVERY AREA: Milwaukee County Region 1

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE INITIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

YW Works spent \$33.4 million under the initial implementation contract, of which the agency reports \$1.3 million (3.9 percent) was subcontracted service expenditures. As a result of unexpended initial implementation contract funding, the agency received profits of \$3.4 million and community reinvestment funds of \$2.2 million.

Initial Implementation Contract Expenditures

September 1997 through December 1999

	Expenditures	Percentage of Total
Direct Services:		
Work activities	\$ 9,317,049	27.9%
Eligibility determination	1,164,278	3.5
Case management	495,758	1.5
FSET services	480,868	1.4
Skills training	1,012,462	3.0
Post-employment services	1,662,912	5.0
Educational activities	93,173	0.3
Subtotal	\$14,226,500	42.6%
Cash Benefits:		
Community service jobs	\$13,191,722	39.5%
Transitional jobs	2,162,030	6.5
Sanctions*	0	0.0
Trial jobs	39,578	<u>0.1</u>
Subtotal	\$15,393,330	46.1%
Administration	\$ 2,625,849	7.9%
Additional Services:		
Additional Milwaukee services**	\$ 723,959	2.2%
Contracted child care	218,245	0.7
Job access loans	138,776	0.4
Emergency assistance	0	0.0
Other FSET services	<u>45,974</u>	<u>0.1</u>
Subtotal	<u>\$ 1,126,954</u>	3.4%
Total	\$33,372,633	100.0%

^{*} Although Milwaukee County agencies did sanction their participants, sanctions are reported as \$0 because all the agencies were allowed to retain sanctioned funds from the implementation contract.

YW Works 1-46

^{**} These Milwaukee services include the MATC Learning Labs and funding for facilities that house county workers who determine eligibility for the Medical Assistance, Food Stamp, and Wisconsin Shares Child Care Subsidy programs.

YW Works reports that it shared its \$3.4 million in unrestricted profits equally among the three agencies that created it: the YWCA of Greater Milwaukee, CNR Health, and Kaiser Group. The share of profits earned by the YWCA of Greater Milwaukee was used to fund administrative, capital, and program expenses not covered by other funding sources. According to YW Works, the profits were invested in the following activities:

- Generation 2 Plastics, a plastics processing company managed by the YWCA of Greater Milwaukee, which serves as a workplace skills training center;
- the Nontraditional Employment Training program, operated by the YWCA of Greater Milwaukee, which provides orientation, screening and assessment, training, support services, and resource and referral into nontraditional skilled trade careers;
- management services to promote women-owned businesses;
- the Creative Workshop, which teaches job skills in the textile industry;
- the Vel Phillips Center, a community facility offering GED and adult basic skills programs, youth programs, and older adult programs;
- capital to pay off credit lines used to fund the development of affordable housing programs and other programs; and
- acquisition of additional transitional housing

The shares of profits earned by CNR Health and Kaiser Group were not reported by YW Works.

Planned Use of Community Reinvestment Funds Under the Initial Implementation Contract

Emergency assistance, including cash grants and related services to families facing	
eviction, emergency food boxes, and AODA non-medical treatment	\$ 255,000
Expansion of the number of community service job sites that provide educational services	228,671
Services to assist families with maintaining housing	216,000
Services to educate families regarding life management skills	203,865
Increasing the availability of customized job training programs for W-2 participants	189,186
Expansion of local food pantry operations	55,000
Balance of funds available, for which YW Works is seeking DWD approval	1,038,604
Total	\$2,186,326

1-47 YW Works

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE CURRENT IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

YW Works' current W-2 contract is for \$36.5 million to provide W-2 and related services from January 2000 through December 2001. Between January 2000 and September 2000, \$12,157,286, or 33.3 percent of the contract budget, was spent. An additional \$2.6 million in bonus funds will be available to YW Works if it meets established performance standards.

Current Implementation Contract Expenditures

January 2000 through September 2000

			Total Expenditures as
		Percentage of Total	a Percentage of
	Expenditures	Expenditures	Contract Budget
Direct Services	\$ 6,943,152	57.1%	
Cash Benefits	3,815,679	31.4	
Administration	1,259,097	10.4	
Additional Services	139,358	<u>1.1</u>	
Total	\$12,157,286	100.0%	33.3%

Potential Bonus Funds Under the Current Implementation Contract

Available after December 31, 2001

Total Possible Performance Bonus Funds Available:		\$2,551,633
Restricted bonus	\$1,093,557	
Unrestricted bonuses	1,458,076	
Projected Bonus Based on Performance Standards through December 2000:		\$2,308,620
Restricted bonus	\$1,093,557	
Unrestricted bonuses	1,215,063	

Progress in Meeting Performance Standards Under the Current Implementation Contract As of December 31, 2000

	Meeting	Meeting	Meeting
	Performance	Performance	Performance
	Standards for	Standards for First	Standards for
	Restricted	Unrestricted	Second Unrestricted
	Bonus?	Bonus?	Bonus?
Entered Employment	Yes	Yes	Yes
Average Wage Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—30 Days	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—180 Days	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health Insurance Benefits	Yes	Yes	Yes
Full and Appropriate Engagement	Yes	Yes	No
Basic Educational Activities	Yes	Yes	No
Faith-Based Contract (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes
Basic Skills/Job Skills (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	No

YW Works 1-48

CASELOAD INFORMATION: Overall, the participant caseload declined 45.9 percent from September 1997 to September 2000.

	September 1997	September 2000	Percentage Change
Cash benefit cases	1,849	609	
Case management cases	2	<u>393</u>	
Total	1,851	1,002	(45.9%)

SANCTIONS: YW Works sanctioned 307 participants in September 2000. Information on the type of cases sanctioned and average sanction amount is summarized in the following table:

Placement Type	Number of Participants Sanctioned	Average Sanction Amount
Community service job	196	\$308
Transitional placement	106	295
Other	<u>_ 5</u>	148
Total	307	301

FACT-FINDING REVIEWS: Between May 1999 and September 2000, 78 participants requested 97 fact-finding reviews. Information on complaint topics and outcomes is summarized in the following table:

Topic of Complaint	Ruled in Favor of Agency	Ruled in Favor of Participant	Split Decision	Request Resolved	Request <u>Dismissed</u>	Request Withdrawn
Employment	16	19	10	7	8	26
Child care	0	1	0	1	4	0
Emergency assistance	0	1	0	0	0	1
Job access loan	0	0	0	2	1	0
24-month extension	_0	_0	_0	_0	_0	_0
Totals	16	21	10	10	13	27

1-49 YW Works

MONROE COUNTY

AGENCY: Monroe County Department of Human Services (September 1997-December 1999) Workforce Connections, Inc., a private nonprofit organization (January 2000-present)

SERVICE DELIVERY AREA: Monroe County

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE INITIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

The Monroe County Department of Human Services spent \$1.3 million under the initial implementation contract, of which \$728,000 (56.0 percent) was subcontracted service expenditures. As a result of unexpended implementation contract funding, the agency received profits of \$520,000 and community reinvestment funds of \$1.1 million.

Initial Implementation Contract Expenditures

September 1997 through December 1999

	<u>Expenditures</u>	Percentage of Total
Direct Services:		
Work activities	\$ 189,371	14.1%
Eligibility determination	283,969	21.1
Case management	2,408	0.2
FSET services	56,808	4.2
Skills training	104,211	7.8
Post-employment services	1,386	0.1
Educational activities	<u>76,274</u>	5.7
Subtotal	\$ 714,427	53.2%
Cash Benefits:		
Community service jobs	\$ 205,499	15.3%
Transitional jobs	102,859	7.7
Sanctions*	11,071	0.8
Trial jobs	2,223	0.2
Subtotal	\$ 321,652	24.0%
Administration	\$ 261,298	19.4%
Additional Services:		
Contracted child care	\$ 0	0.0%
Job access loans	27,776	2.0
Emergency assistance	18,455	1.4
Other FSET services	0	0.0
Subtotal	<u>\$ 46,231</u>	3.4%
Total	\$1,343,608	100.0%

^{*} Except in Milwaukee County, sanctions of participants' grants were counted as expenditures and were charged against an agency's contract. Milwaukee agencies retained all sanctioned funds from the implementation contract.

The Monroe County Department of Human Services reported that it is using its \$520,000 in unrestricted profits for the following activities:

- \$288,000 has not been budgeted for a specific purpose;
- \$219,000 for the enhancement of its computer network, including the purchase of integrated information and accounting system hardware and software, and for training and conversion to implement the new system; and
- \$13,000 for the purchase of office furniture.

Planned Use of Community Reinvestment Funds Under the Initial Implementation Contract

Services and outreach to adolescents and families through the Boys and Girls Clubs		
of Sparta and Tomah	\$	163,616
Parent education and support resources		156,000
Emergency shelter and support services for homeless families		109,299
Teen health services		101,121
Domestic abuse services		91,873
Mentoring program for at-risk youth		70,540
Prescriptions and ancillary health care supplies		65,000
After-school services and activities for teens to build self esteem, encourage		
success in school, and develop role models		59,000
Transportation/cab services for eligible families		55,000
Services to prevent out-of-home placements or reunify families in foster care		
system		50,000
School supplies for low-income students		35,000
Services and activities to reduce or prevent gang involvement among teens		30,000
Meal program through Sparta United Methodist Church		23,400
Employment loans		20,000
Child development services and materials for child care providers		16,540
Start-up funding to develop second shift child care services		15,000
Transportation grant matching funds to assist employers and individuals with		
transportation barriers	_	10,000
Total	\$1	,071,389

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE CURRENT IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

Workforce Connections' W-2 contract is for \$1.4 million to provide W-2 and related services from January 2000 through December 2001. Between January 2000 and September 2000, \$407,793, or 29.1 percent of the contract budget, was spent. An additional \$98,000 in bonus funds will be available to Workforce Connections if it meets established performance standards.

Current Implementation Contract Expenditures

January 2000 through September 2000

			Total Expenditures
		Percentage of Total	as a Percentage of
	Expenditures	Expenditures	Contract Budget
Direct Services	\$267,525	65.6%	
Cash Benefits	91,439	22.4	
Administration	34,932	8.6	
Additional Services	13,897	3.4	
Total	\$407,793	100.0%	29.1%

Potential Bonus Funds Under the Current Implementation Contract

Available after December 31, 2001

Total Possible Performance Bonus Funds Available:		\$97,553
Restricted bonus	\$41,808	
Unrestricted bonuses	55,745	
Projected Bonus Based on Performance Standards through December 2000:		\$95,229
Restricted bonus	\$41,808	
Unrestricted bonuses	53,421	

Progress in Meeting Performance Standards Under the Current Implementation ContractAs of December 31, 2000

	Meeting	Meeting	
	Performance	Performance	Meeting Performance
	Standards for	Standards for First	Standards for
	Restricted	Unrestricted	Second Unrestricted
	Bonus?	Bonus?	Bonus?
Entered Employment	Yes	Yes	Yes
Average Wage Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—30 Days	Yes	Yes	No
Job Retention—180 Days	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health Insurance Benefits	Yes	Yes	Yes
Full and Appropriate Engagement	Yes	Yes	Yes
Basic Educational Activities	Yes	Yes	Yes
Faith-Based Contract (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes
Basic Skills/Job Skills (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	No

CASELOAD INFORMATION: Overall, the participant caseload declined 44.9 percent from September 1997 to September 2000.

	September 1997	September 2000	Percentage Change
Cash benefit cases	78	23	
Case management cases	_0	<u>20</u>	
Total	78	43	(44.9%)

SANCTIONS: Workforce Connections sanctioned five community service job participants for an average of \$164 in September 2000.

FACT-FINDING REVIEWS: Between May 1999 and September 2000, no participants requested fact-finding reviews.

PRICE COUNTY

AGENCY: Price County Human Services Department

SERVICE DELIVERY AREA: Price County

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE INITIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

The Price County Human Services Department spent \$784,000 under the initial implementation contract, of which the agency reports \$0 was subcontracted service expenditures. As a result of unexpended initial implementation contract funding, the agency received profits of \$142,000 and community reinvestment funds of \$229,000.

Initial Implementation Contract Expenditures

September 1997 through December 1999

	<u>Expenditures</u>	Percentage of Total
Direct Services:		
Work activities	\$104,768	13.4%
Eligibility determination	218,149	27.8
Case management	37,888	4.8
FSET services	46,949	6.0
Skills training	16,473	2.1
Post-employment services	5,094	0.6
Educational activities	<u>108,056</u>	13.8
Subtotal	\$537,377	68.5%
Cash Benefits:		
Community service jobs	\$ 38,708	4.9%
Transitional jobs	29,522	3.8
Sanctions*	633	0.1
Trial jobs	2,372	0.3
Subtotal	\$ 71,235	9.1%
Administration	\$ 60,772	7.8%
Additional Services:		
Contracted child care	\$ 0	0.0%
Job access loans	5,575	0.7
Emergency assistance	750	0.1
Other FSET services	107,909	13.8
Subtotal	<u>\$114,234</u>	14.6%
Total	\$783,618	100.0%

^{*} Except in Milwaukee County, sanctions of participants' grants were counted as expenditures and were charged against an agency's contract. Milwaukee agencies retained all sanctioned funds from the implementation contract.

The Price County Human Services Department reports that it used \$32,000 of its \$142,000 in unrestricted profits to offset the 1999 county tax levy. As of March 2000, the Price County Board had not finalized plans for use of the remaining funds.

Planned Use of Community Reinvestment Funds Under the Initial Implementation Contract

Training to increase employability or assist with job advancement	\$ 88,235
Youth activities	29,412
Employment support services, including one-time purchase of clothing or tools,	
licensing fees, interview or relocation expenses, and case management services	23,530
AODA and mental health counseling	23,529
Supplement to W-2 and related programs	17,647
Emergency assistance for domestic violence victims	16,968
Transportation assistance	15,294
Transportation grant matching funds	8,235
Job access loans	5,882
Total	\$228,732

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE CURRENT IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

The Price County Human Services Department's current contract is for \$682,000 to provide W-2 and related services from January 2000 through December 2001. Between January 2000 and September 2000, \$235,349, or 34.5 percent of the contract budget, was spent. An additional \$47,700 in bonus funds will be available to the Price County Human Services Department if it meets established performance standards.

Current Implementation Contract Expenditures

January 2000 through September 2000

			Total Expenditures
		Percentage of Total	as a Percentage of
	Expenditures	Expenditures	Contract Budget
Direct Services	\$140,503	59.7%	
Cash Benefits	23,775	10.1	
Administration	8,896	3.8	
Additional Services	62,175	<u>26.4</u>	
Total	\$235,349	100.0%	34.5%

Potential Bonus Funds Under the Current Implementation Contract

Available after December 31, 2001

Total Possible Performance Bonus Funds Available:		\$47,741
Restricted bonus	\$20,460	
Unrestricted bonuses	27,281	
Projected Bonus Based on Performance Standards through December 2000:		\$40,920
Restricted bonus	\$20,460	
Unrestricted bonuses	20,460	

1-55 Price County

Progress in Meeting Performance Standards Under the Current Implementation ContractAs of December 31, 2000

	Meeting	Meeting	
	Performance	Performance	Meeting Performance
	Standards for	Standards for First	Standards for
	Restricted	Unrestricted	Second Unrestricted
	Bonus?	Bonus?	Bonus?
Entered Employment	Yes	Yes	Yes
Average Wage Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—30 Days	Yes	No	No
Job Retention—180 Days	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health Insurance Benefits	Yes	Yes	Yes
Full and Appropriate Engagement	Yes	Yes	No
Basic Educational Activities	Yes	Yes	No
Faith-Based Contract (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes
Basic Skills/Job Skills (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	No

CASELOAD INFORMATION: Overall, the participant caseload declined 81.5 percent from September 1997 to September 2000.

	September 1997	September 2000	Percentage Change
Cash benefit cases	20	4	
Case management cases	<u>_7</u>	<u>1</u>	
Total	27	5	(81.5%)

SANCTIONS: The Price County Human Services Department sanctioned one transitional placement participant for \$628 in September 2000.

FACT-FINDING REVIEWS: Between May 1999 and September 2000, one participant requested a fact-finding review related to employment. The case was resolved in favor of the agency.

ROCK COUNTY

AGENCY: Rock County Human Services Department

SERVICE DELIVERY AREA: Rock County

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE INITIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

The Rock County Human Services Department spent \$7.6 million under the initial implementation contract, of which the agency reports \$1.6 million (21.1 percent) was subcontracted service expenditures. As a result of unexpended initial implementation contract funding, the agency received profits of \$2.6 million and community reinvestment funds of \$5.1 million.

Initial Implementation Contract Expenditures

September 1997 through December 1999

	<u>Expenditures</u>	Percentage of Total
Direct Services:		
Work activities	\$1,341,482	17.7%
Eligibility determination	2,328,618	30.8
Case management	404,345	5.3
FSET services	418,275	5.5
Skills training	231,314	3.1
Post-employment services	44,799	0.6
Educational activities	<u>172,587</u>	2.3
Subtotal	\$4,941,420	65.3%
Cash Benefits:		
Community service jobs	\$ 814,114	10.8%
Transitional jobs	390,058	5.2
Sanctions	55,844	0.7
Trial jobs	4,822	<u>0.1</u>
Subtotal	\$1,264,838	16.8%
Administration	\$1,117,437	14.8%
Additional Services:		
Contracted child care	\$ O	0.0%
Job access loans	116,628	1.5
Emergency assistance	122,996	1.6
Other FSET services	2,398	<u><0.1</u>
Subtotal	<u>\$ 242,022</u>	3.1%
Total	\$7,565,717	100.0%

^{*} Except in Milwaukee County, sanctions of participants' grants were counted as expenditures and were charged against an agency's contract. Milwaukee agencies retained all sanctioned funds from the implementation contract.

The Rock County Human Services Department reports that it used its \$2.6 million in unrestricted profits to offset the 1999, 2000, and 2001 county tax levy for the county human services budget.

Planned Use of Community Reinvestment Funds Under the Initial Implementation Contract

Supplement to W-2 and related programs	\$1,729,795
Youth services, including family preservation and family treatment services	748,401
Child protective services, including family preservation and reunification services	696,741
Employee retention and advancement	460,000
Emergency rental assistance, security deposits, and first month's rent	280,000
Emergency energy assistance	263,726
Mental health and AODA services for families	169,746
Legal representation to assist individuals with eliminating employment barriers	150,000
Outreach and coordination of churches to assist with meeting community needs	125,000
Loan program to purchase vehicles or other items necessary for access to employment	100,000
Transportation services, including bus tokens, gas vouchers, taxi rides for	,
emergencies, car repairs, licensing, and insurance	99,440
Expansion of bus services	75,140
Individual development accounts for home purchase, small business start-up, or	
post-secondary education	42,000
Basic skills education	35,812
Before- and after-school child care services	33,226
Literacy services to increase basic skills and literacy levels	25,014
Drop-in child care services for residents of the domestic violence center	23,630
Case management and mentoring services for victims of domestic violence	10,000
Parenting and life skills training	3,625
Total	\$5,071,296

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE CURRENT IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

Rock County Human Services Department's current W-2 contract is for \$4.7 million to provide W-2 and related services from January 2000 through December 2001. Between January 2000 and September 2000, \$2,244,993, or 47.8 percent of the contract budget, was spent. An additional \$329,000 in bonus funds will be available to the Rock County Human Services Department if it meets established performance standards.

Current Implementation Contract Expenditures

January 2000 through September 2000

	<u>Expenditures</u>	Percentage of Total Expenditures	as a Percentage of <u>Contract Budget</u>
Direct Services	\$1,496,031	66.6%	
Cash Benefits	414,024	18.4	
Administration	221,265	9.9	
Additional Services	113,673	5.1	
Total	\$2,244,993	100.0%	47.8%

Potential Bonus Funds Under the Current Implementation Contract

Available after December 31, 2001

Total Possible Performance Bonus Funds Available:		\$328,780
Restricted bonus	\$140,906	
Unrestricted bonuses	187,874	
Projected Bonus Based on Performance Standards through December 2000:		\$242,671
Restricted bonus	\$140,906	
Unrestricted bonuses	101,765	

Progress in Meeting Performance Standards Under the Current Implementation ContractAs of December 31, 2000

	Meeting Performance Standards for Restricted Bonus?	Meeting Performance Standards for First Unrestricted Bonus?	Meeting Performance Standards for Second Unrestricted Bonus?
Entered Employment	Yes	Yes	No
Average Wage Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—30 Days	Yes	Yes	No
Job Retention—180 Days	Yes	No	No
Health Insurance Benefits	Yes	Yes	No
Full and Appropriate Engagement	Yes	Yes	Yes
Basic Educational Activities	Yes	No	No
Faith-Based Contract (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes
Basic Skills/Job Skills (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	No

CASELOAD INFORMATION: Overall, the participant caseload declined 53.9 percent from September 1997 to September 2000.

	September 1997	September 2000	Percentage Change
Cash benefit cases	336	121	
Case management cases	0	<u>34</u>	
Total	336	155	(53.9%)

SANCTIONS: The Rock County Human Services Department sanctioned seven community service job participants for an average of \$365 in September 2000.

Rock County 1-60

FACT-FINDING REVIEWS: Between May 1999 and September 2000, five participants requested five fact-finding reviews. Information on complaint topics and outcomes is summarized in the following table:

Topic of Complaint	Ruled in Favor of Agency	Ruled in Favor of Participant	Request Withdrawn
Employment	2	1	2
Child care	0	0	0
Emergency assistance	0	0	0
Job access loan	0	0	0
24-month extension	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Totals	2	1	2

SAWYER COUNTY

AGENCY: Sawyer County Health and Human Services Department

SERVICE DELIVERY AREA: Sawyer County

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE INITIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

The Sawyer County Health and Human Services Department spent \$798,000 under the initial implementation contract, of which the agency reports \$63,000 (7.9 percent) was subcontracted service expenditures. As a result of unexpended initial implementation contract funding, the agency received profits of \$413,000 and community reinvestment funds of \$893,000.

Initial Implementation Contract Expenditures

September 1997 through December 1999

	Expenditures	Percentage of Total
Direct Services:		
Work activities	\$254,481	31.9%
Eligibility determination	141,579	17.8
Case management	22,590	2.8
FSET services	83,573	10.5
Skills training	251	< 0.1
Post-employment services	48,207	6.0
Educational activities	<u>27,724</u>	3.5
Subtotal	\$578,405	72.5%
Cash Benefits:		
Community service jobs	\$ 63,796	8.0%
Transitional jobs	29,870	3.7
Sanctions*	11,173	1.4
Trial jobs	0	0.0
Subtotal	\$104,839	13.1%
Administration	\$ 83,508	10.5%
Additional Services:		
Contracted child care	\$ 0	0.0%
Job access loans	29,951	3.8
Emergency assistance	1,050	0.1
Other FSET services	0	0.0
Subtotal	<u>\$ 31,001</u>	3.9%
Total	\$797,753	100.0%

^{*} Except in Milwaukee County, sanctions of participants' grants were counted as expenditures and were charged against an agency's contract. Milwaukee agencies retained all sanctioned funds from the implementation contract.

The Sawyer County Health and Human Services Department reports that it used its \$413,000 in unrestricted profits to offset the 2000 county tax levy for community programs.

Planned Use of Community Reinvestment Funds Under the Initial Implementation Contract

Supplement to W-2 and related programs	\$453,559
Parenting aides to work with families involved in the child welfare system	90,362
Intensive supervision services to reduce out-of-home placements for adjudicated delinquents	85,000
In-home family counseling services	58,240
AODA services for women and families	57,915
Lac Courte Oreilles tribal domestic abuse shelter	50,000
Transportation services	30,000
AODA prevention and education services for teens	23,000
Security deposit and rental loan program	20,000
Support group and crisis intervention services for domestic abuse victims	15,000
Revolving loan program for day care providers	10,000
Total	\$893,076

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE CURRENT IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

Sawyer County Health and Human Services Department's current W-2 contract is for \$761,000 to provide W-2 and related services from January 2000 through December 2001. Between January 2000 and September 2000, \$211,258, or 27.8 percent of the contract budget, was spent. An additional \$53,000 in bonus funds will be available to the Sawyer County Health and Human Services Department if it meets established performance standards.

Current Implementation Contract Expenditures

January 2000 through September 2000

			Total Expenditures
		Percentage of Total	as a Percentage of
	Expenditures	Expenditures	Contract Budget
Direct Services	\$177,787	84.2%	
Cash Benefits	15,275	7.2	
Administration	7,618	3.6	
Additional Services	10,578	5.0	
Total	\$211,258	100.0%	27.8%

Potential Bonus Funds Under the Current Implementation Contract

Available after December 31, 2001

Total Possible Performance Bonus Funds Available:		<u>\$53,255</u>
Restricted bonus	\$22,824	
Unrestricted bonuses	30,431	
Projected Bonus Based on Performance Standards through December 2000:		\$43,112
Restricted bonus	\$22,824	
Unrestricted bonuses	20,288	

Progress in Meeting Performance Standards Under the Current Implementation ContractAs of December 31, 2000

	Meeting Performance Standards for Restricted Bonus?	Meeting Performance Standards for First Unrestricted Bonus?	Meeting Performance Standards for Second Unrestricted Bonus?
Entered Employment	Yes	Yes	Yes
Average Wage Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—30 Days	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—180 Days	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health Insurance Benefits	Yes	No	No
Full and Appropriate Engagement	Yes	No	No
Basic Educational Activities	Yes	Yes	Yes
Faith-Based Contract (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes
Basic Skills/Job Skills (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	No

CASELOAD INFORMATION: Overall, the participant caseload declined 86.0 percent from September 1997 to September 2000.

	September 1997	September 2000	Percentage Change
Cash benefit cases	47	3	
Case management cases	<u>10</u>	<u>_5</u>	
Total	57	8	(86.0%)

SANCTIONS: The Sawyer County Human Services Department sanctioned no participants in September 2000.

FACT-FINDING REVIEWS: Between May 1999 and September 2000, no participants requested fact-finding reviews.

VILAS COUNTY

AGENCY: Forward Service Corporation, a private nonprofit organization

SERVICE DELIVERY AREA: Vilas County

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE INITIAL IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

Forward Service Corporation spent \$640,000 under the initial implementation contract, of which the agency reports \$0 on subcontracted service expenditures. As a result of unexpended initial implementation contract funding, the agency received profits of \$102,000 and community reinvestment funds of \$135,000.

Initial Implementation Contract Expenditures

September 1997 through December 1999

	Expenditures	Percentage of Total
Direct Services:		
Work activities	\$237,523	37.1%
Eligibility determination	81,760	12.8
Case management	28,382	4.4
FSET services	76,187	11.9
Skills training	2,890	0.5
Post-employment services	976	0.2
Educational activities	<u>764</u>	<u>0.1</u>
Subtotal	\$428,482	67.0%
Cash Benefits:		
Community service jobs	\$ 68,256	10.7%
Transitional jobs	71,949	11.2
Sanctions*	72	< 0.1
Trial jobs	0	0.0
Subtotal	\$140,277	21.9%
Administration	\$ 70,544	11.0%
Additional Services:		
Contracted child care	\$ 0	0.0%
Job access loans	0	0.0
Emergency assistance	130	< 0.1
Other FSET services	<u>768</u>	<u>0.1</u>
Subtotal	<u>\$ 898</u>	0.1%
Total	\$640,201	100.0%

^{*} Except in Milwaukee County, sanctions of participants' grants were counted as expenditures and were charged against an agency's contract. Milwaukee agencies retained all sanctioned funds from the implementation contract.

Vilas County 1-66

Forward Service Corporation reported that its \$102,000 in unrestricted profits will be used to further its "ability to develop innovative and creative educational and charitable services."

Planned Use of Community Reinvestment Funds Under the Initial Implementation Contract

Supplement to W-2 and related programs	\$	25,000
Grants to assist with car repairs		20,000
Temporary housing to assist families with maintaining employment		16,299
Forgivable loans to assist families with purchasing cars		15,000
Mentoring program for fathers		12,400
Assistance with tuition, books, fees, or support services for individuals pursuing short-		
term education opportunities		12,000
Emergency assistance, including security deposits, driver education, car insurance, food,		
clothing, or rent		10,000
Counseling to assist families with developing budget management skills		8,500
Loan program to assist families with expenses related to car purchase or repair, security		
deposits, rent, and work-related needs		5,000
Matching funds for individual development accounts to assist families with costs related		
to education, job training, home repairs, or down payment for a housing purchase		4,800
Clothing program to assist individuals with obtaining appropriate clothing for work and		
job-search activities		3,000
Parent education and support services	_	3,000
Total	\$	134,999

USE OF FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE CURRENT IMPLEMENTATION CONTRACT:

Forward Service Corporation's current W-2 contract is for \$1.8 million to provide W-2 and related services from January 2000 through December 2001 in Forest, Oneida, and Vilas counties. Of the \$1.8 million, approximately \$457,000 is budgeted for services in Vilas County. Between January 2000 and September 2000, Forward Service Corporation estimates that \$172,506, or 37.7 percent of the amount budgeted in Vilas County, was spent.

Approximately \$128,000 in additional bonus funding will be available to Forward Service Corporation if it meets established performance standards, of which approximately \$32,000 will be made available for services within Vilas County.

Current Implementation Contract Expenditures

January 2000 through September 2000

		Percentage of Total	Total Expenditures as a Percentage of
	Expenditures	<u>Expenditures</u>	Contract Budget
Direct Services	\$132,183	76.6%	
Cash Benefits	12,781	7.4	
Administration	23,312	13.5	
Additional Services	4,230	2.5	
Total	\$172,506	100.0%	37.7%

1-67

Potential Bonus Funds Under the Current Implementation Contract

Available after December 31, 2001

Total Performance Bonus Funds Available:		\$32,025
Restricted bonus	\$13,725	
Unrestricted bonuses	18,300	
Projected Bonus Based on Performance Standards through December 2000:		\$30,500
Restricted bonus	\$13,725	
Unrestricted bonuses	16,775	

Progress in Meeting Performance Standards Under the Current Implementation Contract*As of December 31, 2000

	Meeting Performance Standards for Restricted Bonus?	Meeting Performance Standards for First Unrestricted Bonus?	Meeting Performance Standards for Second Unrestricted Bonus?
Entered Employment	Yes	Yes	Yes
Average Wage Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—30 Days	Yes	Yes	Yes
Job Retention—180 Days	Yes	Yes	Yes
Health Insurance Benefits	Yes	Yes	Yes
Full and Appropriate Engagement	Yes	Yes	Yes
Basic Educational Activities	Yes	Yes	No
Faith-Based Contract (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes
Basic Skills/Job Skills (optional)	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	No

^{*} Reported information is for the entire Forest/Oneida/Vilas Consortium.

CASELOAD INFORMATION: Overall, the participant caseload in Vilas County declined 78.9 percent from September 1997 to September 2000.

	September 1997	September 2000	Percentage Change
Cash benefit cases	17	1	
Case management cases	_2	<u>3</u>	
Total	19	4	(78.9%)

SANCTIONS: Forward Service Corporation sanctioned no participants in September 2000.

FACT-FINDING REVIEWS: Between May 1999 and September 2000, no participants requested fact-finding reviews.

Vilas County 1-68

Appendix 2

Percentage of Participants Receiving Employment-Related Services Under Current Implementation Contracts 2000

W-2 Contractor	Enrollment	Employment Search	Motivational Training
Counties			
Adams	227	37.0%	14.5%
Ashland	62	75.8	8.1
Barron	162	51.2	33.3
Bayfield	53	64.2	5.7
Brown	548	54.7	1.6
Buffalo	70	68.6	10.0
Burnett	39	46.2	56.4
Calumet	34	38.2	0.0
Chippewa	96	60.4	0.0
Clark	113	68.1	3.5
Columbia	159	74.2	68.6
Crawford	9	11.1	33.3
Dane	1,802	50.8	51.3
Dodge	193	63.2	68.9
Door	68	58.8	8.8
Douglas	448	50.7	6.3
Dunn	189	58.2	24.9
Eau Claire	366	46.2	0.0
Fond du Lac	247	51.8	0.8
Grant ¹	52	55.8	36.5
Green ¹	48	50.0	41.7
Green Lake	63	54.0	31.7
Iowa ¹	26	61.5	53.8
Iron	12	66.7	41.7
Jackson	119	62.2	58.8
Jefferson	75	66.7	64.0
Kenosha	1,522	60.4	16.3
La Crosse	396	44.7	2.5
Lafayette ¹	15	73.3	60.0
Langlade	135	54.8	11.9
Lincoln	116	56.0	23.3
Manitowoc	63	65.1	6.3
Marathon	376	43.9	17.0
Marinette	128	65.6	14.1
Marquette	58	81.0	17.2
Menominee	82	45.1	1.2
Oconto	95	58.9	11.6
Outagamie	346	51.4	17.6
Ozaukee	41	78.0	75.6
Pepin	17	76.5	23.5

W-2 Contractor	Enrollment	Employment Search	Motivational Training
Pierce	32	40.6%	43.8%
Polk	121	59.5	6.6
Portage	144	51.4	2.8
Price	109	55.0	18.3
Racine	937	63.6	19.7
Richland ¹	54	81.5	64.8
Rock	596	50.3	49.8
Rusk	48	50.0	8.3
St. Croix	109	56.9	14.7
Sauk	146	61.6	3.4
Sawyer	69	62.3	27.5
Sheboygan	216	51.9	35.2
Taylor	40	55.0	7.5
Trempealeau	79	51.9	10.1
Vernon	65	72.3	64.6
Washburn	64	37.5	51.6
Washington	185	62.2	44.3
Waupaca	103	73.8	13.6
Waushara	80	58.8	37.5
Winnebago	386	55.2	15.3
Wood	368	41.8	3.0
Tribes			
Bad River Band	21	19.0	42.9
Oneida Nation	71	29.6	0.0
Private Agencies in Milwaukee County ²			
Employment Solutions	7,237	83.1	1.9
Maximus	4,560	68.5	44.6
OIC-GM	4,038	51.1	27.2
UMOS	3,212	33.0	7.9
YW Works	3,223	69.5	59.2
Private Agencies in Other Counties ³			
Florence—Fwd. Serv.	25	48.0	56.0
Forest—Fwd. Serv.	31	54.8	41.9
Juneau—Wkfce. Conn.	173	64.7	48.0
Kewaunee—Fwd. Serv.	39	79.5	38.5
Monroe—Wkfce. Conn.	162	71.6	57.4
Oneida—Fwd. Serv.	142	45.1	0.0
Shawano—Job Center	99	57.6	21.2
Vilas—Fwd. Serv.	34	41.2	41.2
Walworth—Kaiser	212	50.9	42.9
Waukesha—Curtis	342	56.4	26.9

Region I YW Works

Region II United Migrant Opportunity Services, Inc.

Region III Opportunities Industrialization Center of Greater Milwaukee

Region IV Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of

Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.

Region V Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of

Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.

Region VI Maximus, Inc.

Curtis & Associates, Inc. Waukesha County

Forward Service Corporation Florence County

Forest, Oneida, and Vilas counties,

operating as a consortium

Kewaunee County

Kaiser Group, Inc. Walworth County

Shawano County Job Center

Incorporated

Shawano County

Workforce Connections, Inc. Juneau County

Monroe County

¹These five county agencies contract to administer W-2 as a consortium.

²These agencies serve six regions in Milwaukee County:

³Ten counties other than Milwaukee are served by these five private agencies under the current contracts:

Appendix 3

Percentage of Participants Receiving Education and Training Services
Under Current Implementation Contracts
2000

W-2 Contractor	Enrollment	Regular High School	High School Equivalency		Other Post- Secondary <u>Education</u>	Basic	Literacy Skills	English as a Second Language	Job Skills <u>Training</u>	Parenting and Life <u>Skills</u>	Driver Education
Counties	225	0.004	2.20/	0.004	0.004	~ 00.	22 721	0.004	2	4.004	0.004
Adams	227	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	22.5%	0.0%	2.6%	4.0%	0.9%
Ashland	62	0.0	6.5	0.0	0.0	6.5	0.0	0.0	4.8	6.5	0.0
Barron	162	0.0	3.1	0.6	1.2	22.8	0.0	0.0	2.5	3.1	1.9
Bayfield	53	0.0	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.2	3.8	0.0
Brown	548	0.2	21.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	1.6	9.1	2.6	0.2
Buffalo	70	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	15.7	4.3	0.0	2.9	10.0	0.0
Burnett	39	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	10.3	2.6	0.0	12.8	2.6	10.3
Calumet	34	0.0	17.6	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	14.7	17.6	17.6	0.0
Chippewa	96	0.0	10.4	0.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	1.0	14.6	2.1
Clark	113	0.9	24.8	0.0	0.0	8.8	0.9	0.0	1.8	3.5	0.0
Columbia	159	0.6	10.7	0.6	0.0	22.0	0.0	0.6	2.5	35.2	6.9
Crawford	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Dane	1,802	1.1	13.2	0.1	0.0	21.8	0.2	1.6	13.8	7.5	0.3
Dodge	193	0.5	21.8	0.0	0.0	8.8	0.5	0.5	3.6	5.7	0.0
Door	68	0.0	10.3	0.0	0.0	10.3	0.0	0.0	25.0	29.4	2.9
Douglas	448	0.0	7.1	0.9	0.0	3.6	0.7	0.4	16.3	3.8	0.2
Dunn	189	1.1	12.2	0.5	0.0	2.1	0.5	2.1	11.6	10.6	1.6
Eau Claire	366	0.0	8.2	0.0	0.0	4.1	0.5	0.3	11.2	7.4	0.5
Fond du Lac	247	0.8	28.3	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.4	1.6	21.1	8.9	0.0
Grant ¹	52	0.0	29.0	0.0	0.0	5.8	9.6	0.0	3.8	3.8	0.0
Green ¹	48	0.0	11.5	0.0	0.0	10.4	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Green Lake	63	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0	9.5	0.0	1.6	7.9	3.2	3.2

		Regular High	High School		Other Post- Secondary	Adult Basic	Literacy	English as a Second		Parenting and Life	Driver
W-2 Contractor	Enrollment	School	Equivalency	Courses	Education		<u>Skills</u>	Language	Training	<u>Skills</u>	Education
Iowa¹	26	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	19.2%	0.0%
Iron	12	8.3	26.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
Jackson	119	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	5.0	0.0	15.1	12.6	0.8
Jefferson	75	0.0	19.3	4.0	0.0	9.3	5.3	2.7	12.0	5.3	1.3
Kenosha	1,522	0.7	13.3	0.2	0.0	29.0	1.8	2.8	8.2	4.5	0.0
La Crosse	396	0.0	25.4	0.0	0.0	4.5	1.0	0.5	1.3	8.3	0.0
Lafayette ¹	15	0.0	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0
Langlade	135	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	39.3	0.0	0.0	14.1	0.7	1.5
Lincoln	116	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	11.2	8.6	0.0	2.6	4.3	0.0
Manitowoc	63	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	7.9	6.3	1.6	0.0
Marathon	376	0.5	14.1	0.3	0.0	5.1	0.8	5.6	2.9	43.9	1.9
Marinette	128	0.0	8.6	0.8	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	38.3	0.0	1.6
Marquette	58	0.0	12.7	0.0	0.0	12.1	0.0	0.0	50.0	6.9	0.0
Menominee	82	0.0	22.9	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	20.7	12.2	2.4
Oconto	95	0.0	28.1	1.1	0.0	22.1	0.0	0.0	3.2	1.1	3.2
Outagamie	346	1.2	41.4	0.6	0.0	4.6	1.4	9.2	11.3	46.0	6.9
Ozaukee	41	0.0	20.4	0.0	0.0	9.8	0.0	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
Pepin	17	0.0	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.8	0.0	5.9	0.0	0.0
Pierce	32	0.0	4.9	0.0	0.0	9.4	0.0	0.0	31.3	21.9	0.0
Polk	121	0.8	21.4	0.0	0.0	14.9	0.0	0.0	14.9	9.9	1.7
Portage	144	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.9	0.7	0.0	2.1	2.1	0.0
Price	109	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	11.9	0.0	4.6	1.8	0.0
Racine	937	0.2	18.8	0.1	0.0	25.3	2.0	1.6	1.9	2.1	0.0
Richland ¹	54	0.0	4.1	0.0	0.0	9.3	13.0	0.0	0.0	7.4	0.0
Rock	596	0.2	4.2	0.3	0.0	4.0	0.5	1.7	19.8	8.4	0.0
Rusk	48	0.0	9.2	0.0	0.0	10.4	0.0	0.0	4.2	4.2	0.0
St. Croix	109	0.0	12.7	0.0	0.0	6.4	0.0	0.0	3.7	8.3	0.0
Sauk	146	0.0	27.8	0.0	0.0	5.5	0.0	0.7	46.6	16.4	3.4

W 2 Control	F 11	Regular High	High School	College	Other Post- Secondary	Adult Basic	Literacy		Job Skills	Parenting and Life	Driver
W-2 Contractor	Enrollment	School	Equivalency	Courses	Education	Education	<u>Skills</u>	Language	<u>Training</u>	<u>Skills</u>	Education
Sawyer	69	0.0%	22.7%	1.4%	0.0%	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%
Sheboygan	216	0.0	10.4	0.9	0.0	8.8	0.9	16.2	0.0	3.2	0.0
Taylor	40	2.5	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	5.0	0.0
Trempealeau	79	0.0	16.4	0.0	0.0	7.6	1.3	0.0	8.9	3.8	0.0
Vernon	65	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	24.6	0.0	0.0	4.6	1.5	3.1
Washburn	64	0.0	25.3	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	1.6	1.6	0.0	3.1
Washington	185	0.0	14.4	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	1.1	4.3	2.2	1.1
Waupaca	103	1.0	22.5	0.0	0.0	2.9	1.0	0.0	17.5	15.5	3.9
Waushara	80	0.0	8.9	0.0	0.0	10.0	13.8	0.0	1.3	1.3	0.0
Winnebago	386	0.5	16.9	1.3	0.0	9.1	2.3	5.7	20.7	8.8	1.8
Wood	368	0.0	14.7	0.3	0.0	1.6	0.3	0.0	4.1	11.7	1.1
Tribes											
Bad River Band	21	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.0	0.0	0.0	9.5	4.8	0.0
Oneida Nation	71	1.4	23.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0
Private Agencies in Milwaukee County ²											
Employment Solutions	7,237	1.6	29.5	0.2	0.0	53.3	1.5	0.5	15.8	5.6	0.1
Maximus	4,560	1.2	18.3	0.8	0.0	37.2	1.0	4.3	13.8	23.7	0.1
OIC-GM	4,038	1.2	6.7	0.1	0.0	63.9	0.6	0.1	12.0	17.4	0.3
UMOS	3,212	0.6	37.9	0.2	0.0	20.3	1.2	10.7	14.2	6.1	0.0
YW Works	3,223	1.5	9.7	0.1	0.0	33.7	69.1	1.4	25.9	4.8	1.3

W-2 Contractor	Enrollment	Regular High School	High School Equivalency	College	Other Post- Secondary Education	Adult Basic Education	Literacy <u>Skills</u>	English as a Second Language	Job Skills <u>Training</u>	Parenting and Life Skills	Driver Education
Private Agencies in Other											
Counties ³											
Florence—Fwd. Serv.	25	0.0%	16.0%	4.0%	0.0%	20.0%	4.0%	0.0%	20.0%	12.0%	4.0%
Forest—Fwd. Serv.	31	3.2	29.0	0.0	0.0	9.7	0.0	0.0	6.5	32.3	3.2
Juneau—Wkfce. Conn.	173	0.0	25.4	0.6	0.0	4.6	0.0	1.7	14.5	12.1	3.5
Kewaunee—Fwd. Serv.	39	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	17.9	5.1	5.1	7.7	43.6	0.0
Monroe—Wkfce. Conn.	162	0.0	20.4	0.6	0.0	4.9	0.0	0.0	4.3	4.9	0.0
Oneida—Fwd. Serv.	142	0.0	4.9	0.0	0.0	12.0	0.7	0.0	1.4	5.6	0.0
Shawano—Job Center	99	0.0	25.3	0.0	0.0	15.2	4.0	0.0	26.3	8.1	1.0
Vilas—Fwd. Serv.	34	0.0	14.7	0.0	0.0	14.7	0.0	0.0	2.9	8.8	0.0
Walworth—Kaiser	212	0.5	7.1	0.0	0.0	18.9	0.0	2.8	1.4	2.8	0.5
Waukesha—Curtis	342	0.3	15.8	0.3	0.0	1.2	0.3	5.0	10.5	0.3	0.0

¹These five county agencies contract to administer W-2 as a consortium.

²These agencies serve six regions in Milwaukee County:

•	·
Region I	YW Works
Region II	United Migrant Opportunity Services, Inc.
Region III	Opportunities Industrialization Center of Greater Milwaukee
Region IV	Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of
	Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.
Region V	Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of
	Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.
Region VI	Maximus, Inc.

Curtis & Associates, Inc.

Waukesha County

Forward Service Corporation Florence County

Forest, Oneida, and Vilas counties,

operating as a consortium

Kewaunee County

Kaiser Group, Inc. Walworth County

Shawano County Job Center Shawano County

Incorporated

Workforce Connections, Inc.

Juneau County

Monroe County

³Ten counties other than Milwaukee are served by these five private agencies under the current contracts:

Appendix 4

Percentage of Participants Receiving Assessment and Counseling Services
Under Current Implementation Contracts
2000

W-2 Contractor	Enrollment	Occupational Assessment	Physical Rehabilitation	Employment Counseling	Disability Assessment	Mental Health Counseling	Substance Abuse Counseling
Counties							
Adams	227	3.1%	0.0%	4.4%	2.6%	3.1%	0.0%
Ashland	62	9.7	1.6	1.6	4.8	12.9	1.6
Barron	162	3.7	3.1	1.2	4.3	8.6	3.1
Bayfield	53	11.3	5.7	3.8	9.4	5.7	3.8
Brown	548	1.3	3.3	0.5	3.1	1.6	0.5
Buffalo	70	8.6	1.4	2.9	24.3	7.1	0.0
Burnett	39	2.6	10.3	0.0	10.3	2.6	2.6
Calumet	34	5.9	11.8	0.0	11.8	26.5	8.8
Chippewa	96	1.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	2.1	24.0
Clark	113	0.0	8.0	0.9	8.0	3.5	1.8
Columbia	159	38.4	13.8	0.0	15.7	15.7	11.3
Crawford	9	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0
Dane	1,802	7.8	26.6	2.6	7.3	8.6	5.0
Dodge	193	0.5	15.5	0.0	0.0	5.2	1.0
Door	68	7.4	2.9	0.0	7.4	22.1	0.0
Douglas	448	5.4	10.9	0.7	2.2	9.8	1.6
Dunn	189	0.5	11.6	1.6	1.6	6.3	2.6
Eau Claire	366	9.8	10.4	0.3	0.5	1.9	2.5
Fond du Lac	247	3.2	22.3	1.2	5.3	8.5	2.0
Grant ¹	52	9.6	3.8	1.9	21.2	9.6	0.0
Green ¹	48	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	6.3	2.1
Green Lake	63	1.6	3.2	0.0	11.1	11.1	1.6
Iowa ¹	26	0.0	7.7	0.0	7.7	15.4	0.0
Iron	12	25.0	16.7	0.0	8.3	41.7	16.7
Jackson	119	7.6	4.2	0.0	8.4	7.6	1.7
Jefferson	75	9.3	12.0	0.0	8.0	13.3	4.0
Kenosha	1,522	22.5	10.7	5.9	2.4	5.4	0.7
La Crosse	396	1.3	10.6	2.3	4.0	3.3	1.8
Lafayette ¹	15	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	6.7	0.0
Langlade	135	1.5	3.7	0.0	19.3	3.0	1.5
Lincoln	116	1.7	5.2	0.0	6.0	2.6	0.0
Manitowoc	63	3.2	4.8	0.0	9.5	1.6	0.0
Marathon	376	13.6	17.6	8.5	3.7	6.4	1.9
Marinette	128	12.5	0.8	0.0	4.7	5.5	1.6
Marquette	58	1.7	10.3	0.0	1.7	3.4	3.4
Menominee	82	2.4	4.9	4.9	3.7	2.4	4.9
Oconto	95	6.3	3.2	0.0	3.2	15.8	5.3
Outagamie	346	4.0	12.7	18.5	16.5	15.9	1.4

W-2 Contractor	Enrollment	Occupational Assessment	Physical Rehabilitation	Employment Counseling	Disability Assessment	Mental Health Counseling	Substance Abuse Counseling
Ozaukee	41	48.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%
Pepin	17	58.8	0.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	0.0
Pierce	32	3.1	15.6	3.1	9.4	6.3	9.4
Polk	121	3.3	9.1	0.8	12.4	7.4	1.7
Portage	144	0.0	16.7	0.0	6.3	0.7	0.0
Price	109	1.8	1.8	0.0	4.6	3.7	0.9
Racine	937	0.5	10.1	0.4	11.5	2.3	0.6
Richland ¹	54	0.0	1.9	0.0	3.7	5.6	0.0
Rock	596	0.5	19.6	13.3	3.0	6.7	1.3
Rusk	48	2.1	14.6	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0
St. Croix	109	22.0	9.2	1.8	11.0	1.8	0.0
Sauk	146	53.4	2.7	0.0	26.0	4.1	2.7
Sawyer	69	1.4	7.2	5.8	1.4	5.8	0.0
Sheboygan	216	0.0	13.9	0.0	0.0	6.5	1.9
Taylor	40	12.5	0.0	0.0	10.0	7.5	0.0
Trempealeau	79	1.3	24.1	1.3	0.0	3.8	0.0
Vernon	65	6.2	9.2	0.0	7.7	1.5	0.0
Washburn	64	10.9	6.3	0.0	7.8	9.4	1.6
Washington	185	23.8	5.9	0.0	1.1	1.6	1.1
Waupaca	103	9.7	37.9	1.9	2.9	29.1	2.9
Waushara	80	32.5	1.3	0.0	5.0	0.0	2.5
Winnebago	386	25.1	17.6	15.3	17.6	12.2	2.1
Wood	368	7.3	15.5	7.3	5.2	6.3	0.3
Tribes							
Bad River Band	21	0.0	4.8	14.3	0.0	4.8	4.8
Oneida Nation	71	0.0	15.5	0.0	1.4	0.0	1.4
Private Agencies in Milwaukee County ²							
Employment Solutions	7,237	2.0	14.7	2.2	6.8	5.4	2.8
Maximus	4,560	24.2	8.9	12.7	13.0	5.3	3.3
OIC-GM	4,038	21.7	5.5	0.5	8.6	3.8	4.2
UMOS	3,212	6.2	9.0	56.7	8.8	6.6	2.0
YW Works	3,223	16.4	7.8	1.7	3.6	8.2	2.5

Enrollment	Occupational Assessment	•	Employment Counseling	Disability Assessment	Mental Health Counseling	Substance Abuse Counseling
25	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%	8.0%	12.0%	0.0%
31	3.2	0.0	0.0	16.1	16.1	3.2
173	1.2	6.4	8.7	19.1	11.0	0.0
39	5.1	5.1	7.7	12.8	17.9	2.6
162	0.6	12.3	12.3	6.2	8.6	1.2
142	7.0	2.1	1.4	35.2	1.4	1.4
99	5.1	16.2	3.0	11.1	7.1	16.2
34	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	2.9
212	0.5	20.3	0.9	11.8	2.8	0.5
342	4.4	0.6	0.3	13.5	5.0	1.2
	25 31 173 39 162 142 99 34 212	Enrollment Assessment 25 0.0% 31 3.2 173 1.2 39 5.1 162 0.6 142 7.0 99 5.1 34 2.9 212 0.5	Enrollment Assessment Rehabilitation 25 0.0% 8.0% 31 3.2 0.0 173 1.2 6.4 39 5.1 5.1 162 0.6 12.3 142 7.0 2.1 99 5.1 16.2 34 2.9 0.0 212 0.5 20.3	Enrollment Assessment Rehabilitation Counseling 25 0.0% 8.0% 0.0% 31 3.2 0.0 0.0 173 1.2 6.4 8.7 39 5.1 5.1 7.7 162 0.6 12.3 12.3 142 7.0 2.1 1.4 99 5.1 16.2 3.0 34 2.9 0.0 0.0 212 0.5 20.3 0.9	Enrollment Assessment Rehabilitation Counseling Assessment 25 0.0% 8.0% 0.0% 8.0% 31 3.2 0.0 0.0 16.1 173 1.2 6.4 8.7 19.1 39 5.1 5.1 7.7 12.8 162 0.6 12.3 12.3 6.2 142 7.0 2.1 1.4 35.2 99 5.1 16.2 3.0 11.1 34 2.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 212 0.5 20.3 0.9 11.8	Enrollment Occupational Assessment Physical Rehabilitation Employment Counseling Disability Assessment Health Counseling 25 0.0% 8.0% 0.0% 8.0% 12.0% 31 3.2 0.0 0.0 16.1 16.1 173 1.2 6.4 8.7 19.1 11.0 39 5.1 5.1 7.7 12.8 17.9 162 0.6 12.3 12.3 6.2 8.6 142 7.0 2.1 1.4 35.2 1.4 99 5.1 16.2 3.0 11.1 7.1 34 2.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 5.9 212 0.5 20.3 0.9 11.8 2.8

¹These five county agencies contract to administer W-2 as a consortium.

²These agencies serve six regions in Milwaukee County:

_	•
Region I	YW Works
Region II	United Migrant Opportunity Services, Inc.
Region III	Opportunities Industrialization Center of Greater Milwaukee
Region IV	Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of
	Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.
Region V	Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of
	Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.
Region VI	Maximus, Inc.

³Ten counties other than Milwaukee are served by these five private agencies under the current contracts:

Curtis & Associates, Inc.	Waukesha County
Forward Service Corporation	Florence County Forest, Oneida, and Vilas counties, operating as a consortium Kewaunee County
Kaiser Group, Inc.	Walworth County
Shawano County Job Center Incorporated	Shawano County
Workforce Connections, Inc.	Juneau County Monroe County

W-2 Agencies' Caseloads September 1997 and September 2000

W-2 Contractor	September 1997	September 2000	Percentage <u>Change</u>
Counties			
Adams	20	7	-65.0%
Ashland	33	5	-84.8
Barron	23	9	-60.9
Bayfield	10	3	-70.0
Brown	273	38	-86.1
Buffalo	16	10	-37.5
Burnett	20	4	-80.0
Calumet	17	10	-41.2
Chippewa	67	28	-58.2
Clark	4	8	100.0
Columbia	13	27	107.7
Crawford	2	1	-50.0
Dane	945	542	-42.6
Dodge	92	45	-51.1
Door	18	17	-5.6
Douglas	258	62	-76.0
Dunn	81	40	-50.6
Eau Claire	199	29	-85.4
Fond du Lac	68	67	-1.5
Grant—SW Consortium ¹	82	22	-73.2
Green Lake	12	5	-58.3
Iron	3	4	33.3
Jackson	35	5	-85.7
Jefferson	30	22	-26.7
Kenosha	564	356	-36.9
La Crosse	181	41	-77.3
Langlade	32	18	-43.8
Lincoln	32	7	-78.1
Manitowoc	43	8	-81.4
Marathon	213	67	-68.5
Marinette	68	5	-92.6
Marquette	5	6	20.0
Menominee	99	15	-84.8
Oconto	13	3	-76.9
Outagamie	156	83	-46.8
Ozaukee	14	3	-78.6
Pepin	10	0	-100.0
Pierce	11	8	-27.3
Polk	14	26	85.7
Portage	63	20	-68.3
Č			

W-2 Contractor	September 1997	September 2000	Percentage <u>Change</u>
Price	27	5	-81.5%
Racine	719	155	-78.4
Rock	336	155	-53.9
Rusk	14	6	-57.1
St. Croix	13	15	15.4
Sauk	58	13	-77.6
Sawyer	57	8	-86.0
Sheboygan	66	54	-18.2
Taylor	18	5	-72.2
Trempealeau	32	8	-75.0
Vernon	29	6	-79.3
Washburn	16	7	-56.3
Washington	58	24	-58.6
Waupaca	45	47	4.4
Waushara	12	0	-100.0
Winnebago	169	78	-53.8
Wood	119	41	-65.5
Subtotal	5,627	2,303	-59.1%
Tuites			
Tribes Bad River Band	27	7	-74.1
Lac du Flambeau Band ²	56	/	-/4.1
Oneida Nation	32	30	-6.3
Subtotal	115	37	-67.8%
Private Agencies in Milwaukee County ³			
Employment Solutions	5,603	2,882	-48.6
Maximus	2,861	1,592	-44.4
OIC-GM	2,783	1,655	-40.5
UMOS	2,316	1,441	-37.8
YW Works	1,851	1,002	-45.9
Milwaukee-Unknown	<u>1,011</u>	<u>6</u>	-99.4
Subtotal	16,425	8,578	-47.8%
Private Agencies in Other Counties ⁴			
Forest-Oneida-Vilas—Fwd. Serv.	119	19	-84.0
Juneau—W. WI PIC	37	40	8.1
Kewaunee—Fwd. Serv.	9	6	-33.3
Shawano—Job Center	60	24	-60.0
Walworth—Kaiser	68	33	-51.5
Waukesha—Curtis	<u>216</u>	84	-61.1
Subtotal	509	206	-59.5%

W-2 Contractor	September 1997	September 2000	Percentage <u>Change</u>
Other ⁵			
Florence—Fwd. Serv.	7	4	-42.9%
Monroe—Wkfce. Conn.	<u>78</u>	<u>43</u>	-44.9
Subtotal	<u>85</u>	<u>47</u>	-44.7%
Total	22,761	11,171	-50.9%

¹ The Southwest Consortium consists of Grant, Green, Iowa, Lafayette, and Richland counties.

³ Five agencies serve six regions in Milwaukee County:

Region I	YW Works
Region II	United Migrant Opportunity Services, Inc.
Region III	Opportunities Industrialization Center of Greater Milwaukee
Region IV	Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of
	Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.
Region V	Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of
	Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.
Region VI	Maximus, Inc.

Participants whose region could not be determined at the time of the caseload count are included in Milwaukee Unknown.

⁴ Eight counties other than Milwaukee have been served by these five private agencies. The name of one of the agencies changed from the first to the second implementation period.

Curtis & Associates, Inc.	Waukesha County
Forward Service Corporation	Forest, Oneida, and Vilas (FOV) counties were served separately under the initial implementation contract and as a consortium under the current contract Kewaunee County
Kaiser Group, Inc.	Walworth County
Shawano County Job Center Incorporated	Shawano County
Western Wisconsin Private Industry Council, Inc.	Juneau County (initial implementation contract)
Workforce Connections, Inc.	Juneau County (current implementation contract)

⁵ Programs in these counties were administered by county agencies in September 1997 but by the following private agencies in September 2000:

Forward Service Corporation Florence County

Workforce Connections, Inc. Monroe County

² The Lac du Flambeau Band of Chippewa was a W-2 agency through December 1999 but is not a W-2 agency under the current contract.

Appendix 6

W-2 Agencies' Contract Amounts, Reported Expenditures, Profits, and Reinvestment Under Initial Implementation Contracts

September 1997 through December 1999

W-2 Contractor	Contract Amount	Total Contract Expenditures	Percentage Spent	Total Profit	Total W-2 Reinvestment
	Contract / Amount	<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>Брені</u>	Total Front	Kemvestment
Counties					
Adams	\$ 2,312,112	\$ 1,021,861	44.2%	\$ 270,513	\$ 488,993
Ashland	2,136,051	692,338	32.4	274,903	564,205
Barron	2,840,732	1,495,363	52.6	308,232	492,213
Bayfield	782,037	596,957	76.3	66,703	53,822
Brown	18,684,322	6,202,482	33.2	2,388,793	4,864,008
Buffalo	1,295,730	542,630	41.9	155,106	289,822
Burnett	1,808,875	602,743	33.3	231,191	470,566
Calumet	1,427,259	602,179	42.2	158,914	314,837
Chippewa	5,732,223	1,864,498	32.5	736,975	1,510,736
Clark	1,678,742	534,376	31.8	217,116	448,220
Columbia	2,674,029	1,320,340	49.4	295,517	487,505
Crawford	1,071,277	486,530	45.4	124,323	222,000
Dane	27,230,397	17,996,730	66.1	2,600,226	3,123,444
Dodge	3,407,516	1,278,458	37.5	420,093	817,050
Door	1,176,133	776,119	66.0	112,464	135,608
Douglas	8,153,131	2,884,783	35.4	1,024,699	2,042,911
Dunn	4,265,318	1,896,181	44.5	481,867	824,826
Eau Claire	11,558,545	3,986,320	34.5	1,464,886	2,951,044
Florence	704,055	317,445	45.1	82,029	147,353
Fond du Lac	3,994,910	1,789,196	44.8	464,844	833,400
Grant—SW Consortium ¹	7,184,439	3,455,244	48.1	812,823	1,394,605
Green Lake	1,306,952	632,875	48.4	147,786	253,349
Iron	665,495	242,729	36.5	83,213	164,827
Jackson	2,057,637	824,584	40.1	249,239	473,419
Jefferson	3,348,304	1,119,324	33.4	427,340	868,312
Kenosha	19,637,922	15,700,903	80.0	1,619,021	1,099,651
La Crosse	12,428,718	3,369,122	27.1	1,665,872	3,581,379
Langlade	2,149,597	853,071	39.7	261,232	498,418
Lincoln	2,175,447	685,684	31.5	281,899	583,279
Manitowoc	4,839,797	1,738,786	35.9	605,320	1,199,402
Marathon	11,351,099	5,757,797	50.7	1,255,002	2,071,912
Marinette	4,150,189	1,188,457	28.6	549,518	1,165,523
Marquette	835,850	402,669	48.2	94,721	162,948
Menominee	2,771,821	1,269,135	45.8	324,521	587,221
Monroe	4,029,473	1,297,377	32.2	520,150	1,071,389
Oconto	2,267,220	874,685	38.6	277,794	535,901
Outagamie	7,488,589	3,264,434	43.6	866,044	1,612,263
Ozaukee	1,518,109	733,630	48.3	171,443	293,290

W-2 Contractor	Contract Amount	Total Contract Expenditures	Percentage Spent	Total Profit	Total W-2 Reinvestment
Pepin	\$ 481,360	\$ 352,052	73.1%	\$ 42,071	\$ 37,689
Pierce	1,465,471	789,122	53.8	157,465	246,972
Polk	3,107,064	915,180	29.5	393,146	790,434
Portage	5,774,922	1,810,534	31.4	749,471	1,553,520
Price	1,300,860	669,384	51.5	141,889	228,732
Racine	28,657,639	12,014,359	41.9	3,435,008	6,430,381
Rock	20,382,439	7,323,695	35.9	2,553,725	5,071,296
Rusk	2,057,312	551,240	26.8	276,436	595,911
St. Croix	2,369,276	956,050	40.4	286,457	542,735
Sauk	3,581,617	1,400,950	39.1	433,834	824,044
Sawyer	3,071,428	766,752	25.0	413,461	893,076
Sheboygan	5,578,628	1,805,753	32.4	710,134	1,476,629
Taylor	1,253,401	400,697	32.0	162,303	335,544
Trempealeau	2,108,508	767,027	36.4	263,138	519,941
Vernon	1,582,930	767,546	48.5	178,416	304,248
Washburn	1,727,818	681,255	39.4	210,816	404,409
Washington	4,171,711	2,011,722	48.2	470,911	805,012
Waupaca	3,287,937	1,215,803	37.0	400,050	806,266
Waushara	2,150,441	927,536	43.1	251,651	455,041
Winnebago	10,583,824	4,540,575	42.9	1,234,593	2,295,735
Wood	7,037,870	2,828,571	<u>40.2</u>	851,293	1,613,887
Subtotal	\$304,872,508	\$133,793,838	43.9%	\$35,708,600	\$64,931,153
Tribes					
Bad River Band	\$ 739,359	\$ 501,427	67.8%	\$ 51,755	\$ 80,479
Lac du Flambeau Band	1,298,138	686,754	52.9	141,389	227,336
Oneida Nation	1,402,988	503,834	<u>35.9</u>	176,189	350,909
Subtotal	\$ 3,440,485	\$ 1,692,015	49.2%	\$ 369,333	\$ 658,724
Private Agencies in Milwa	nukee County ²				
Employment Solutions	\$112,425,421	\$ 92,079,596	81.9%	\$ 9,452,143	\$ 5,446,841
Maximus	58,290,959	52,653,010	90.3	4,405,915	616,017
OIC-GM	57,209,283	48,657,479	85.1	4,622,816	1,964,494
UMOS	50,922,210	41,272,140	81.0	4,332,206	2,658,932
YW Works	40,033,798	32,245,679	80.5	3,415,466	2,186,326
Subtotal	\$318,881,671	\$266,907,904	83.7%	\$26,228,546	\$12,872,610

W-2 Contractor	Contract Amount	Total Contract Percentage Expenditures Spent		Total Profit	Total W-2 Reinvestment	
Private Agencies in Other	Counties ³					
Forest—Fwd. Serv.	\$ 1,143,977	\$ 568,798	49.7%	\$ 128,233	\$ 216,699	
Juneau—W. WI PIC	2,275,855	981,293	43.1	268,288	490,401	
Kewaunee—Fwd. Serv.	919,612	481,239	52.3	100,312	161,726	
Oneida—Fwd. Serv.	2,846,511	916,346	32.2	366,831	754,087	
Shawano—Job Center	2,503,609	1,322,489	52.8	272,769	438,824	
Vilas—Fwd. Serv.	1,030,492	639,303	62.0	102,134	134,999	
Walworth—Kaiser	4,199,447	1,934,654	46.1	482,959	850,488	
Waukesha—Curtis	9,381,306	4,358,270	<u>46.5</u>	1,075,883	1,886,362	
Subtotal	\$ 24,300,809	\$ 11,202,392	46.1%	\$ 2,797,409	<u>\$ 4,933,586</u>	
Total	\$651,495,473	\$413,596,149	63.5%	\$65,103,888	\$83,396,073	

¹ The Southwest Consortium consists of Grant, Green, Iowa, Lafayette, and Richland counties.

 $^{^{2}\,}$ These agencies served six regions in Milwaukee County:

Region I	YW Works
Region II	United Migrant Opportunity Services, Inc.
Region III	Opportunities Industrialization Center of Greater Milwaukee
Region IV	Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of
	Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.
Region V	Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of
	Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.
Region VI	Maximus, Inc.

³ Eight counties other than Milwaukee were served by these five private agencies under the initial implementation contracts:

Curtis & Associates, Inc.	Waukesha County			
Forward Service Corporation	Forest County Kewaunee County Oneida County Vilas County			
Kaiser Group, Inc.	Walworth County			
Shawano County Job Center Incorporated	Shawano County			
Western Wisconsin Private Industry Council, Inc.	Juneau County			

Reported Expenditures by Type Under Initial Implementation Contracts September 1997 through December 1999

		Direct	Administrative	Additional	Total
W-2 Contractor	Cash Benefits	<u>Services</u>	<u>Costs</u>	<u>Services</u>	Expenditures
Counties	Φ 07.572	Φ (02.070	Φ 220 410	Ф. 12.200	Φ 1.025.150
Adams	\$ 97,572	\$ 693,879	\$ 230,410	\$ 13,298	\$ 1,035,159
Ashland	70,762	534,245	87,331	4,034	696,372
Barron	86,750	1,156,756	251,857	9,908	1,505,271
Bayfield	36,307	512,618	48,032	1,466	598,423
Brown	628,095	5,025,971	548,416	88,764	6,291,246
Buffalo	136,711	286,304	119,615	6,194	548,824
Burnett	86,584	470,499	45,660	7,603	610,346
Calumet	79,126	394,896	128,157	7,745	609,924
Chippewa	274,356	1,079,589	510,553	9,635	1,874,133
Clark	35,624	434,740	64,012	3,691	538,067
Columbia	116,525	983,580	220,235	12,076	1,332,416
Crawford	24,487	359,460	102,583	3,200	489,730
Dane	6,171,899	9,989,367	1,835,464	734,295	18,731,025
Dodge	406,869	641,176	230,413	20,273	1,298,731
Door	156,510	513,099	106,510	2,711	778,830
Douglas	757,501	1,517,943	609,339	35,336	2,920,119
Dunn	356,640	1,162,982	376,559	78,414	1,974,595
Eau Claire	774,256	2,219,318	992,746	86,432	4,072,752
Florence	22,297	229,451	65,697	454	317,899
Fond du Lac	535,819	893,824	359,553	71,512	1,860,708
Grant—SW Consortium ¹	277,094	2,549,123	629,027	57,970	3,513,214
Green Lake	103,495	437,513	91,867	13,552	646,427
Iron	1,256	174,929	66,544	0	242,729
Jackson	46,914	605,055	172,615	4,670	829,254
Jefferson	160,524	886,722	72,078	14,398	1,133,722
Kenosha	2,836,242	11,112,934	1,751,727	695,126	16,396,029
La Crosse	766,949	2,213,546	388,627	102,614	3,471,736
Langlade	178,891	490,581	183,599	10,835	863,906
Lincoln	98,673	536,207	50,804	900	686,584
Manitowoc	113,340	1,177,809	447,637	3,002	1,741,788
Marathon	1,206,843	3,925,347	625,607	130,462	5,888,259
Marinette	54,654	728,012	405,791	1,536	1,189,993
Marquette	23,046	301,560	78,063	2,672	405,341
Menominee	537,106	562,853	169,176	11,538	1,280,673
Monroe	321,652	714,427	261,298	46,231	1,343,608
Oconto	49,885	658,343	166,457	356,945	1,231,630
Outagamie	1,028,641	1,808,104	427,689	38,502	3,302,936
Ozaukee	64,690	539,900	129,040	672	734,302
Pepin	21,359	304,973	25,720	499	352,551

W-2 Contractor	Cash Benefits	Direct Services	Administrative <u>Costs</u>	Additional Services	Total Expenditures
Pierce	\$ 100,388	\$ 542,187	\$ 146,547	\$ 21,996	\$ 811,118
Polk	59,333	708,800	147,047	45,333	960,513
Portage	317,636	1,055,816	437,082	272,997	2,083,531
Price	71,235	537,377	60,772	114,234	783,618
Racine	2,693,444	7,194,755	2,126,160	197,342	12,211,701
Rock	1,264,838	4,941,420	1,117,437	242,022	7,565,717
Rusk	29,691	353,862	167,687	6,615	557,855
St. Croix	113,373	618,099	224,578	12,671	968,721
Sauk	292,765	839,316	268,869	9,623	1,410,573
Sawyer	104,839	578,405	83,508	31,001	797,753
Sheboygan	308,936	1,362,767	134,050	115,628	1,921,381
Taylor	60,727	315,125	24,845	7,192	407,889
Trempealeau	136,253	458,410	172,364	3,776	770,803
Vernon	115,688	497,706	154,152	6,678	774,224
Washburn	52,295	476,575	152,385	7,989	689,244
Washington	309,163	1,444,167	258,392	40,762	2,052,484
Waupaca	277,411	658,849	279,543	210,578	1,426,381
Waushara	29,359	680,593	217,584	24,115	951,651
Winnebago	811,197	3,165,698	563,680	37,248	4,577,823
Wood	508,098	1,824,484	495,989	44,931	2,873,502
Subtotal	\$ 26,402,613	\$ 87,082,046	\$20,309,179	\$4,141,896	\$137,935,734
Tribes					
Bad River Band	\$ 228,944	\$ 243,167	\$ 29,316	\$ 7,835	\$ 509,262
Lac du Flambeau Band	252,259	343,597	90,898	9,089	695,843
Oneida Nation	183,866	185,056	134,912	117,738	621,572
Subtotal	\$ 665,069	\$ 771,820	\$ 255,126	\$ 134,662	\$ 1,826,677
Private Agencies in Milwaukee County ²					
Employment Solutions	\$ 51,080,448	\$ 37,233,469	\$ 3,765,679	\$ 2,694,959	\$ 94,774,555
Maximus	26,205,571	22,104,452	4,342,987	2,112,388	54,765,398
OIC-GM	27,132,164	18,801,730	2,723,585	2,152,973	50,810,452
UMOS	18,762,196	20,156,076	2,353,868	1,458,189	42,730,329
YW Works	15,393,330	14,226,500	2,625,849	1,126,954	33,372,633
Subtotal	\$138,573,709	\$112,522,227	\$15,811,968	\$9,545,463	\$276,453,367

W-2 Contractor	Cash Benefits		Direct Services	Ad	Administrative <u>Costs</u>		Additional Services		Total Expenditures	
Private Agencies in Other Counties ³										
Forest—Fwd. Serv.	\$ 95	.567 \$	401,895	5 \$	71,336	\$	788	\$	569,586	
Juneau—W. WI PIC		,291	510,103		79,899	Ψ	17,164	Ψ	998,457	
Kewaunee—Fwd. Serv.		,384	337,503		67,352		3,340		484,579	
Oneida—Fwd. Serv.		,347	590,155		114,844		7,003		923,349	
Shawano—Job Center	296	,270	889,262	2	136,957		4,749		1,327,238	
Vilas—Fwd. Serv.	140	,277	428,482	2	70,544		898		640,201	
Walworth—Kaiser	403	,560	1,196,919)	334,175		25,912		1,960,566	
Waukesha—Curtis	675	<u>,987</u>	3,036,996	<u> </u>	645,287	_	204,281		4,562,551	
Subtotal	\$ 2,290	<u>),683</u> \$	7,391,315	<u> \$</u>	1,520,394	\$	264,135	\$	11,466,527	
Total	\$167,932	2,074 \$	207,767,408	3 \$3	7,896,667	\$1	4,086,156	\$4	27,682,305	

¹ The Southwest Consortium consists of Grant, Green, Iowa, Lafayette, and Richland counties.

² These agencies served six regions in Milwaukee County:

-	·
Region I	YW Works
Region II	United Migrant Opportunity Services, Inc.
Region III	Opportunities Industrialization Center of Greater Milwaukee
Region IV	Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of
	Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.
Region V	Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of
	Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.
Region VI	Maximus, Inc.

³ Eight counties other than Milwaukee were served by these five private agencies under the initial implementation contracts:

Curtis & Associates, Inc.	Waukesha County
Forward Service Corporation	Forest County Kewaunee County Oneida County Vilas County
Kaiser Group, Inc.	Walworth County
Shawano County Job Center Incorporated	Shawano County
Western Wisconsin Private Industry Council, Inc.	Juneau County

Reported Expenditures by Type Under Initial Implementation Contracts September 1997 through December 1999

		Direct	Administrative	Additional	Total
W-2 Contractor	Cash Benefits	<u>Services</u>	<u>Costs</u>	<u>Services</u>	Expenditures
Counties	Φ 07.572	Φ (02.070	Φ 220 410	Ф. 12.200	Φ 1.025.150
Adams	\$ 97,572	\$ 693,879	\$ 230,410	\$ 13,298	\$ 1,035,159
Ashland	70,762	534,245	87,331	4,034	696,372
Barron	86,750	1,156,756	251,857	9,908	1,505,271
Bayfield	36,307	512,618	48,032	1,466	598,423
Brown	628,095	5,025,971	548,416	88,764	6,291,246
Buffalo	136,711	286,304	119,615	6,194	548,824
Burnett	86,584	470,499	45,660	7,603	610,346
Calumet	79,126	394,896	128,157	7,745	609,924
Chippewa	274,356	1,079,589	510,553	9,635	1,874,133
Clark	35,624	434,740	64,012	3,691	538,067
Columbia	116,525	983,580	220,235	12,076	1,332,416
Crawford	24,487	359,460	102,583	3,200	489,730
Dane	6,171,899	9,989,367	1,835,464	734,295	18,731,025
Dodge	406,869	641,176	230,413	20,273	1,298,731
Door	156,510	513,099	106,510	2,711	778,830
Douglas	757,501	1,517,943	609,339	35,336	2,920,119
Dunn	356,640	1,162,982	376,559	78,414	1,974,595
Eau Claire	774,256	2,219,318	992,746	86,432	4,072,752
Florence	22,297	229,451	65,697	454	317,899
Fond du Lac	535,819	893,824	359,553	71,512	1,860,708
Grant—SW Consortium ¹	277,094	2,549,123	629,027	57,970	3,513,214
Green Lake	103,495	437,513	91,867	13,552	646,427
Iron	1,256	174,929	66,544	0	242,729
Jackson	46,914	605,055	172,615	4,670	829,254
Jefferson	160,524	886,722	72,078	14,398	1,133,722
Kenosha	2,836,242	11,112,934	1,751,727	695,126	16,396,029
La Crosse	766,949	2,213,546	388,627	102,614	3,471,736
Langlade	178,891	490,581	183,599	10,835	863,906
Lincoln	98,673	536,207	50,804	900	686,584
Manitowoc	113,340	1,177,809	447,637	3,002	1,741,788
Marathon	1,206,843	3,925,347	625,607	130,462	5,888,259
Marinette	54,654	728,012	405,791	1,536	1,189,993
Marquette	23,046	301,560	78,063	2,672	405,341
Menominee	537,106	562,853	169,176	11,538	1,280,673
Monroe	321,652	714,427	261,298	46,231	1,343,608
Oconto	49,885	658,343	166,457	356,945	1,231,630
Outagamie	1,028,641	1,808,104	427,689	38,502	3,302,936
Ozaukee	64,690	539,900	129,040	672	734,302
Pepin	21,359	304,973	25,720	499	352,551

W-2 Contractor	Cash Benefits	Direct Services	Administrative <u>Costs</u>	Additional Services	Total Expenditures
Pierce	\$ 100,388	\$ 542,187	\$ 146,547	\$ 21,996	\$ 811,118
Polk	59,333	708,800	147,047	45,333	960,513
Portage	317,636	1,055,816	437,082	272,997	2,083,531
Price	71,235	537,377	60,772	114,234	783,618
Racine	2,693,444	7,194,755	2,126,160	197,342	12,211,701
Rock	1,264,838	4,941,420	1,117,437	242,022	7,565,717
Rusk	29,691	353,862	167,687	6,615	557,855
St. Croix	113,373	618,099	224,578	12,671	968,721
Sauk	292,765	839,316	268,869	9,623	1,410,573
Sawyer	104,839	578,405	83,508	31,001	797,753
Sheboygan	308,936	1,362,767	134,050	115,628	1,921,381
Taylor	60,727	315,125	24,845	7,192	407,889
Trempealeau	136,253	458,410	172,364	3,776	770,803
Vernon	115,688	497,706	154,152	6,678	774,224
Washburn	52,295	476,575	152,385	7,989	689,244
Washington	309,163	1,444,167	258,392	40,762	2,052,484
Waupaca	277,411	658,849	279,543	210,578	1,426,381
Waushara	29,359	680,593	217,584	24,115	951,651
Winnebago	811,197	3,165,698	563,680	37,248	4,577,823
Wood	508,098	1,824,484	495,989	44,931	2,873,502
Subtotal	\$ 26,402,613	\$ 87,082,046	\$20,309,179	\$4,141,896	\$137,935,734
Tribes					
Bad River Band	\$ 228,944	\$ 243,167	\$ 29,316	\$ 7,835	\$ 509,262
Lac du Flambeau Band	252,259	343,597	90,898	9,089	695,843
Oneida Nation	183,866	185,056	134,912	117,738	621,572
Subtotal	\$ 665,069	\$ 771,820	\$ 255,126	\$ 134,662	\$ 1,826,677
Private Agencies in Milwaukee County ²					
Employment Solutions	\$ 51,080,448	\$ 37,233,469	\$ 3,765,679	\$ 2,694,959	\$ 94,774,555
Maximus	26,205,571	22,104,452	4,342,987	2,112,388	54,765,398
OIC-GM	27,132,164	18,801,730	2,723,585	2,152,973	50,810,452
UMOS	18,762,196	20,156,076	2,353,868	1,458,189	42,730,329
YW Works	15,393,330	14,226,500	2,625,849	1,126,954	33,372,633
Subtotal	\$138,573,709	\$112,522,227	\$15,811,968	\$9,545,463	\$276,453,367

W-2 Contractor	Cash Ber	<u>nefits</u>	Direct Services	Ad	lministrative <u>Costs</u>	e 1	Additional Services	<u>E</u> :	Total xpenditures
Private Agencies in Other Counties ³									
Forest—Fwd. Serv.	\$ 95	.567 \$	401,895	5 \$	71,336	\$	788	\$	569,586
Juneau—W. WI PIC		,291	510,103		79,899	Ψ	17,164	Ψ	998,457
Kewaunee—Fwd. Serv.		,384	337,503		67,352		3,340		484,579
Oneida—Fwd. Serv.		,347	590,155		114,844		7,003		923,349
Shawano—Job Center	296	,270	889,262	2	136,957		4,749		1,327,238
Vilas—Fwd. Serv.	140	,277	428,482	2	70,544		898		640,201
Walworth—Kaiser	403	,560	1,196,919)	334,175		25,912		1,960,566
Waukesha—Curtis	675	<u>,987</u>	3,036,996	<u> </u>	645,287	_	204,281		4,562,551
Subtotal	\$ 2,290	<u>),683</u> \$	7,391,315	<u> \$</u>	1,520,394	\$	264,135	\$	11,466,527
Total	\$167,932	2,074 \$	207,767,408	3 \$3	7,896,667	\$1	4,086,156	\$4	27,682,305

¹ The Southwest Consortium consists of Grant, Green, Iowa, Lafayette, and Richland counties.

² These agencies served six regions in Milwaukee County:

-	·
Region I	YW Works
Region II	United Migrant Opportunity Services, Inc.
Region III	Opportunities Industrialization Center of Greater Milwaukee
Region IV	Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of
	Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.
Region V	Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of
	Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.
Region VI	Maximus, Inc.

³ Eight counties other than Milwaukee were served by these five private agencies under the initial implementation contracts:

Curtis & Associates, Inc.	Waukesha County
Forward Service Corporation	Forest County Kewaunee County Oneida County Vilas County
Kaiser Group, Inc.	Walworth County
Shawano County Job Center Incorporated	Shawano County
Western Wisconsin Private Industry Council, Inc.	Juneau County

Expenditures of Community Reinvestment Funds Through September 2000

W-2 Contractor		Amount <u>Earned</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>Sotal Spent</u>	Percentage of Total <u>Spent</u>
Counties					
Adams	\$	488,993	\$	98,759	20.2%
Ashland		564,205		-	0.0
Barron		492,213		-	0.0
Bayfield		53,822		-	0.0
Brown	4	4,864,008		288,743	5.9
Buffalo		289,822		114,902	39.6
Burnett		470,566		102,421	21.8
Calumet		314,837		15,001	4.8
Chippewa		1,510,736		232,414	15.4
Clark		448,220		700	0.2
Columbia		487,505		87,453	17.9
Crawford		222,000		4,212	1.9
Dane		3,123,444		947,128	30.3
Dodge		817,050		8,679	1.1
Door		135,608		20,654	15.2
Douglas	,	2,042,911		367,286	18.0
Dunn		824,826		121,715	14.8
Eau Claire	,	2,951,044		612,535	20.8
Florence		147,353		30,435	20.7
Fond du Lac		833,400		212,304	25.5
Grant—SW Consortium ¹		1,394,605		200,799	14.4
Green Lake		253,349		14,745	5.8
Iron		164,827		_	0.0
Jackson		473,419		102,260	21.6
Jefferson		868,312		39,568	4.6
Kenosha		1,099,651		608,439	55.3
La Crosse		3,581,379		16,902	0.5
Langlade		498,418		120,178	24.1
Lincoln		583,279		20,149	3.5
Manitowoc		1,199,402		-	0.0
Marathon	2	2,071,912		947,623	45.7
Marinette		1,165,523	1	,165,523	100.0
Marquette		162,948		16,033	9.8
Menominee		587,221		-	0.0
Monroe		1,071,389		293,737	27.4
Oconto		535,901		-	0.0
Outagamie		1,612,263		246,645	15.3
Ozaukee		293,290		6,979	2.4

W-2 Contractor	Amount <u>Earned</u>	Total Spent	Percentage of Total <u>Spent</u>
Pepin	\$ 37,689	\$ 6,976	18.5%
Pierce	246,972	21,247	8.6
Polk	790,434	48,521	6.1
Portage	1,553,520	470,934	30.3
Price	228,732	226	0.1
Racine	6,430,381	985,757	15.3
Rock	5,071,296	813,062	16.0
Rusk	595,911	102,700	17.2
St. Croix	542,735	71,179	13.1
Sauk	824,044	85,723	10.4
Sawyer	893,076	-	0.0
Sheboygan	1,476,629	229,040	15.5
Taylor	335,544	-	0.0
Trempealeau	519,941	13,441	2.6
Vernon	304,248	-	0.0
Washburn	404,409	695	0.2
Washington	805,012	112,562	14.0
Waupaca	806,266	35,982	4.5
Waushara	455,041	89,226	19.6
Winnebago	2,295,735	416,290	18.1
Wood	1,613,887	157,754	9.8
Subtotal	\$64,931,153	\$10,950,793	16.9%
Tribes			
Bad River Band	\$ 80,479	-	0.0%
Lac du Flambeau Band	227,336	-	0.0
Oneida Nation	350,909	-	0.0
Subtotal	\$ 658,724	-	0.0%
Private Agencies in Milwau	•		
Employment Solutions	\$ 5,446,841	\$ 515,497	9.5%
Maximus	616,017	-	0.0
OIC-GM	1,964,494	371,024	18.9
UMOS	2,658,932	780,113	29.3
YW Works	2,186,326	796,886	36.4
Subtotal	\$12,872,610	\$ 2,463,520	19.1%

					Percentage	
		Amount			of Total	
W-2 Contractor		Earned	<u>T</u>	otal Spent	<u>Spent</u>	
Private Agencies in Other Counties ³						
Forest—Fwd. Serv.	\$	216,699	\$	14,750	6.8%	
Juneau—W. WI PIC		490,401		82,074	16.7	
Kewaunee—Fwd. Serv.		161,726		2,818	1.7	
Oneida—Fwd. Serv.		754,087		20,119	2.7	
Shawano—Job Center		438,824		-	0.0	
Vilas—Fwd. Serv.		134,999		16,401	12.1	
Walworth—Kaiser		850,488		303,558	35.7	
Waukesha—Curtis		1,886,362		346,600	18.4	
Subtotal	\$	4,933,586	\$	786,320	15.9%	
Total	\$8	33,396,073	\$14	4,200,633	17.0%	
10111	Ψ	3,370,073	ΨΙ	1,200,033	17.070	

¹ The Southwest Consortium consists of Grant, Green, Iowa, Lafayette, and Richland counties.

² These agencies served six regions in Milwaukee County:

Region I	YW Works
Region II	United Migrant Opportunity Services, Inc.
Region III	Opportunities Industrialization Center of Greater Milwaukee
Region IV	Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of
	Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.
Region V	Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of
	Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.
Region VI	Maximus, Inc.

³ Eight counties other than Milwaukee were served by these five private agencies under the initial implementation contracts:

Curtis & Associates, Inc.	Waukesha County
Forward Service Corporation	Forest County Kewaunee County Oneida County Vilas County
Kaiser Group, Inc.	Walworth County
Shawano County Job Center Incorporated	Shawano County
Western Wisconsin Private Industry Council, Inc.	Juneau County

Appendix 9

Current Contract Amounts, Reported Expenditures, and Potential Bonuses and Reinvestment

W-2 Contractor	Total Contract <u>Amount</u>	Contract Expenditures through Sept. 2000	Percentage of Contract Spent through Sept. 2000	Maximum Allowable <u>Bonus</u>	Total W-2 Reinvestment
Counties					
Adams	\$ 500,084	\$ 274,883	55.0%	\$ 20,003	\$ 15,003
Ashland	659,996	186,636	28.3	26,400	19,800
Barron	1,249,525	396,922	31.8	49,981	37,486
Bayfield	400,000	139,678	34.9	16,000	12,000
Brown	3,810,898	1,279,640	33.6	152,436	114,327
Buffalo	400,000	103,679	25.9	16,000	12,000
Burnett	450,000	139,644	31.0	18,000	13,500
Calumet	569,996	198,056	34.7	22,800	17,100
Chippewa	1,621,292	381,899	23.6	64,852	48,639
Clark	617,510	124,008	20.1	24,700	18,525
Columbia	812,264	386,698	47.6	32,491	24,368
Crawford	300,000	122,482	40.8	12,000	9,000
Dane	16,986,518	5,733,753	33.8	679,461	509,596
Dodge	1,540,663	370,891	24.1	61,627	46,220
Door	627,909	190,988	30.4	25,116	18,837
Douglas	2,873,783	785,026	27.3	114,951	86,213
Dunn	1,465,427	490,951	33.5	58,617	43,963
Eau Claire	3,187,098	907,292	28.5	127,484	95,613
Fond du Lac	2,769,798	514,973	18.6	110,792	83,094
Grant—SW Consortium ¹	2,501,245	920,701	36.8	100,050	75,037
Green Lake	533,559	134,616	25.2	21,342	16,007
Iron	200,000	85,465	42.7	8,000	6,000
Jackson	698,760	197,567	28.3	27,950	20,963
Jefferson	1,011,526	352,820	34.9	40,461	30,346
Kenosha	9,285,649	3,562,696	38.4	371,426	278,569
La Crosse	4,180,927	785,846	18.8	167,237	125,428
Langlade	872,088	197,019	22.6	34,884	26,163
Lincoln	617,822	186,565	30.2	24,713	18,535
Manitowoc	825,768	421,985	51.1	33,031	24,773
Marathon	3,720,273	1,784,913	48.0	148,811	111,608
Marinette	814,434	393,002	48.3	32,577	24,433
Marquette	400,000	99,675	24.9	16,000	12,000
Menominee	706,259	263,032	37.2	28,250	21,188
Oconto	701,242	335,754	47.9	28,050	21,037
Outagamie	3,437,071	1,158,079	33.7	137,483	103,112

W-2 Contractor	Total Contract <u>Amount</u>	:	Contract Expenditures through Sept. 2000	Percentage of Contract Spent through Sept. 2000	Maximun Allowable <u>Bonus</u>	e '	Total W-2 einvestment
Ozaukee	\$ 723,881	\$	208,255	28.8%	\$ 28,955	5 \$	21,716
Pepin	250,000		66,944	26.8	10,000)	7,500
Pierce	641,554		214,461	33.4	25,662	2	19,247
Polk	786,213		234,774	29.9	31,449)	23,586
Portage	1,295,757		382,949	29.6	51,830)	38,873
Price	682,015		173,174	25.4	27,28	[20,460
Racine	7,270,142		2,571,841	35.4	290,806	5	218,104
Rock	4,696,860		2,131,320	45.4	187,874	1	140,906
Rusk	400,000		76,134	19.0	16,000)	12,000
St. Croix	736,139		317,430	43.1	29,446	5	22,084
Sauk	1,088,434		479,239	44.0	43,537	7	32,653
Sawyer	760,784		200,680	26.4	30,431	[22,824
Sheboygan	736,139		432,073	27.8	62,183	3	46,637
Taylor	450,000		123,096	27.4	18,000)	13,500
Trempealeau	745,057		170,878	22.9	29,802	2	22,353
Vernon	537,192		145,249	27.0	21,488	3	16,116
Washburn	500,086		174,347	34.9	20,004	1	15,002
Washington	1,445,148		515,582	35.7	57,806	5	43,354
Waupaca	1,114,279		335,644	30.1	44,57	[33,428
Waushara	713,956		304,105	42.6	28,558	3	21,419
Winnebago	3,717,106		1,086,832	29.2	148,684	1	111,513
Wood	2,418,077		826,567	34.2	96,723		72,542
Subtotal	\$103,876,637	\$	34,779,408	33.5%	\$ 4,155,060	5 \$	3,116,300
Tribes							
Bad River Band	\$ 350,000	\$	143,272	40.9%	\$ 14,000		10,500
Oneida Nation	530,286	_	187,953	35.4	21,21	<u> </u>	15,909
Subtotal	\$ 880,286	\$	331,225	37.6%	\$ 35,211	\$	26,409
Private Agencies in Milw	aukee County ²						
Employment Solutions	\$ 87,467,255	\$	27,032,607	30.9%	\$ 3,498,690) \$	2,624,017
Maximus	45,083,756		14,068,845	31.2	1,803,350)	1,352,513
OIC-GM	47,140,124		14,737,449	31.3	1,885,60	5	1,414,204
UMOS	37,027,252		14,187,698	38.3	1,481,090)	1,110,818
YW Works	36,451,896	_	12,017,928	33.0	1,458,070	<u> </u>	1,093,557
Subtotal	\$253,170,283	\$	82,044,527	32.4%	\$10,126,81	1 \$	7,595,109

W-2 Contractor	Total Contract Amount		Contract Expenditures through Sept. 2000	Percentage of Contract Spent through Sept. 2000	N A	Maximum Allowable <u>Bonus</u>		otal W-2 nvestment
Private Agencies in Other	r Counties ³							
Florence—Fwd. Serv.	\$ 200,000	\$	84,205	42.1%	\$	8,000	\$	6,000
FOV—Fwd. Serv.	1,829,990		678,119	37.1		73,200		54,900
Juneau—Wkfce. Conn.	1,262,342		388,846	30.8		50,494		37,870
Kewaunee—Fwd. Serv.	250,000		116,666	46.7		10,000		7,500
Monroe—Wkfce. Conn.	1,393,615		393,896	28.3		55,745		41,808
Shawano—Job Center	1,070,576		446,931	41.7		42,823		32,117
Walworth—Kaiser	1,828,434		586,551	32.1		73,137		54,853
Waukesha—Curtis	3,552,280		1,511,204	42.5		142,091		106,568
Subtotal	\$ 11,387,237	\$	4,206,418	36.9%	\$	455,490	\$	341,616
Total	\$369,314,443	\$1	21,361,578	32.9%	\$1	4,772,578	\$11	,079,434

¹ The Southwest Consortium consists of Grant, Green, Iowa, Lafayette, and Richland counties.

² These agencies serve six regions in Milwaukee County:

Region I	YW Works
Region II	United Migrant Opportunity Services, Inc.
Region III	Opportunities Industrialization Center of Greater Milwaukee
Region IV	Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of
	Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.
Region V	Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of
	Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.
Region VI	Maximus, Inc.

³ Ten counties other than Milwaukee are served by these five private agencies under the current contracts:

Curtis & Associates, Inc.	Waukesha County
Forward Service Corporation	Florence County Forest, Oneida, and Vilas (FOV) counties, operating as a consortium Kewaunee County
Kaiser Group, Inc.	Walworth County
Shawano County Job Center Incorporated	Shawano County
Workforce Connections, Inc.	Juneau County Monroe County

Reported Expenditures by Type Under Current Implementation Contracts January 2000 through September 2000

W-2 Contractor	Cash Benefits	Direct <u>Services</u>	Administrative <u>Costs</u>	Additional Services	Total Expenditures
Counties					
Adams	\$ 25,111	\$ 188,564	\$ 61,208	\$ 507	\$ 275,390
Ashland	13,725	160,073	12,838	5,678	192,314
Barron	18,996	292,520	85,406	10,938	407,860
Bayfield	19,679	113,963	6,036	600	140,278
Brown	72,301	1,069,645	137,694	33,119	1,312,759
Buffalo	8,839	68,192	26,648	2,037	105,716
Burnett	18,622	76,067	44,955	2,202	141,846
Calumet	33,269	115,935	48,852	1,824	199,880
Chippewa	59,902	234,361	87,636	1,750	383,649
Clark	13,124	94,255	16,629	6,228	130,236
Columbia	37,757	295,079	53,862	11,161	397,859
Crawford	4,923	99,020	18,539	-	122,482
Dane	1,480,265	3,563,202	690,286	840,375	6,574,128
Dodge	87,405	229,804	53,682	18,929	389,820
Door	23,428	128,126	39,434	700	191,688
Douglas	131,179	474,675	179,172	16,296	801,322
Dunn	109,784	311,758	69,409	40,010	530,961
Eau Claire	79,799	608,236	219,257	59,498	966,790
Fond du Lac	182,714	270,928	61,331	34,410	549,383
Grant—SW Consortium ¹	61,242	722,550	136,909	18,248	938,949
Green Lake	7,001	103,120	24,495	3,775	138,391
Iron	5,804	61,745	17,916	-	85,465
Jackson	8,123	146,004	43,440	2,102	199,669
Jefferson	26,424	303,202	23,194	3,913	356,733
Kenosha	1,101,850	2,042,132	418,714	217,994	3,780,690
La Crosse	107,577	553,573	124,696	33,939	819,785
Langlade	50,247	118,888	27,884	2,300	199,319
Lincoln	17,626	155,501	13,438	3,225	189,790
Manitowoc	7,682	336,403	77,900	14,270	436,255
Marathon	208,270	1,344,569	232,074	66,996	1,851,909
Marinette	11,220	238,966	142,816	-	393,002
Marquette	3,385	74,592	21,698	5,250	104,925
Menominee	67,397	125,420	70,215	1,269	264,301
Oconto	19,451	280,714	35,589	2,277	338,031
Outagamie	205,257	730,283	222,539	23,089	1,181,168
Ozaukee	8,306	150,209	49,740	500	208,755
Pepin	21	59,692	7,231	-	66,944
Pierce	18,770	166,376	29,315	5,989	220,450

W-2 Contractor	Cash Benefits	Direct <u>Services</u>	Administrative Costs	Additional Services	Total Expenditures			
Polk	\$ 20,003	\$ 181,213	\$ 33,558	\$ 21,959	\$ 256,733			
Portage	23,951	260,937	98,061	115,220	498,169			
Price	23,775	140,503	8,896	62,175	235,349			
Racine	515,452	1,762,630	293,759	259,791	2,831,632			
Rock	414,024	1,496,031	221,265	113,673	2,244,993			
Rusk	6,525	50,872	18,737	2,203	78,337			
St. Croix	51,746	136,192	129,492	13,000	330,430			
Sauk	74,836	278,395	126,008	4,972	484,211			
Sawyer	15,275	177,787	7,618	10,578	211,258			
Sheboygan	101,452	287,340	43,281	69,192	501,265			
Taylor	10,687	92,664	19,745	3,442	126,538			
Trempealeau	14,872	112,024	43,982	2,240	173,118			
Vernon	28,806	87,983	28,460	3,063	148,312			
Washburn	22,634	110,087	41,626	6,877	181,224			
Washington	75,367	351,728	88,487	27,830	543,412			
Waupaca	105,465	178,919	51,260	77,267	412,911			
Waushara	2,713	222,960	78,432	23,945	328,050			
Winnebago	258,620	630,728	197,484	32,371	1,119,203			
Wood	151,233	549,971	125,363	27,037	853,604			
Subtotal	\$ 6,273,911	\$23,217,306	\$5,288,191	\$2,368,233	\$37,147,641			
Tribes								
Bad River Band	\$ 30,880	\$ 94,101	\$ 18,291	\$ 2,759	\$ 146,031			
Oneida Nation	65,633	60,816	61,504		187,953			
Subtotal	\$ 96,513	\$ 154,917	\$ 79,795	\$ 2,759	\$ 333,984			
Private Agencies in Milwaukee County ²								
Employment Solutions	\$10,151,604	\$15,452,156	\$1,428,847	\$ 489,731	\$27,522,338			
Maximus	5,954,649	7,196,745	917,451	406,908	14,475,753			
OIC-GM	5,517,794	8,174,106	1,045,549	1,125,217	15,862,666			
UMOS	4,526,200	8,250,457	1,411,041	497,739	14,685,437			
YW Works	3,815,679	6,943,152	1,259,097	139,358	12,157,286			
Subtotal	\$29,965,926	\$46,016,616	\$6,061,985	\$2,658,953	\$84,703,480			

W-2 Contractor	<u>Ca</u>	ish Benefits		Direct Services	Ac	lministrative Costs		Additional Services	<u>E</u> :	Total xpenditures
Private Agencies in Other Counties ³										
Florence—Fwd. Serv.	\$	8,949	\$	66,621	\$	8,635	\$	-	\$	84,205
FOV—Fwd. Serv.		51,125		528,730		98,264		16,921		695,040
Juneau—Wkfce. Conn.		101,062		248,474		39,310		3,484		392,330
Kewaunee—Fwd. Serv.		15,663		87,936		13,067		450		117,116
Monroe—Wkfce. Conn.		91,439		267,525		34,932		13,897		407,793
Shawano—Job Center		90,783		295,669		60,479		3,826		450,757
Walworth—Kaiser		133,776		355,253		97,522		6,781		593,332
Waukesha—Curtis		201,078	_	<u>1,110,915</u>		199,211		79,012		1,590,216
Subtotal	\$	693,875	\$	2,961,123	\$	551,420	\$	124,371	\$	4,330,789
Total	\$3'	7,030,225	\$7	72,349,962	\$1	1,981,391	\$5	,154,316	\$1	26,515,894

¹ The Southwest Consortium consists of Grant, Green, Iowa, Lafayette, and Richland counties.

 $^{^{2}\,}$ These agencies serve six regions in Milwaukee County:

Region I	YW Works
Region II	United Migrant Opportunity Services, Inc.
Region III	Opportunities Industrialization Center of Greater Milwaukee
Region IV	Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of
	Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.
Region V	Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of
	Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.
Region VI	Maximus, Inc.

³ Ten counties other than Milwaukee are served by these five private agencies under the current contracts:

Curtis & Associates, Inc.	Waukesha County
Forward Service Corporation	Florence County Forest, Oneida, and Vilas (FOV) counties, operating as a consortium Kewaunee County
Kaiser Group, Inc.	Walworth County
Shawano County Job Center Incorporated	Shawano County
Workforce Connections, Inc.	Juneau County Monroe County

Performance Bonus Criteria

<u>Criteria</u>	Base Performance Level	First Bonus Level	Second Bonus Level
Entered Employment	35% or more of all participants served have been placed in a job.	40% or more of all participants served have been placed in a job.	45% or more of all participants served have been placed in a job.
Average Wage Rate	Equal to or greater than the base wage rate attained by all participants during 1998.	Equals the base wage rate plus 2.5 percent of the base.	Equals the base wage rate plus 5.0 percent of the base.
Job Retention			
30 days	At least 75% of all participants remain employed after 30 days.	At least 80% of participants remain employed after 30 days.	At least 85% of participants remain employed after 30 days.
180 days	50% or more of all participants remain employed after 180 days.	At least 55% of participants remain employed after 180 days.	At least 60% of participants remain employed after 180 days.
Full and Appropriate Engagement	At least 80% of adult W-2 and FSET participants in subsidized employment are engaged in appropriate activities for the required number of hours each week.	At least 85% of adult W-2 and FSET participants in subsidized employment are engaged in appropriate activities for the required number of hours each week.	At least 90% of adult W-2 and FSET participants in subsidized employment are engaged in appropriate activities for the required number of hours each week.

<u>Criteria</u>	Base Performance Level	First Bonus Level	Second Bonus Level
Basic Educational Activities	At least 80% of all FSET participants and adults in W-2 subsidized employment positions who are not in high school are assigned to appropriate educational activities.	At least 85% of all FSET participants and adults in W-2 subsidized employment positions are engaged in appropriate activities for the required number of hours.	At least 90% of all FSET participants and adults in W-2 subsidized employment positions are engaged in appropriate activities for the required number of hours.
Employer Health Insurance Benefits	Employer health insurance is available to at least 30% of all participants who are employed.	Employer health insurance is available to at least 35% of all participants who are employed.	Employer health insurance is available to at least 40% of all participants who are employed.
Optional—Faith-Based Contracts	Standard may only be used to replace a mandatory standard not achieved for the second bonus level.	Standard may only be used to replace a mandatory standard not achieved for the second bonus level.	Valid contract between the W-2 agency and a faith-based provider during 7 of the 8 quarters of the contract period, to provide direct services to W-2 participants.
Optional—Attainment of Basic Skills/Job Skills	Standard may only be used to replace a mandatory standard not achieved for the second bonus level.	Standard may only be used to replace a mandatory standard not achieved for the second bonus level.	At least 50% of the participants assigned to basic skills or job skills training complete the required training successfully.

Appendix 12

Performance Bonuses Earned and Standards Met Under Current Implementation Contracts

From January 2000 through December 2000

W-2 Contractor	Maximum Allowable Bonus and Reinvestment	Potential Bonus	Percentage of Possible Bonus <u>Earned</u>
Counties			
Adams	\$ 35,006	\$ 28,339	81.0%
Ashland	46,200	39,600	85.7
Barron	87,467	74,973	85.7
Bayfield	28,000	17,333	61.9
Brown	266,763	254,060	95.2
Buffalo	28,000	28,000	100.0
Burnett	31,500	16,500	52.4
Calumet	39,900	36,100	90.5
Chippewa	102,683	97,279	90.5
Clark	43,225	41,167	95.2
Columbia	56,859	55,504	97.6
Clark	102,683 43,225	97,279 41,167	95.2

	Standards Met through December 2000*							
Entered Employment	Average Wage Rate	Job Retention—30 Days	Job Retention—180 Days	Full and Appropriate Engagement	Basic Educational Activities	Employer Health Insurance Benefit		

level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2	base	level 1
level 2	level 2	level 2	level 1	level 2	level 2
level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2	base	level 2
level 2	level 2	level 2	level 1	none	none
level 2	base	level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2
level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2
level 2	base	base	base	base	base
level 2	level 2	level 2	base	level 2	level 2
level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2	base	level 2
level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2	level 1	level 2
level 2	level 1	level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2
	level 2	level 2	level 2 level	level 2 level 2 level 2 level 1 level 2 level 1 level 2	level 2 level 2 level 2 level 2 base level 2 level 3 level 3 level 3 level 3 level 3 level 4 level 4 level 4 level 4 level 5 level 5 level 5 level 6 l

^{*}None: The agency did not meet the performance standard on any level.

Base: The agency met the base performance standard for the restricted-use bonus.

Level 1: The agency met the first performance standard for the unrestricted-use bonus.

Level 2: The agency met the second performance standard for the unrestricted-use bonus.

W-2 Contractor	Maximum Allowable Bonus and Reinvestment	Potential Bonus	Percentage of Possible Bonus <u>Earned</u>
Crawford	\$ 21,000	\$ 16,500	78.6%
Dane	1,189,057	1,132,434	95.2
Dodge	107,847	107,847	100.0
Door	43,953	43,953	100.0
Douglas	201,164	182,006	90.5
Dunn	102,580	87,927	85.7
Eau Claire	223,097	191,226	85.7
Fond du Lac	193,886	189,270	97.6
Grant—SW Consortium ¹	175,087	166,750	95.2
Green Lake	37,349	31,124	83.3
Iron	14,000	13,334	95.2
Jackson	48,913	46,584	95.2
Jefferson	70,807	67,436	95.2
Kenosha	649,995	541,662	83.3
La Crosse	292,665	292,665	100.0

	Standards Met through December 2000*							
Entered Employment	Average Wage Rate	Job Retention—30 Days	Job Retention—180 Days	Full and Appropriate Engagement	Basic Educational Activities	Employer Health Insurance Benefit		

level 2	level 1	level 2	level 2	level 2	none	level 2
level 2	level 2	level 2	base	level 2	level 2	level 2
level 2						
level 2						
level 1	level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2	level 1	level 2
level 2	level 2	base	level 2	level 2	base	level 2
level 2	level 2	level 1	level 1	level 2	base	level 2
level 2	level 2	level 2	level 1	level 2	level 2	level 2
level 1	level 2					
level 2	level 2	level 1	base	base	level 2	level 2
level 2	level 1					
level 2	level 1	level 2				
level 2	level 1	level 2				
base	level 2	level 1	level 2	level 2	level 2	level 1
level 2						

^{*}None: The agency did not meet the performance standard on any level.

Base: The agency met the base performance standard for the restricted-use bonus.

Level 1: The agency met the first performance standard for the unrestricted-use bonus.

Level 2: The agency met the second performance standard for the unrestricted-use bonus.

W-2 Contractor	Maximum Allowable Bonus and Reinvestment	Potential Bonus	Percentage of Possible Bonus <u>Earned</u>
Langlade	\$ 61,047	\$ 40,698	66.7%
Lincoln	43,248	41,188	95.2
Manitowoc	57,804	53,674	92.9
Marathon	260,419	248,017	95.2
Marinette	57,010	57,010	100.0
Marquette	28,000	25,332	90.5
Menominee	49,438	21,188	42.9
Oconto	49,087	46,750	95.2
Outagamie	240,595	194,767	81.0
Ozaukee	50,671	39,814	78.6
Pepin	17,500	14,999	85.7
Pierce	44,909	43,841	97.6
Polk	55,035	45,862	83.3
Portage	90,703	77,746	85.7
Price	47,741	40,920	85.7
Racine	508,910	496,793	97.6

	Standards Met through December 2000*							
Entered Employment	Average Wage Rate	Job Retention—30 Days	Job Retention—180 Days	Full and Appropriate Engagement	Basic Educational Activities	Employer Health Insurance Benefit		

base	level 2	level 1	level 1	base	base	level 2
level 2	level 1	level 2				
level 2	level 2	level 1	level 2	level 2	level 2	level 1
level 2	level 1	level 2				
level 2						
level 2	base	level 2				
base	level 2	level 2	base	none	none	base
level 2	level 2	base	level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2
level 2	level 2	level 1	level 1	level 1	base	level 2
level 1	level 2	base	level 1	base	level 2	level 2
level 1	level 1	base	level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2
level 2	level 2	level 1	level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2
level 2	level 2	level 1	level 2	level 1	base	level 2
level 2	level 2	level 1	level 1	level 2	level 2	base
level 2	level 2	base	level 2	level 1	level 1	level 2
level 2	level 2	level 1	level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2

^{*}None: The agency did not meet the performance standard on any level.

Base: The agency met the base performance standard for the restricted-use bonus.

Level 1: The agency met the first performance standard for the unrestricted-use bonus.

Level 2: The agency met the second performance standard for the unrestricted-use bonus.

W-2 Contractor	Maximum Allowable Bonus and Reinvestment	Potential <u>Bonus</u>	Percentage of Possible Bonus <u>Earned</u>
Rock	\$ 328,780	\$ 242,671	73.8%
Rusk	28,000	28,000	100.0
St. Croix	51,530	44,169	85.7
Sauk	76,190	76,190	100.0
Sawyer	53,255	43,112	81.0
Sheboygan	108,820	106,228	97.6
Taylor	31,500	31,500	100.0
Trempealeau	52,155	49,671	95.2
Vernon	37,604	29,546	78.6
Washburn	35,006	31,673	90.5
Washington	101,160	98,751	97.6
Waupaca	77,999	55,714	71.4
Waushara	49,977	47,597	95.2
Winnebago	260,197	192,050	73.8
Wood	169,265	145,085	85.7
Subtotal	\$ 7,271,366	\$ 6,515,533	89.6%

	Standards Met through December 2000*							
Entered Employment	Average Wage Rate	Job Retention—30 Days	Job Retention—180 Days	Full and Appropriate Engagement	Basic Educational Activities	Employer Health Insurance Benefit		

level 1	level 2	level 1	base	level 2	base	level 1
level 2						
level 2	level 2	level 1	level 1	level 2	base	level 2
level 2						
level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2	base	level 2	base
level 2	level 2	level 1	level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2
level 2						
level 2	level 2	base	level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2
base	level 2	level 1	base	level 2	level 1	level 2
level 2	base	level 2				
level 2	level 2	level 1	level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2
level 1	level 2	base	base	level 1	base	level 2
level 2	level 2	level 2	base	level 2	level 2	level 2
base	level 2	level 2	level 1	level 2	base	level 1
level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2	level 1	base	level 2

^{*}None: The agency did not meet the performance standard on any level.

Base: The agency met the base performance standard for the restricted-use bonus.

Level 1: The agency met the first performance standard for the unrestricted-use bonus.

Level 2: The agency met the second performance standard for the unrestricted-use bonus.

W-2 Contractor	A E	Maximum Allowable Bonus and investmen		Potential <u>Bonus</u>	Percentage of Possible Bonus <u>Earned</u>
Tribes					
Bad River Band Oneida	\$	24,500	\$	19,833	81.0%
Nation		37,120	_	28,283	76.2
Subtotal	\$	61,620	\$	48,116	78.1%
Private Agencie	s in	Milwauke	e C	county ²	

95.2%

81.0

85.7

78.6

90.5

87.8%

Empl. Solutions \$ 6,122,707 \$ 5,831,150

3,155,863

3,299,809

2,591,908

2,551,633

\$17,721,920 \$15,559,422

2,554,746

2,828,407

2,036,499

2,308,620

Maximus

OIC-GM

YW Works

Subtotal

UMOS

mployment Vage Rate ion—30 Days ion—180 Days cational Activities cational Activities Health Benefit
Entered Employment Average Wage Rate Job Retention—30 D Full and Appropriate Engagement Basic Educational Ac Employer Health Insurance Benefit

level 1	level 2	level 2	level 2	base	Level 1	level 2
level 2	level 2	base	level 2	base	base	level 2

level 1	level 2					
level 1	level 2	level 1	level 1	level 2	base	level 2
level 1	level 2	level 2	level 2	level 1	level 1	level 2
base	level 2	level 2	level 1	level 1	level 1	level 2
level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2	level 1	level 1	level 2

^{*}None: The agency did not meet the performance standard on any level.

Base: The agency met the base performance standard for the restricted-use bonus.

Level 1: The agency met the first performance standard for the unrestricted-use bonus.

Level 2: The agency met the second performance standard for the unrestricted-use bonus.

W-2 Contractor	A B	Iaximum Ilowable onus and investment		Potential Bonus	Percentage of Possible Bonus <u>Earned</u>
Private Agencies	in O	ther Cou	nti	es^3	
Florence—					
Fwd. Serv.		14,000		12,667	90.5%
FOV—					
Fwd. Serv.	\$	128,100	\$	122,000	95.2
Juneau—					
Wkfce. Conn.		88,364		86,260	97.6
Kewaunee—					
Fwd. Serv.		17,500		17,083	97.6
Monroe—					
Wkfce. Conn.		97,553		95,299	97.7
Shawano—					
Job Center		74,940		67,804	90.5
Walworth—					
Kaiser		127,990		127,990	100.0
Waukesha—					
Curtis		248,659	_	236,819	95.2
Subtotal	\$	797,106	\$	765,852	96.1%
	<u> </u>	, - 00	<u> </u>		2 2 - 2 , 3
Total	\$25	5,852,012	\$2	22,888,923	88.5%

	St	andards Me	t through De	ecember 200	0*	I
Entered Employment	Average Wage Rate	Job Retention—30 Days	Job Retention—180 Days	Full and Appropriate Engagement	Basic Educational Activities	Employer Health Insurance Benefit

level 2	level 1	level 1				
level 2	level 1	level 2				
level 2	level 2	level 2	level 1	level 2	level 2	level 2
level 2	level 2	level 1	level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2
level 2	level 2	level 1	level 2	level 2	level 2	level 2
base	level 2					
level 2						
level 2	level 1	level 2				

^{*}None: The agency did not meet the performance standard on any level.

Base: The agency met the base performance standard for the restricted-use bonus.

Level 1: The agency met the first performance standard for the unrestricted-use bonus.

Level 2: The agency met the second performance standard for the unrestricted-use bonus.

Region I YW Works

Region II United Migrant Opportunity Services, Inc.

Region III Opportunities Industrialization Center of Greater Milwaukee
Region IV Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of

Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.

Region V Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of

Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.

Region VI Maximus, Inc.

Curtis & Associates, Inc. Waukesha County

Forward Service Corporation Florence County

Forest, Oneida, and Vilas (FOV) counties,

operating as a consortium

Kewaunee County

Kaiser Group, Inc. Walworth County

Shawano County Job Center

Incorporated

Shawano County

Workforce Connections, Inc. Juneau County

Monroe County

¹ The Southwest Consortium consists of Grant, Green, Iowa, Lafayette, and Richland counties.

² These agencies serve six regions in Milwaukee County:

³ Ten counties other than Milwaukee are served by these five private agencies under the current contracts:

Appendix 13

Income and Poverty Status of Former W-2 Participants (Left W-2 in First Quarter 1998)

W-2 Contractor	Number Who Left W-2	Number Filing Returns	Number Claiming <u>EITC</u>	Average State and Federal <u>EITC</u>	Average <u>Income</u>	Percentage Above <u>Poverty</u>	Percentage Above Poverty with EITC
Counties							
Adams ¹							
$Ashland^1$							
Barron	10	7	7	\$2,875	\$ 7,757	16.7%	33.3%
Bayfield ¹							
Brown	74	49	38	2,360	14,326	40.0	53.3
Buffalo ¹							
Burnett ¹							
Calumet	10	7	4	2,596	22,132	40.0	40.0
Chippewa	14	10	8	1,257	9,857	25.0	25.0
Clark ¹							
Columbia ¹							
Crawford ¹							
Dane	188	105	90	2,035	11,449	31.4	46.1
Dodge	20	15	11	1,150	15,950	70.0	80.0
Door ¹							
Douglas	106	68	58	2,283	11,284	37.9	50.0
Dunn	9	5	5	6,063	8,486	0.0	33.3
Eau Claire	55	45	37	3,081	12,542	28.6	45.7
Florence ¹							
Fond du Lac	39	33	31	2,557	12,733	32.0	52.0
Grant—SW							
Consortium ^{1, 2}							
Green Lake ¹							
Iron ¹							
Jackson ¹							
Jefferson	9	7	6	626	15,980	80.0	80.0
Kenosha	71	37	34	2,171	9,537	25.0	40.0
La Crosse	19	14	10	1,755	5,939	0.0	11.1
Langlade	17	14	11	1,944	12,747	27.3	36.4
Lincoln ¹							
Manitowoc	7	3	2	1,976	10,201	33.3	66.7
Marathon	39	28	24	3,002	12,864	23.8	42.9
Marinette ¹							
Marquette ¹							
Menominee	32	21	18	2,182	3,586	6.7	13.3
Monroe	13	13	10	2,077	10,406	37.5	50.0
Oconto ¹ Outagamie	35	27	20	2,498	17,961	33.3	40.0

W-2 Contractor	Number Who Left W-2	Number Filing Returns	Number Claiming <u>EITC</u>	Average State and Federal EITC	Average Income	Percentage Above Poverty	Percentage Above Poverty with EITC
Ozaukee ¹							
Pepin ¹							
Pierce ¹							
Polk	6	3	2	\$2,039	\$21,022	66.7%	100.0%
Portage	12	12	10	2,023	9,904	18.2	27.3
Price	8	5	5	3,024	9,138	25.0	25.0
Racine	104	82	68	2,557	11,394	38.6	50.0
Rock	59	31	30	2,341	9,724	17.9	42.9
Rusk ¹							
St Croix	8	6	5	3,820	19,066	80.0	80.0
Sauk	18	11	7	1,093	5,925	20.0	20.0
Sawyer	26	20	17	2,277	6,997	12.5	25.0
Sheboygan	20	14	9	2,435	21,680	40.0	60.0
Taylor	11	5	5	1,664	9,462	50.0	50.0
Trempealeau ¹				, 	, 		
Vernon ¹							
Washburn	8	4	4	4,608	9,549	0.0	33.3
Washington	13	10	9	1,927	9,281	14.3	14.3
Waupaca	9	7	3	790	10,188	20.0	40.0
Waushara	8	6	5	3,167	22,545	80.0	80.0
Winnebago	77	62	47	2,214	15,400	40.4	51.9
Wood	22	6	0	0	21,472	50.0	50.0
Subtotal	1,176	792	650	\$2,312	\$12,050	32.6%	45.8%
Tribes Lac du Flambeau							
Band ¹							
Bad River Band ¹							
Oneida Nation	<u>17</u>	<u>12</u>	12	\$3,156	\$ 7,802	12.5%	25.0%
Subtotal	17	12	12	\$3,156	\$ 7,802	12.5%	25.0%
Private Agencies in	Milwauke	e County	3				
Employment							
Solutions	124	72	62	\$2,389	\$12,929	40.3%	52.8%
Maximus	186	123	101	2,277	12,979	42.3	55.3
OIC-GM	60	27	24	2,041	10,980	33.3	37.0
UMOS	186	113	93	2,275	12,919	33.6	48.7
YW Works	77	35	33	2,200	11,926	31.4	48.6
Other Milwaukee	<u>22</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>16</u>	2,685	9,598	31.3	43.8
Subtotal	655	386	329	\$2,291	\$12,577	37.3%	50.5%

W-2 Contractor	Number Who Left W-2	Number Filing <u>Returns</u>	Number Claiming <u>EITC</u>	Average State and Federal <u>EITC</u>	Average <u>Income</u>	Percentage Above <u>Poverty</u>	Percentage Above Poverty with EITC
Private Agencies in	Other Co	unties ⁴					
Forest—Fwd.							
Serv. 1							
Juneau—W. WI							
PIC	26	18	17	\$2,660	\$10,882	18.8%	37.5%
Kewaunee—Fwd.							
Serv. 1							
Oneida—Fwd.							
Serv. 1							
Shawano—Job	2.5	1.0	0	2 101	1.4.070	22.2	7 0.0
Center	25	16	8	2,181	14,978	33.3	50.0
Vilas—Fwd.							
Serv. 1							
Walworth— Kaiser	23	17	14	2.050	12.010	50.0	50.0
Waukesha—	23	1 /	14	2,850	12,910	30.0	30.0
Curtis	85	72	61	2,370	12,748	34.3	47.8
					•		
Subtotal	159	123	100	2,462	12,604	33.7	46.5%
Balance of State ¹	<u>122</u>	64	52	\$ 2,300	\$10,825	32.0%	43.9%
Total	2,129	1,377	1,143	\$ 2,320	\$11,988	33.8%	46.7%

¹ In general, when agencies had fewer than eight participants, data are reported under Balance of State to protect participants' privacy.

³ These agencies served six regions in Milwaukee County:

8	
Region I	YW Works
Region II	United Migrant Opportunity Services, Inc.
Region III	Opportunities Industrialization Center of Greater Milwaukee
Region IV	Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.
Region V	Employment Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Goodwill Industries of Southeastern Wisconsin and Metropolitan Chicago, Inc.
Region VI	Maximus, Inc.

² The Southwest Consortium consists of Grant, Green, Iowa, Lafayette, and Richland counties.

⁴ Eight counties other than Milwaukee were served by these five private agencies under the initial implementation contracts:

Curtis & Associates, Inc. Waukesha County

Forward Service Corporation Forest County

Kewaunee County Oneida County Vilas County

Kaiser Group, Inc. Walworth County

Shawano County Job Center

Incorporated

Shawano County

Western Wisconsin Private Industry Council, Inc.

Juneau County

Appendix 14

Returning Participants by W-2 Agency July 1998 and July 2000

W-2 Contractor	<u>July 1998</u>	<u>July 2000</u>	Percentage <u>Change</u>
Counties			
Adams	1	1	0.0%
Ashland	0	0	
Barron	1	4	300.0
Bayfield	0	1	
Brown	4	3	(25.0)
Buffalo	2	4	100.0
Burnett	1	0	(100.0)
Calumet	2	4	100.0
Chippewa	4	2	(50.0)
Clark	0	0	
Columbia	1	7	600.0
Crawford	0	0	
Dane	36	156	333.3
Dodge	7	7	0.0
Door	0	5	0.0
Douglas	4	13	225.0
Dunn	2	11	450.0
Eau Claire	7	7	0.0
Fond du Lac	8	8	0.0
Grant—SW Consortium ¹	1	5	400.0
Green Lake	0	2	
Iron	0	2	
Jackson	0	0	
Jefferson	1	1	0.0
Kenosha	15	101	573.3
La Crosse	11	6	(45.5)
Langlade	0	3	
Lincoln	1	1	0.0
Manitowoc	0	1	
Marathon	10	8	(20.0)
Marinette	0	0	
Marquette	1	1	0.0
Menominee	7	6	(14.3)
Oconto	0	1	
Outagamie	8	17	112.5
Ozaukee	0	1	
Pepin	0	0	
Pierce	0	1	
Polk	3	3	0.0
	-	-	

W-2 Contractor	July 1998	July 2000	Percentage Change
Portage	2	1	(50.0)
Price	5	3	(40.0%)
Racine	25	37	48.0
Rock	8	37	362.5
Rusk	1	1	0.0
St. Croix	0	4	
Sauk	0	5	
Sawyer	1	4	300.0
Sheboygan	2	3	50.0
Taylor	2	0	(100.0)
Trempealeau	0	0	(100.0)
Vernon	0	1	
Washburn	0	1	
Washington	1	4	300.0
Waupaca	0	6	300.0
Waushara	0	0	
	13	25	92.3
Winnebago Wood	5	23 14	180.0
Wood	<u></u>	<u> 14</u>	160.0
Subtotal	203	539	165.5%
Tribes			
Bad River Band	2	4	100.0%
Lac du Flambeau Band ²	2		
Oneida Nation	6	5	(16.7)
Subtotal	10	9	(10.0%)
Milwaukee County ³	361	3,501	869.8%
Private Agencies in Other Counties ⁴			
FOV—Fwd. Serv.	2	4	100.0%
Juneau—W. WI PIC	4	10	150.0
Kewaunee—Fwd. Serv.	0	0	
Shawano—Job Center	6	3	(50.0)
Walworth—Kaiser	3	8	166.7
Waukesha—Curtis	8	24	200.0
Subtotal	23	49	113.0%
Other ⁵			
Florence—Fwd. Serv.	0	2	
Monroe—Wkfce. Conn.	2	8	300.0%
Subtotal	2	<u>10</u>	400.0%
Total	599	4,108	585.8

Curtis & Associates, Inc. Waukesha County

Forward Service Corporation Kewaunee County

Forest, Oneida, and Vilas (FOV) counties were served separately under the initial implementation contract and as a consortium under the current

contract

Kaiser Group, Inc. Walworth County

Shawano County Job Center

Incorporated

Shawano County

Western Wisconsin Private Juneau County

Industry Council, Inc. (initial implementation contract)

Workforce Connections, Inc.

Juneau County

(current implementation contract)

Forward Service Corporation Florence County

Workforce Connections, Inc. Monroe County

¹ The Southwest Consortium consists of Grant, Green, Iowa, Lafayette, and Richland counties.

² The Lac du Flambeau Band of Chippewa was a W-2 agency through December 1999 but is not a W-2 agency under the current contract.

³ Data on returning participants are not available for the private W-2 agencies contracted to serve Milwaukee County, but they are available for Milwaukee County in aggregate.

⁴ Eight counties other than Milwaukee have been served by these five private agencies. The name of one of the agencies changed from the first to the second implementation period.

⁵ Programs in these counties were administered by county agencies in July 1998 but by the following private agencies in July 2000:

Scott McCallum Governor

Jennifer Reinert Secretary



Department of Workforce Development

State of Wisconsin

http://www.dwd.state.wi.us/ e-mail: DWDSEC@dwd.state.wi.us

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

201 East Washington Avenue

Madison, WI 53707-7946

Telephone: (608) 266-7552 Fax: (608) 266-1784

P.O. Box 7946

March 30, 2001

Janice Mueller State Auditor Legislative Audit Bureau 22 E. Mifflin Street, Suite 500 Madison, WI 53703

Dear Ms. Mueller:

Thank you for the opportunity to review and respond to the Legislative Audit Bureau's evaluation of the Wisconsin Works (W-2) program. The Department of Workforce Development appreciates the significant amount of effort invested by the Audit Bureau in completing this report, as well as five other related reports, regarding W-2. The resulting information has been, and will continue to be, of use to the Department in its efforts to ensure continuous improvement in our programs.

The report addresses several aspects of W-2, which is a complex program, making it difficult to comment on the many observations included. I would, however, like to offer the following observations about its key findings. The information is intended to provide additional background regarding W-2 as it exists today, recognizing that the program has evolved, and will continue to evolve, as we gain experience with this pioneering effort.

Indicators of Program Effectiveness

The report discusses W-2's success in light of two benchmarks: the extent to which participants have achieved economic self-sufficiency and the extent to which former participants return to the program. What should not be overlooked in considering the observations included in the report is the fact that W-2 was designed as, and continues to be, a stepping stone into the workforce for families with barriers to full employment. W-2, by itself, may not lead to selfsufficiency. W-2 is, however, designed to assist families in their efforts to take the first step toward self-sufficiency by engaging families in the world of work.

Information included in the Audit Bureau's report bears out the success that has been achieved to date. According to the Audit Bureau, the average annual income, including state and federal earned income tax credits, of its sample of former W-2 participants was \$14,308 in 1999. In comparison, a family of three receiving Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) would have received \$6,204, while the annual cash grant amount under W-2 would have been at least \$7,536. Clearly, this is progress.

In addition, a large portion of these families has the benefit of the necessary in-work supports to promote further efforts to achieve self-sufficiency. The report discounts the value of these inwork supports to former participants, as well as other low-income families, but they are critical to supporting the transition into the world of work. Currently, 40,000 families with children receive food stamps, almost 340,000 families receive Medical Assistance, and 22,500 families, with approximately 40,000 children, receive child care assistance.

SEC-7792-E (R. 02/2001) File Ref: Janice Mueller March 30, 2001 Page 2

Are families that rely on these in-work supports self-sufficient? No. Are they on their way to achieving self-sufficiency? Yes.

The fact that participants return to W-2 reflects a basic underlying philosophy of W-2 rather than a failure of the program or of the program's participants. This philosophy is that it is more desirable to go out and gain work experience, whether the job is temporary or expected to be permanent, than to not work at all. The system is designed to support an individual as he or she progresses on the road to self-sufficiency and is available on an "as needed basis." Thus, W-2 agencies encourage their participants to return for more help if the job doesn't work out or if additional assistance is needed. The fact that participants do return indicates the establishment of a strong system of supports upon which families can rely when they need assistance in their efforts to achieve self-sufficiency.

In considering the program's effectiveness, I believe we need to keep in mind that after only three and one-half years since W-2's statewide implementation, Wisconsin has made significant strides toward eradicating the legacy of AFDC and in assisting families in their efforts to achieve economic self-sufficiency. We recognize, however, that while the initial connection to the workforce breaks the cycle of dependence on cash assistance, it does not accomplish full sufficiency. This is a laudable goal and is the continuing focus of the program.

Program Expenditures

The report provides a significant amount of information about program expenditures. I would like to make several observations about the information provided, including some of the historical information.

First, while accurate, the information about the "profits" generated under the first implementation contract does not recognize that, unlike most public sector contracts, the contracts with the W-2 agencies required the agencies to assume a substantial risk. Rather than being reimbursed simply because they spent money, the contracts required agencies to provide services to all eligible persons within the sum-certain funds provided. The W-2 agencies were expected to perform within established budget limits and the measure of performance under the contracts was moving people from welfare to work. Given the uncharted territory into which these agencies, public and private, were entering, the contracts were structured as reasonably as could be expected.

However, with additional experience and as noted in the report, the Department moved away from the structure of the initial contract toward a more sophisticated model of assessing performance. This model is reflected in the 2000-2001 contracts, which include performance requirements each W-2 agency must meet. Performance bonuses will only be awarded based on achievement of these benchmarks, none of which are directly tied to caseload reductions.

Second, it should be noted that the decrease in the cash benefit caseload has led to substantial benefits for the state as well as for localities. The decline in the cash caseload has meant that funds are available to support expanded services for low-income families as well as other services. At the state level, these funds have been invested in a variety of activities, including community youth grants, the Early Childhood Excellence Initiative, literacy programs, services for domestic violence victims, and nutritional programs.

Janice Mueller March 30, 2001 Page 3

Local investments have also been made as a result of the first contract's structure. Several examples of these are included in the report, some of which reflect investments in other than direct services and include offsetting county tax levies and enhancing computer networks. Clearly, the funding that was made available as a result of the reduction in cash caseloads has been of benefit to the taxpayers throughout the state and should not be considered money ill spent.

Third, because there has been some confusion on this topic, it is important to note the Audit Bureau's finding that administrative costs have been less than 10 percent during the life of the program. This level of expenditures is significantly less than the 15 percent cap established by federal law.

Finally, in discussing program expenditures, the report references the Audit Bureau's previous findings regarding unallowable and questioned costs in the context of the right of first selection. The Department plans to implement the Audit Bureau's recommendation in this area, which supports the actions we have taken to date to establish additional standards regarding eligibility for the right of first selection.

Sanctioning Participant Benefits

The report identifies limited situations in which participants may have been inappropriately sanctioned and thus suffered a loss of benefits under the program. Although the Department has provided the W-2 agencies with guidance regarding the sanction process, we are concerned about the audit's findings. While the audit indicates we have already taken steps to address the issue, I would like to outline further the steps taken to address this situation.

First, we are examining all sanctions applied to W-2 participants since W-2 started and are highlighting cases where the sanction appears to be questionable. We will give to the appropriate W-2 agency a list of all questionable sanctions and require that each agency investigate the sanction to determine its appropriateness, reimburse the family if the sanction was not legitimate, and provide the Department with information about the final resolution.

Second, we have established a workgroup that is taking a comprehensive look at how sanctions are processed to determine what modifications to our automated processes may be necessary to ensure that inappropriate sanctions are not made in the future.

Third, we are developing a clarifying policy on the use of sanctions and plan to reinforce the proper sanction policy with all W-2 agencies immediately.

Finally, as recommended, we will report to the Joint Legislative Audit Committee by September 1, 2001, regarding the results of our review, how participants who had been inappropriately sanctioned were compensated, the procedures established to prevent inappropriate sanctions in the future, and plans for ongoing monitoring of the situation.

In addition, although not related to the report's findings about sanctions, we will be implementing the recommendations included in the report regarding trial job participants.

Performance Standards

As noted in the discussion regarding program expenditures, the Department's development of performance standards under the W-2 contract has been evolutionary. At the time the program was implemented, there was very little indication as to what to expect in terms of how the program would unfold. With additional experience, we developed a series of measurements designed to evaluate program outcomes. These measurements are included in the current contract.

We are also continuing to modify the agency performance standards, as discussed in the report. The Department's proposed performance standards for the 2002-2003 contract period were subject to public review and comment over the past several weeks, with the public comment period closing on Friday, March 23, 2001. The proposed performance standards build on those that currently exist and add additional components, including components related to customer satisfaction, case management, and agency accountability. As with the development of the standards in the current contract, the proposed standards reflect the state's increased experienced with the program, as well as enhanced use of available data.

We will be reviewing each of the public comments received regarding the performance standards and making modifications as appropriate, based on these comments. Therefore, we will be able to report to the Joint Legislative Audit Committee by May 31, 2001, regarding future performance standards, as recommended in the audit.

Contract Oversight

The report outlines several concerns regarding program oversight, particularly in Milwaukee County. As I have stated before in response to previous audits, the Department has invested a significant amount of effort in this area over the past year. While programmatic oversight has been ongoing, I would like to take this opportunity to again reiterate the steps we have taken in the area of financial oversight. These steps include:

- conducting a comprehensive review of all financial policies and procedures for W-2 agencies to ensure expectations of prudent fiscal management are clearly outlined;
- hosting fiscal roundtables across the state to ensure all W-2 agencies know what expenditures are appropriate and to clarify expectations;
- developing additional requirements for certain expenditures that would need prior approval by the department; and
- updating audit guidelines to include specific requirements on sample size and scope, with expanded sampling required when unallowable costs are identified.

We have also been working aggressively with Private Industry Council of Milwaukee County to ensure the appropriate level of oversight is being provided in the Milwaukee area, in conjunction with the efforts of our Milwaukee Regional Office staff.

Future Considerations

In considering the future, the Department does not believe that the success of the program in moving families from welfare to work means our work is finished. We believe the efforts of the

program must now turn toward ensuring that once the connection to work is made, that the connection is sustained and that advancement is accomplished. At the same time, however, the core of W-2 must be maintained and efforts must be made to ensure those with multiple barriers are served.

Janice Mueller March 30, 2001 Page 5

The report discusses each of these, as well as other, issues. The Department welcomes the discussion included in the report, as we have been grappling with these same issues in our own consideration of W-2's future. As part of our efforts, the Department commissioned a series of White Papers, designed to develop a framework through which some of the questions most relevant to our continuing success could be addressed. The topics of these White Papers include the changing nature of the caseload, supporting job retention and advancement, addressing the needs of the hardest to serve, integrating non-custodial parents into the family, and breaking the cycle of dependency.

Based on our efforts to date, the Department had adopted a three-pronged approach to the future of W-2.

First, we are focusing on retention and advancement. To a great extent, these efforts look beyond W-2 to other programs housed within the Department. These programs include:

- Workforce Attachment and Advancement (WAA) WAA is a Wisconsin-specific program funded with TANF funds. WAA provides funding to W-2 agencies and Workforce Development Boards (WDBs) to develop innovative employment retention and advancement strategies for the TANF eligible population. The primary objective of the program is to promote upward mobility through training that prepares persons for higherpaying employment. WAA participants are not required to have received cash assistance under W-2; eligibility is based on income.
- Welfare to Work (WtW) WtW uses TANF funds, funneled through the U.S. Department
 of Labor to local WDBs and providers, to provide job placement, training, and postemployment services to long-term TANF recipients and non-custodial parents of children
 receiving TANF assistance.
- Workforce Investment Act Title I (WIA) WIA funding is administered by Wisconsin's 11 WDBs. The full array of employment, training, and education-related training programs are to be coordinated through a unified planning process, with services provided at the local level through a one-stop delivery system.

Our efforts to integrate these programs along with W-2 into a seamless delivery system designed to meet the needs of all workers, including low-income, led to the merger of the former Divisions of Economic Support and Workforce Excellence into the Division of Workforce Solutions. We believe that low-income workers need a workforce solution, not a welfare solution, when they encounter difficulties in their efforts to achieve self-sufficiency.

Second, we believe that workforce connections and reconnections must continue to be made through W-2. W-2 should continue to be the "door" through which a person becomes a member of the world of work. This does not, however, mean that consideration cannot be given to issues related to service delivery, many of which are outlined in the report. It does mean that W-2 should continue to be the stepping stone into the workforce for families with barriers to full employment.

Third, we are focusing on addressing the needs of the hardest to serve and those with more difficult barriers to entering the workforce. In particular, we have invested a significant amount of time and effort, in conjunction with the W-2 Contract and Implementation Committee, designing policies and procedures regarding those who are approaching the 60-month time limit. In large part, our efforts have built off of our experience in working with participants who have approached their 24-month time limits.

Janice Mueller March 30, 2001 Page 6

Our overall goal in this area is to recognize that some people do have more barriers to overcome than others do and that more intensive case services will be needed for these purposes. We will not, however, sell any family short by "setting them aside." We will require continued aggressive case management on the part of the W-2 agencies.

The report specifically addresses three areas of concern in this area: assessing barriers to employment, addressing the needs of participants nearing eligibility limits, and considering future program modifications. Given our own interest in the topics addressed, we will implement the recommendations included in this section of the report regarding the assessment of barriers and report to the Joint Legislative Audit Committee by September 1, 2001, regarding issues relating to the 60-month time limit.

Overall, we agree with the Audit Bureau that W-2 is at an important crossroads in its development. While this crossroads provides us with an opportunity to reflect on our accomplishments, it also requires us to consider the challenges we must face in the future. The information included in the report provides a basis from which we can work together to further promote the goals of the program. We look forward to working with the Legislature and other interested parties to continue the evolution of the program and build on the success that has been achieved thus far.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to respond to the audit as well as for the professionalism of your staff throughout the audit process.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Reinert Secretary